21-1920-cr

United States Court of Appeals

for the

Second Circuit

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee,

-v.-

ARI TEMAN, AKA Sealed Defendant 1,

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOINT APPENDIX Volume 4 of 11 (Pages A-481 to A-720)

KEDAR S. BHATIA
WON S. SHIN
Assistant U.S. Attorney
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
Attorneys for Appellee
One Saint Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007
(212) 637-2200

EDEN QUAINTON
QUAINTON LAW
Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant
2 Park Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10169
(212) 419-0575

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
District Court Docket Entries	A-1
Complaint, filed June 20, 2019	A-46.2
Notice of Appearance, dated August 27, 2019	A-47
Indictment, filed September 26, 2019	A-49
Waiver of Appearance for Arraignment, dated October 14, 2019	A-53
Transcript of Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated October 21, 2019	A-55
Supeseding Indictment, filed November 12, 2019	A-96.1
Transcript of Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 21, 2019	A-96.7
Opinion and Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated December 20, 2019	A-97
Second Superseding Indictment, filed January 3, 2020	A-121
Defendant Teman's Requested Voir Dire Questions, filed January 6, 2020	A-129
Excerpts of Transcript of Conference Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 10, 2020	A-137.1
Transcript of Conference Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 10, 2020	A-137.6
Letter from Kedar S. Bhatia to the Honorable Paul	Δ-137 96

	Page
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 21, 2020	A-138
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 22, 2020	A-265
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 23, 2020	A-398
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 24, 2020	A-672
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 27, 2020	A-998
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 28, 2020	A-1187
Transcript of Trial Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 29, 2020	A-1264
Verdict Sheet, dated January 29, 2020	
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 29, 2020	A-1299
Defendant's Motion for Judgment of Acquittal or in the Alternative, Motion for New Trial, filed February 26, 2020	A-1437
Motion for New Trial Based on Undisclosed Brady and Jencks Act Evidence, filed April 9, 2020	A-1473

iii

	Page
Exhibit A to Motion - Excerpts of Transcript of Proceedings, dated August 9, 2018	A-1498
Exhibit B to Motion - Report of Violations of New York City's Housing Maintenance Code	A-1512
Exhibit C to Motion - Report of Violations of New York City's Housing Maintenance Code	A-1513
Exhibit D to Motion - HPD's Housing Maintenance Code Violations User Guide	A-1514
Exhibit E to Motion - Report re: New York Civil Litigation – Joseph Soleimani	A-1548
Exhibit F to Motion - Report re: New York Civil Litigation – Elie Gabay	A-1549
Exhibit G to Motion - Glossary of Terms for Litigation Status Report from HPD	A-1550
Letter from Joseph A. DiRuzzo, III to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 9, 2020	A-1552
Letter from Kedar S. Bhatia, Assistant United States Attorney to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated May 1, 2020 re: Trial Exhibits	A-1554
Government's Exhibits:	
GX 101- GateGuard Account Opening Documents	A-1555
GX 102 - GateGuard Bank Statements	A-1559

iv

	Page
GX 103- Friend or Fraud Account Opening Documents	A-1581
GX 104- Friend or Fraud Bank Statements	A-1585
GX 105- Touchless Labs Account Opening Documents	A-1609
GX 106- Touchless Labs Bank Statements	A-1613
GX 107 - Ari Teman Account Opening Document	A-1629
GX 108- Ari Teman Bank Statements	A-1631
GX 110- April 19, 2019 2:37 PM Surveillance Photographs	A-1649
GX 111- April 19, 2019 6:00 PM Surveillance Photographs	A-1652
GX 112- May 8, 2019 3_04 PM Surveillance Photographs	A-1655
GX 113- Bank Records Spreadsheet (CD-Rom)*	A-1657.1
GX 114 - Returned Check Records	A-1658
GX 121 - ABJ Lenox Account Opening Document	A-1660

* GX 113 is a Microsoft Excel file. CD-Rom with the Excel spreadsheet provided to Court and Service parties.

V

	Page
GX 122 - ABJ Lenox Bank Statements	A-1661
GX 123 - ABJ Milano Account Opening Documents	A-1669
GX 124 - ABJ Milano Bank Statements	A-1670
GX 127 - May 2, 2019 Letter - ABJ Lenox	A-1678
GX 129 - May 2, 2019 Letter - ABJ Milano	A-1679
GX 130 - ABJ Lenox Checks	A-1680
GX 131 - ABJ Milano Checks	A-1682
GX 141 - 18 Mercer Account Opening Documents	A-1685
GX 142 - 18 Mercer Bank Statements	A-1694
GX 143 - April 4, 2018 Check from 18 Mercer Equity to GateGuard	A-1699
GX 144 - 518 W 204 Account Opening Documents	A-1700
GX 145 - 518 W 204 Bank Statements	A-1707
GX 146 - January 31, 2018 Check from 518 W 204 to GateGuard	A-1725

vi

	Page
GX 147 - March 28, 2019 Check from 518 West 205 to GateGuard	A-1726
GX 150 - Affidavit	A-1727
GX 201 - March 28, 2019 Check from Coney Realty to GateGuard	A-1728
GX 202 - March 28, 2019 Check from 18 Mercer Equity to GateGuard	A-1729
GX 203 - April 19, 2019 Check from 518 West 205 to GateGuard	A-1730
GX 204 - April 19, 2019 Check from ABJ Milano to GateGuard	A-1733
GX 205 - April 19, 2019 Check from ABJ Lennox to GateGuard	A-1739
GX 206 - April 19, 2019 GateGuard Counter Deposit	A-1757
GX 401 - September 9, 2017 E-mail - 'We're Live'	A-1758
GX 402 - November 6, 2017 E-mail - 'Current Issues'	A-1760
GX 403 - March 9, 2018 E-mail - 'Ending GateGuard'	A-1761
GX 404 - May 7, 2018 E-mail - 'All Communication in Writing'	A-1762

vii

	Page
GX 405 - May 22, 2018 E-mail - 'GateGuard'	A-1763
GX 406 - August 26, 2018 E-mail - 'Dispute on Charge'	A-1765
GX 407 - September 18, 2018 E-mail - 'Sublet Spy Hits'.	A-1767
GX 408 - December 14, 2018 E-mail - 'Notice of Intent'	A-1773
GX 409 - June 14, 2019 GateGuard Invoice	A-1775
GX 409A - Invoices (1)	A-1777
GX 409B - Invoices (2)	A-1785
GX 409C - Conversation between Teman and Soleimani	A-1786
GX 412 - January 1, 2018 E-mail - 'Tenant Ana Esterg'	A-1787
GX 413 - January 19, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice Sent'	A-1788
GX 414 - January 24, 2018 E-mail - 'Form for the 10 Buildings'	A-1791
GX 415 - March 25, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice for 20 Buildings'	A-1795
GX 416 - March 26, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice for 20 Buildings' (1)	A-1815

viii

	Page
GX 417 -	
March 26, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice for 20 Buildings' (2)	A-1820
GX 418 - March 27, 2018 E-mail - 'Proof it's Your'	A-1829
GX 431 - April 4, 2018 E-mail - 'Invoice'	A-1831
GX 441 - GateGuard Terms and Conditions	A-1834
GX 442 - April 2, 2018 E-mail - 'References'	A-1856
GX 443 - January 7, 2019 E-mail - 'Contract'	A-1862
GX 501 - Bank Records Stipulation	A-1868
GX 702 - January 2, 2019 WhatsApp Messages	A-1872
GX 704 - April 2, 2019 WhatsApp Messages	A-1874
GX 727 - April 2, 2019 Whatsapp Messages (2)	A-1875
GX 728 - April 3, 2019 Whatsapp Messages	A-1876
GX 729 - April 10, 2019 WhatsApp Messages	A-1877
Defense Exhibits:	
DX 2 - Payment Terms (1/27/2019)	A-1880
DX 14 - October 11, 2018 E-mail – 'ABJ Properties'	A-1887

ix

	Page
DX 15 - October 22, 2018 E-mail - 'SubletSpy hits'	A-1889
DX 16 - 'Notice of Hold'	A-1892
DX 29 - Affidavit	A-1893
DX 36 - March 28, 2018 E-mail – 'Invoice for 20 Buildings'	A-1894
DX 49 - Declaration	A-1902
DX 51 - Chase Information Request	A-1903
DX 52 - Chase Information Request	A-1904
DC 62 - March 9, 2018 E-mail Correspondence	A-1928
DX 70 - GateGuard Logs	A-1930
DX 71 - May 22, 2018 E-mail – 'Re: Gateguard'	A-1932
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated May 6, 2020	A-1933
Letter from Joseph A. DiRuzzo, III to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated May 8, 2020	A-1935
Opinion and Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 5, 2020	A-1937
Letter from Joseph A. DiRuzzo, III to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 29, 2020	A-2044

X

	Page
Sentencing Memorandum and Motion for Downward Variance, filed July 7, 2020	A-2045
Exhibit 1 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Dr. Rami Cohen, M.D to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 29, 2020	A-2070
Exhibit 2 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Juhan Sonin to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 6, 2020	A-2074
Exhibit 3 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Jeffrey Katz to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2076
Exhibit 4 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Oliver Josephs t to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 6, 2020	A-2077
Exhibit 5 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Thomas Orosz to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2079
Exhibit 6 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from David Diwby to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2080
Exhibit 7 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Nachum Klar to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 6, 2020	A-2082
Exhibit 8 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Anthony Zachariadis to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 6, 2020	A-2084
Exhibit 9 to Sentencing Memorandum - eJewish Philanthrophy- Coverage of Teman's Award	A-2085

	Page
Exhibit 10 to Sentencing Memorandum - HarvardX Verified Certificate of Achievement, issued May 11, 2020	A-2094
Exhibit 11 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Avi Ganz to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 7, 2020	A-2095
Exhibit 12 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Steven Oved to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 15, 2020	A-2098
Exhibit 13 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Rabbi Chaim Lipskar to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 7, 2020	A-2099
Exhibit 14 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Jonathan Lubin to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2101
Exhibit 15 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Talia Reiss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2103
Exhibit 16 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Rivka Korf to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2104
Exhibit 17 to Sentencing Memorandum - Letter from Russell DiBona to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer	A-2105
Letter from Justin K. Gelfand to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated September 8, 2020	A-2107
Appearance of Counsel, dated November 2, 2020	A-2108.1
Letter from Justin Harris to the Honorable Paul Engelmayer, dated November 2, 2020	A-2108.2

xii

	Page
Exhibit B to Letter - Letter from Justine A. Harris to Kedar Bhatia and Edward A. Imperatore, dated October 23, 2020	A-2108.6
Exhibit C to Letter - Letter from Kedar S. Bhatia to Justine A. Harris, dated October 29, 2020	A-2108.9
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 19, 2020	A-2109
Letter from Justine Harris to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 20, 2020	A-2111
Letter from Justine Harris to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 30, 2020	A-2113
Letter from Audrey Strauss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated November 30, 2020	A-2114
Transcript of Remote Conference Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated December 1, 2020	A-2116
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated January 28, 2021	A-2170
Letter Motion from Audrey Strauss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 23, 2021	A-2173
Exhibit A to Letter - Proposed Order of Restitution	A-2181
Exhibit B to Letter - Proposed Preliminary Order of Forfeiture/Money Judgment	A-2185
Exhibit C to Letter - Document - Finocchiaro 3503-19	A-2189
Exhibit D to Letter - Revised Document - Finocchiaro 3503-19	A-2190

xiii

	Page
Exhibit E to Letter - Affidavit of Karen Finocchiaro, dated April 2, 2021	A-2191
Letter from Andrew J. Frisch to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 23, 2021	A-2195.1
Attachment to Letter - Curriculum Vitae of Richard M. Fraher	A-2195.3
Letter Motion to Vacate Conviction from Andrew J. Frisch to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 28, 2021	A-2196
Exhibit A to Letter Motion - Document - Finocchiaro 3503-19	A-2211
Exhibit B to Letter Motion - Revised Document - Finocchiaro 3503-19	A-2213
Exhibit C to Letter Motion - E-mail Correspondence, dated November 30, 2020	A-2215
Exhibit D to Letter Motion - E-mail Correspondence, dated March 10, 2021	A-2217
Exhibit E to Letter Motion - E-mail Correspondence, dated March 12, 2021	A-2219
Exhibit F to Letter Motion - Copies of Various Cashier's Checks	A-2221
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated May 5, 2021	A-2223
Letter from Andrew J. Frisch to Kedar Bhatia, dated May 12, 2021	A-2225
Annexed to Letter - Declaration of Richard M. Fraher, executed May 11, 2021	A-2226

xiv

	Page
Letter from Audrey Strauss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 9, 2021	A-2236
Letter from Andrew J. Frisch to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 14, 2021	A-2246.1
Letter from Audrey Strauss to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated June 29, 2021	A-2246.4
Transcript of Conference Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 9, 2021	A-2247
Sentencing Submission Letter from Susan G. Kellman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 16, 2021	A-2315
Transcript of Sentencing Proceedings held before the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated July 28, 2021	A-2333
Notice of Appeal, dated August 2, 2021	A-2458
Letter Motion from Ari Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated March 3, 2022	A-2459
Letter Motion from Ari Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 12, 2022	A-2462
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 13, 2022	A-2463
Letter Motion from Ari Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 13, 2022	A-2465
Letter from Eden P. Quainton to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 13, 2022	A-2467
Notice of Appearance, filed April 13, 2022	A-2469
Letter Motion from Ari B. Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 14, 2022	A-2470

XV

	Page
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 15, 2022	A-2473
Emergency Letter Motion from Ari B. Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 20, 2022	A-2476
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 20, 2022	A-2479
Emergency Letter Motion from Ari B. Teman to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 20, 2022	A-2481
Letter from Kedar S. Bhatia, Asst. United States Attorney to the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 21, 2022	A-2482
Order of the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, dated April 21, 2022	A-2483

T.F	4		1			\sim
K		n	a	t	em	/

1 Α. Yes.

- Q. Did Mr. Teman ever direct your attention to language that
- 3 said you had to pay a fee to remove a device?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 If you had seen that language, would that have stood out to
- you? 6
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you had seen language that said you were committing 8
- 9 to paying a fee for removing the device, what would you have
- done? 10
- 11 MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor. It calls for
- 12 speculation. The same objection as earlier.
- 13 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained.
- 14 (Pause)
- 15 No. Overruled. I am going to reverse on that.
- 16 The witness may answer.
- 17 BY MR. BHATIA:
- Q. You can answer, Mr. Gabay. 18
- 19 A. Repeat the question, please.
- If you had seen something that said by buying the one 20
- 21 intercom for \$3,600, you would be paying a cancellation fee or
- a device removal fee, what would you have done? 22
- 23 A. I would have removed that language.
- Would you have spoken to Mr. Teman about it? 24
- 25 Α. Absolutely.

A-482	
-------	--

K1	nd	.tei	m2
----	----	------	----

- Would you have told him that you didn't agree to that? Q.
- 2 Yes. Α.

3

- You don't remember ever seeing that language?
- 4 Α. No.
- 5 You never saw that language?
- That's correct. 6 Α.
- 7 Q. Before the break, we spoke about -- you saw an email where
- 8 you decided to put the negotiations on hold, right?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Q. And how did Mr. Teman react to that?
- A. He sent a very nasty and aggressive email saying -- I don't 11
- 12 remember the exact words, but it was kind of unpleasant after
- 13 that.
- Q. When you sent him that message, were you saying that we're 14
- 15 never going to do business with you again, or were you
- 16 conveying that we just need to take some time?
- 17 A. We just need to take some time.
- 18 (Continued on next page)

19

20

21

22

23

24

	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - direct
1	BY MR. BHATIA:
2	Q. And is that because of the issues that you were having with
3	the first device?
4	A. Yes.
5	MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, can we please publish
6	Government Exhibit 417.
7	Q. And, in particular, I'll direct your attention to an email
8	at the bottom of page 2, which we can blow up, which we'll blow
9	up for you.
10	This is your email that you previously spoke about,
11	right? This is where you said updated feedback below, and you
12	told him to put the project on hold?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. Now, going to page 1 of this document, in response
15	to that, Mr. Teman sent you a message. And we'll take a look
16	at the we can blow up the whole email.
17	He sent this to you on the same day, right? This is
18	from April 26th, 2019?
19	THE COURT: Counsel, you said April 26?
20	A. I don't see
21	THE COURT: One moment.
22	MR. BHATIA: March 26, I'm sorry.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. It's from March 26. This is a message from Ari Teman to
25	you?

	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - direct
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And is this the response he had that you thought was
3	unusual?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Here he's saying: I'm going to sue you for I'll direct
6	your attention to this. This is the very last line of the
7	email. He says: I'm going to sue
8	THE COURT: Sorry. Do you want to take a moment and
9	let the jury read the email?
LO	MR. BHATIA: Sure.
L1	(Pause)
L2	THE COURT: While the jury is reading the email, can I
L3	briefly see counsel at the sidebar? And I'll ask the witness
L4	to step down please.
L5	(Continued on next page)
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
ر ک	

K1NVTEM3

Gabay - direct

1

(At sidebar)

examination moves more quickly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

THE COURT: There is an extraordinary amount of leading going on. The questions are almost repeatedly leading and not close. In the absence of an objection, I'll permit

them to stand; they facilitate the examination and the

I did want to spot the issue, though, because the amount of leading is really unusual. Please, do your best, certainly if there is an objection, to abide by the rules about leading questions. You're consistently putting the answer in the witness's mouth in the form of a question.

Mr. Gelfand, I take it that the defense is not objecting to that for strategic reasons to let this move along.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor. And when it comes to things like background info, I tend to just ignore the form issues.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. GELFAND: When it comes to more substantive things, we'll certainly object.

THE COURT: That's fine.

As to the presentation of exhibits to the jury, if you're putting an email in front of the jury that involves the exchange between the witness and the defendant, so as not to frustrate the jury, I think you need to give them the time to at least absorb the email before zipping right to a portion

	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - direct
1	towards the back end. Otherwise, it's very hard for them to
2	understand the context of what's being asked about.
3	MR. BHATIA: I understand.
4	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
5	(Continued on next page)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

K1NVTEM3	Gabay - direct	
----------	----------------	--

(In open court)

THE COURT: You may proceed.

BY MR. BHATIA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. Mr. Gabay, in the second paragraph here, you wrote --Mr. Teman wrote: You promised to not judge us based on the old device, which I didn't want to install for you because it relies on internet. And you have Spectrum that always goes offline.

What's he referring to there?

- A. He's referring to the device that was installed at 518 West 204th Street.
- Q. Did you ever make any -- what promises, if any, did you make to Mr. Teman regarding evaluating him for future purchase?
- A. I don't recall making any promises about anything.
- Q. And now going further down this page, in the last paragraph he writes: Your manager is an idiot and your internet has been off for days. As I said and as your super told him, I cannot fix your manager being an idiot, but that's not my problem. My problem is you do not keep your end of contracts. You waste my

attorneys. I'm done with you. Pay the bill before you try to 21 22 talk or it goes to my attorneys. I'm also going to sue you for 23 interrupting my business for the last two months with your

time, you make false promises. This is why they invented

24 lies.

25 He mentions in here keeping you under contracts.

	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - direct
1	Do you have a contract with Mr. Teman?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Did you have any idea what contract he was referring to?
4	A. No.
5	Q. And he references false promises. Had you made any
6	promises to Mr. Teman?
7	A. Not that I can recall, no.
8	Q. He says here too: This is why they invented attorneys.
9	What do you think how did you interpret that?
10	MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	Q. What did it mean to you when he wrote, This is why they
13	invented attorneys?
14	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, same objection.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	Q. Mr. Teman wrote: I'm going to sue you for interrupting my
17	business for the last two months with your lies. Had he ever
18	spoken to you about a lawsuit before?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Do you take this as him threatening to sue you?
21	A. Threatening some sort of legal action.
22	Q. After this email, were there other times when Mr. Teman
23	threatened you?
24	A. I believe so.
25	Q. Were those on the phone or in email?

Z 1 NI TTEMO	Calagra	ol = 20 = =+
K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	arrect

- There are some other emails that I received from him which 1 2 did have some other threats about placing liens, and I can't 3 remember exactly what else.
 - Q. And going back to the document that's Government Exhibit 417. At the top of this page and in the first sentence, we'll pull it up, Mr. Teman here writes: Please pay the full invoice for the GateGuard device you got 100 percent bad faith
- immediately before we release anything further. 8

9 Does he attach an invoice here to this email?

- Yeah, it shows that there's an attachment.
- 11 Had you already paid the invoice that you agreed to pay for 12 the one device?
- 13 Yes. Α.

4

5

6

7

- This is a different invoice? 14
- 15 It's got a different invoice number, yeah.
- 16 THE COURT: Sorry. Louder, please.
- 17 It's got a different invoice number.
- I'll direct your attention to page 9 of this document which 18
- 19 shows the invoice. This is the invoice that you received on
- 20 March 26th after sending him the email about putting your
- project on hold? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 This is a different invoice, right?
- Different than what? 24
- 25 This is not the same as the \$3,600 invoice?

		353
K1N	VTEM3 Gabay - direct	
Α.	No, it's not.	
Q.	What's the balance due for this invoice?	
A.	\$18,286.	
Q.	Had you agreed to pay that value of money to Mr. Teman?	
Α.	No.	
Q.	If we can go through now and look at the items listed in	
the	invoice. It's listed here, GateGuard Version 1 panel. A	nd
the	unit cost is now \$14,999.	
	Had you agreed to pay that amount for the GateGuard	
pan	els?	
Α.	No.	
Q.	And you had only at that point purchased one panel?	
Α.	Correct.	
Q.	Did you ever buy another panel?	
Α.	No.	
Q.	And here there's also a it looks like it says:	
Ins	tallation wired basement Version 1. And it lists a cost o	f
\$1,	499. Had you agreed to pay \$1,499 for installation?	
Α.	I don't know what the original \$3600 included, what the	
cos	t was for installation. But, no, not like this.	

Q. You don't remember paying, on top of \$15,000, another \$1500 for installation?

MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor. Leading.

THE COURT: One moment.

Sustained.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	direct
10111 0 11115	Japay	CLT CCC

There's listed here, as well, a monthly service. And it says: First year up front. And it lists the value of -- the unit cost of \$149, quantity of 12, and a total of \$1,788.

Had you agreed to pay that amount of money to Mr. Teman for monthly service?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 7 Q. After this invoice in this email, this was the day you 8 initially put the project on hold; is that right?
- 9 I believe so.
- Q. Did there ever come a time when Mr. Teman threatened to 10 11 place a lien on one of your buildings?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I'd like to direct your attention to Government Exhibit 418, which we'll pull up for you. And in particular, I'd like to direct your attention to the second-to-last paragraph. Why don't we take a look at the second-to-last paragraph.

This is an email from Mr. Teman to you and to others.

He says: Take this to your father-in-law or whoever is the adult supervision, and explain that if your end of the contract isn't upheld, we're putting a lien on your building tomorrow. You will never get the opportunity to invest in our company, but you sure as hell will pay your bill -- pay you bill.

He references a lien in this message, right?

25 Yes.

Z 1 NIT 7TT DNO	Cabarr		4 +
K1NVTEM3	Gabay	_	direct

What's a lien? 1 Q.

- A. A lien is -- I don't know the legal explanation, but a lien
- 3 is some sort of monetary claim that can be placed against the
- 4 ownership of a property.
- 5 Is a lien something that signals someone owes you money or
- you owe someone money? 6
- 7 A. You owe someone money.
- Q. It's something that if someone believes you owe money, they 8
- 9 can try to put on one of your buildings?
- A. That's correct. 10
- Is it a significant problem, as someone who manages 11
- 12 properties?
- 13 A. It is a problem if you have a lien other than the bank on
- 14 your property.
- 15 Q. As far as you know, did he ever actually place a lien on
- 16 your buildings?
- 17 A. Not as far as I know.
- Q. It was referenced in this email, but as far as you know, it 18
- 19 wasn't actually done?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, at any time did Mr. Teman ever say that he would issue 21
- 22 checks against Coney Management's accounts if it did not pay
- 23 this invoice?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 At any point did Mr. Teman ever ask permission to pay

K1NVTEM3	Gabay - direct

- himself by issuing checks from Coney's bank accounts? 1
- 2 A. No.

- Q. And if he had asked your permission to do that, to write
- 4 checks from your accounts to himself, what would you have said?
- 5 I would have said no.
- 6 Why is that? 0.
- 7 A. Because we don't allow other people to write checks on our
- 8 accounts.
- 9 Turning now -- this email is dated March 18, 2018, right?
- A. March 27th. 10
- Q. March 27th, that's right. 11
- 12 How did your relationship with Mr. Teman continue
- 13 throughout 2018?
- A. Continued to deteriorate and nonexistent. 14
- 15 What do you mean deteriorate?
- 16 I was getting emails like this and found no reason to
- 17 continue dealing with him.
- 18 Q. I want to understand what was in your head throughout 2018.
- 19 Would you describe them as threatening or some other way?
- 20 A. Definitely threatening, yes.
- 21 Q. And that happened throughout 2018?
- A. There were few different emails and claims from Mr. Teman 22
- 23 and his attorney.
- At any point did you ever purchase more intercoms? 24
- 25 Α. No.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	direct
ICTIVATELIS	Junuy	UTT CCC

- Did you ever tell him, after he put the project on hold, 1
- 2 that you wanted to buy more intercoms?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Mr. Gabay, I'd like to direct your attention to April 2019.
- 5 Did there come a time when you learned about certain activity
- in the 518 West 204 bank account? 6
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And did that activity involve checks that you had not 8
- 9 authorized?
- A. Yes. 10
- 11 Q. How did you learn about those checks?
- 12 A. We were notified by our bank that a check had been
- 13 presented. And they contacted us to verify whether it was
- authorized. 14
- 15 Did they send you a picture of that check?
- 16 Yes. Α.
- 17 MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, if we could publish
- Government Exhibit 201. And we'll pull up a check image at the 18
- 19 top of this page.
- 20 Q. When did you first see this check?
- Some time in March or April of 2019, I don't remember the 21
- exact date. 22
- 23 Q. What was your reaction to seeing it?
- 24 I was surprised and I was upset.
- 25 What about it surprised you?

	_		
K1NVTEM3	Gabay	_	direct

- I was surprised that somebody would attempt to write up 1
- 2 their own check and draw it up from our account.
 - Q. Had you ever authorized this check?
- 4 A. No.

- Did you agree that -- had you agreed that any particular 5
- person could deposit this check? 6
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you or anyone else at Coney Management authorized this
- 9 check?
- 10 A. No.
- Are you familiar with checks issued from this account? 11
- A. Other checks? 12
- 13 Other checks. Q.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 You've seen them as part of your day-to-day
- 16 responsibilities?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And how do those checks compare -- we'll go into detail, 18
- 19 but as a general matter, how do those checks compare to this
- 20 check?
- A. They look completely different in their format and layout 21
- and text. 22
- 23 Q. And starting at the top left part of this check image, how
- does the name listed as 518 West 205 LLC compare to what's on 24
- 25 your checks?

K1NVTEM3	Gabay	- direct

- 518 West 205 LLC is not an entity that we operate. 1
 - Is there one that you operate with a similar name?
 - 518 West 204 LLC. Α.
- 4 0. 204 versus 205?
- 5 Correct. Α.

2

- And this check says care of Coney Realty, right? 6
- 7 That's correct.
- What's the association with being Coney Realty and 518 West 8
- 9 205 LLC?
- There is no association. 10
- 11 Is there an association between another Coney entity and
- 12 518 West 205 -- West 204?
- 13 Yes, Coney Management. Α.
- 14 Coney Management oversees West 204, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Not Coney Realty? Q.
- 17 Correct. Α.
- In addition, if you go to the bottom now right corner of 18
- 19 this check, it has what looks like maybe a signature.
- 20 any signature -- are you familiar with -- you said you're
- familiar with other checks from this account? 21
- 22 A. I am.
- 23 Q. You've seen the other checks from other people who write
- from that account? 24
- 25 No other people write checks from this account, just our

K1NVTEM3	Gabay	_	direct	

- office. 1
- 2 Q. Does that signature appear to be any of the -- does that
- 3 signature appear like any of the people who write checks from
- 4 your account?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Further below it says: "Draw per contract, no signature
- 7 required." Is that language that appears on the checks that
- 8 you issue from your account?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. It says: "Draw per contract." Did you have a contract 10
- with Mr. Teman? 11
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. In the memo line it says: "Device removal fee." Prior
- to -- before seeing this check, had you ever heard of a device 14
- 15 removal fee?
- A. In his emails to me. 16
- 17 Q. In his emails to you after -- was that after the
- relationship deteriorated? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Had you ever told him -- had you ever given him authority
- to draw -- to pay a device removal fee? 21
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Had you authorized anyone from your company to pay a device
- removal fee? 24
- 25 Α. No.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	direct
YTN A T FM2	Gabay -	airect

- Had you told Mr. Teman that he was authorized to draw a 1 2 check for a device removal fee?
 - A. No.

- 4 Q. Prior to seeing this check, what conversations, if any, did
- 5 you have with the defendant in which he said that he was
- authorized to draw this check? 6
- 7 A. We didn't have any conversations authorizing him to draw
- 8 checks from the account.
- 9 Q. If you had a conversation where Mr. Teman had told you, I'm
- authorized to draw a check from your account, would that have 10
- 11 stood out in your memory?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Why would that have stood out?
- 14 A. Because we don't allow vendors outside of specific ones to
- 15 draw funds from our account, to draw any sort of funds or make
- 16 checks from our account.
- 17 Q. If he had told you in an email that he had the authority to
- draw checks from your account, would that have stood out in 18
- 19 your mind?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And what would you have said -- what would you have done in 21
- 22 response to seeing that in an email?
- A. I would have told him that he was unauthorized. 23
- Did you learn about additional checks from the same 24
- 25 account?

K1NVTEM3	Gabay - direct
----------	----------------

- 1 Α. Yes.
 - Q. I should say this one is dated March 28th, 2019; is that
- 3 right?

2

- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And so did you learn about additional checks after March
- 28th, 2019? 6
- 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. How did you learn about those checks?
- 9 A. We were notified by our bank that additional checks had
- 10 been presented to be cashed on this account.
- 11 Q. For the March 2018 checks, what did you tell your bank --
- now I'm going back to the March ones. In the March 2019 12
- 13 checks, I should say, what did you tell your bank about --
- 14 THE COURT: One moment, counsel. I think you said the
- 15 March 2018 checks. Are you referring to this check that's on
- the screen or something else? 16
- 17 MR. BHATIA: Let me rephrase that. I'm referring to
- this check. 18
- THE COURT: That's 2019. 19
- 20 Q. March 2019. What did you tell your bank with regards to
- 21 this check?
- A. That it was unauthorized. 22
- 23 Q. And why did you tell your bank that?
- A. Because it was unauthorized. 24
- 25 And what did your bank do in response to that?

K1NVTEM3	Cabarr		direct	
KINVIEMS	Gabay	_	direct	

- They declined to cash it from whoever presented it; and 1 2 instructed us that since fraud -- fraudulent activity was on 3 the account, they instructed us to close the account. Or they 4 actually closed the account.
 - As far as you know, they did close the account?
- Yes. 6 Α.

5

- 7 So someone would not be able to draw checks on that account
- in the future? 8
- 9 That's correct.
- 10 Did there come a time when you learned that someone did try
- to draw checks from that account? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How did you hear about that?
- 14 A. Also we were notified by the bank that additional checks 15 were attempting to be cashed from this account.
- 16 Did they send you any images of those checks?
- 17 Yes. Α.
- I'd like to direct your attention to Government Exhibit 203 18 19 which we'll pull up on the screen.
- 20 By way of example, let's look at the first page of this document. Is this a check image that your bank had given 21 22 to you?
 - A. Yes.

- And is this also drawn from the 518 -- it lists here 518 24
- 25 West 205 LLC?

A. Yes.

			364
	K1N	VTEM3 Gabay - direct	
1	A.	Yes.	
2	Q.	That's not an entity associated with Coney at all, right?	
3	A.	That's correct.	
4	Q.	That would be 518 West 204 LLC?	
5	A.	That's right.	
6	Q.	And this check looks a little different; is that right?	
7	A.	Yes.	
8	Q.	It says: "Draw per contract. No signature required." I	s
9	tha	t right?	
10	Α.	Yes.	
11	Q.	And below that it says: "This is a valid check. You are	!
12	req	uired by law to honor it." It says: "Contract at	
13	gat	eguard.xyz/legal/terms.php accepted by above client."	
14		Had you accepted that contract?	
15	Α.	No.	
16	Q.	Did you have any contract with Mr. Teman?	
17	Α.	No.	
18	Q.	It says: "Contact us at 212-203-3714 with questions."	
19		Is that your phone number?	
20	Α.	No, it's not.	
21	Q.	Do you know whose phone number that is?	
22	Α.	I don't know offhand.	
23	Q.	In the bottom left corner of this is what's called a	
24	cha	rgeback fee. Do you see that?	

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	direct
ICTIVATEDIO	Japay	UTI ECC

- Prior to this check, had you ever heard of a chargeback 1
- 2 fee?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Had you ever agreed to pay a chargeback fee?
- 5 Α. No.
- 6 The check at the bottom lists a bank number -- sorry, a
- 7 routing number and account number. Are those numbers that come
- back to the 518 West 204 LLC account? 8
- 9 I would assume so.
- That's how checks would get to that account, right? 10
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Had you ever given Mr. Teman your bank account number or
- 13 bank routing number?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Did he ever ask you for a form that would give it to you?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 In which you would give to him? Q.
- 18 Α. No.
- 19 If he had asked you for a form authorizing withdrawals from
- 20 your bank account, how would you have reacted?
- A. He did ask and we said no. 21
- 22 Q. Why did you say no?
- 23 A. Because it's not the way we operate. We only do things by
- invoice where we issue the check. He had requested that we 24
- 25 enter an order using a CH, and we asked him for invoices only.

	_	
TZ 1	כוע אינדידי עדא	
n	1 X X 1 P 1 V 3	

3

4

Gabay - direct

- You mentioned that you do business via invoice. Why do you 1 2 do business via invoice?
 - A. We feel that it allows for better records and checks and balances, and it's just the way we've decided to run our
- 5 business process.
- 6 What does it mean that you require an invoice?
- 7 A. If somebody wants to get paid, they have to send us an 8 invoice. When they send us an invoice, we write out a check.
- 9 Q. Do you receive an invoice -- so that happens with other
- 10 vendors, right?
- 11 That happens with all vendors.
- 12 Q. And with people who need to get paid by Coney Management,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 And in some cases, do you have contracts with those people?
- 16 In some cases, yes. Α.
- 17 Q. And in the cases where you have a contract with them, do
- they still send you an invoice? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Why is that?
- Because a contract is a contract. And there is an invoice 21
- 22 which has an invoice number, sometimes there are payment terms.
- 23 So there will be an initial payment, and then a subsequent
- invoice for a first installment or and monthly payment or 24
- 25 something like that.

TZ 1	CMTTTTIA	
h L	NVTEM3	

Gabay - direct

- Do you ever just pay because -- do you pay expenses because 1
- 2 they are identified in an invoice -- in a contract alone?
 - A. No.

- 4 You need an invoice as well?
- 5 Correct. Α.
- 6 Did you authorize anyone to deposit this check shown here
- 7 in Exhibit 203?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. You can flip through in the binder there. There are other
- checks in Exhibit 203. Did you authorize any of those? Take a 10
- 11 look and that will be my question.
- 12 A. No, I didn't authorize these.
- 13 Q. In fact, at the time of these checks, that's April 19th,
- 14 2019, was this bank account open?
- 15 A. I don't believe it was.
- 16 Why had it been closed? Q.
- 17 A. Because we had received notification that a fraudulent
- check had been created and cashed against the account. 18
- 19 The date on those checks is April 19th, 2019?
- 20 That's correct. Α.
- 21 Do you observe a holiday that began on that date?
- I do. 22 Α.
- 23 What holiday? 0.
- 24 A. Passover.
- 25 And had you spoken with Mr. Teman in the past about your

	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - direct
1	observance of religious holidays?
2	A. No, I don't think so.
3	Q. That's a formal way of saying you and Mr. Teman talked
4	about some of the Jewish holidays; is that right?
5	MR. GELFAND: Objection. Leading.
6	THE COURT: The witness said no. Next question.
7	Q. When you observed
8	THE COURT: Sorry. The witness said, No, I don't
9	think so. So it assumes a fact not in evidence.
LO	Next question.
L1	Q. When you observe this holiday, what is your practice with
L2	respect to electronic communications?
L3	MR. GELFAND: Objection. Relevance, your Honor. 403.
L4	Object to relevance and 403.
L5	THE COURT: Overruled.
L6	THE WITNESS: Answer?
L7	THE COURT: You may answer.
L8	A. For the first two days and the last two days of the
L9	holiday, I am completely disconnected from any electronics and
20	don't use them.
21	Q. And this check was deposited on that first day of that
22	holiday?
23	A. No, this check was deposited on the eve of that holiday.
24	Q. So for the next two days, you wouldn't be accessing your
25	electronic devices?

	K1N	VTEM3 Gabay - direct
1	Α.	Correct.
2	Q.	Do other people in your office observe that holiday?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Do they have similar practices?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	When you were a customer of Sublet Spy or GateGuard, did
7	you	meet with Mr. Teman in person?
8	Α.	I met with him in person, yes.
9	Q.	And did you speak with him over the phone?
10	Α.	I did.
11	Q.	When, if ever, did Mr. Teman tell you that he would deposit
12	che	cks that he wrote from the 518 West 204 LLC account?
13		MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor.
14		Asked and answered.
15		THE COURT: One moment.
16		Overruled.
17	Α.	Never.
18	Q.	When, if ever, have you ever allowed any vendor to write
19	che	cks on their own behalf from the 518 West 204 LLC account?
20		MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor.
21		Asked and answered.
22		THE COURT: Sustained.
23		MR. BHATIA: One moment, your Honor.
24		(Counsel conferred)
25		MR. BHATIA: No further questions, your Honor.

Yes.

	370
	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - cross
1	THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.
2	Mr. Gelfand.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. GELFAND:
5	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Gabay.
6	A. Good afternoon.
7	Q. Mr. Gabay, my name is Justin Gelfand. I'm an attorney for
8	Mr. Teman. You and I have never met; correct?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. You and I have never spoken; correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Mr. Gabay, you testified that your company owns and manages
13	properties, you said commercial properties, in New York City;
14	correct?
15	A. Manages.
16	Q. Okay. And when you say "commercial properties," just to be
17	clear, these are apartment buildings that people live in, they
18	are commercial properties in the sense that they are owned?
19	A. They are apartment buildings, yes.
20	Q. And in doing so and operating the company, you regularly
21	enter into business relationships with vendors; correct?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. And you regularly enter into contracts and other agreements
24	with those vendors; correct?

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- Is it fair to say that just in the ordinary course of the 1 2 business of your company, those business relationships can 3 often take different forms in the sense of how the agreements
- 4 are entered into?
 - In our company they usually have a similar form.
- To be clear, sometimes the terms of contracts will be 6
- 7 negotiated; correct?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Sometimes the company will accept terms and become a 10 customer; correct?
- 1 1 A. Sometimes.
- Q. And the point here is that different business 12
- relationships, different transactions, inevitably just take 13
- 14 different form, depending on who the vendor is that you're
- 15 dealing with; correct?
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Now, you agree that your company did, in fact, have a
- business relationship with GateGuard; correct? 18
- A. That's correct. 19
- 20 And you agree that you chose to become a customer of
- GateGuard; correct? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you agree, as you testified while the prosecutor was
- 24 asking you questions, that at the time that you did,
- 25 GateGuard's system was unique based on what was on the market;

ı	_ K1NVTEM3 Gabay - cross	372
7		
1	correct?	
2	MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: Sustained.	
4	Q. When you became a customer of GateGuard, were you familia	
5	with other available possible intercom and technology systems	;?
6	A. Yes, we had looked at others.	
7	Q. Is it fair to say GateGuard's system was cutting-edge?	
8	A. It claimed to be.	
9	Q. And, in fact, you expressed an interest in it because it	
LO	was cutting-edge; correct?	
L1	A. That's correct.	
L2	Q. Now, to be clear, at the time you knew that GateGuard had	ł
L3	customers all over New York City; correct?	
L 4	A. That's what I was told.	
L5	Q. Okay. And, in fact, as you testified, you actually met	
L6	personally with Ari Teman at a trade show; correct?	
L 7	A. Correct.	
L8	Q. And just generally speaking, what do you mean by "trade	
L9	show"?	
20	A. A trade show is a place where people in and around a	
21	specific industry will come and exhibit products or services.	
22	Q. And the trade show that you that GateGuard was or h	ıad
23	a presence in, do you recall what that trade show was?	
24	A. I don't remember which one it was.	

Q. Okay. GateGuard had a booth, in essence, at that trade

K1NVTEM3	Gabay - cross	

- show; correct? 1
 - A. Yes.

- 3 Q. And in that trade show, you had an opportunity to see at
- 4 least a demo of GateGuard's technology at the time; correct?
- 5 A. Part of it, yeah.
- Q. And after that trade show, you had actually asked Mr. Teman 6
- 7 to do a personal demo of the GateGuard equipment and
- technology; correct? 8
- 9 A. That's correct.
- And you said that that happened, I believe, at your office 10
- 11 in greater New York?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. Now, that meeting, Mr. Teman was present on behalf of
- 14 GateGuard; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 And who else was present on behalf of your company, if
- anyone? 17
- A. We had some of the managers there. 18
- 19 Q. During this meeting, you had an opportunity to see what
- 20 GateGuard's intercom system was; correct?
- A. Part of the functionality. We were told that there was 21
- 22 some other things which were in development.
- 23 Q. And, in fact, is it fair to say that when you initially
- bought the GateGuard -- or became a customer of GateGuard, it 24
- 25 wasn't just an intercom, there was a website interface as well?

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- 1 Α. Correct.
 - Q. And the website interface enabled you or others in your

company to actually see time-stamped logs and photographs of

- 4 who was entering your building at any given time; correct?
- A. Yes. 5

2

- And that was valuable to you because you could actually use 6
- 7 that information for whatever business purpose you have;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- So, for example, if you wanted to see if the same person 10
- 11 was going into Unit 110, you would have pictures of the dates
- 12 and times of everyone that was going into Unit 110; correct?
- A. I believe so, yeah. 13
- 14 Q. And you had an opportunity throughout your entire business
- 15 relationship with GateGuard to log into that web-based system
- 16 and access those logs for your building; correct?
- 17 A. Yeah, I believe so, yeah.
- Q. And you or others in your company did, in fact, do that; 18
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- In doing that, there's not only photographs and time stamps 21
- 22 that are taken, but there's ways to basically organize the data
- 23 on your end; correct?
- A. I'm not familiar with the complete functionality of the 24
- 25 interface.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	_	cross

- Now, to log into the system at GateGuard, did you need a 1 2 user name/password type setup?
 - A. Yeah.

- 4 So to be clear, some random person off the street couldn't
- 5 get access to the data that GateGuard was storing for you;
- correct? 6
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. And GateGuard was storing that data indefinitely for you; 8
- 9 correct?
- I don't know if it was indefinite or not. 10
- Was there a limit? 1 1 0.
- A. I don't know. 12
- Q. Were there ever times that you logged on and couldn't see 13
- 14 certain records because it was too old?
- 15 A. Not that I recall.
- 16 The point is, is it fair to say that when you were a
- 17 customer of GateGuard, it was more than just a physical device;
- there was an entire web-based application of technology there? 18
- A. Yes. 19
- 20 And data storage?
- 21 I'm sorry? Α.
- 22 And data storage. Q.
- 23 Yes. Α.
- Now, you testified that you had basically bought 24
- 25 essentially the 1.0 version of the intercom device; correct?

Yes.

376

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross
	_	

1 Α.

- And you testified that at the time you were aware that
- 3 GateGuard overseas was manufacturing essentially the next
- 4 generation of the system; correct?
- 5 I was told that the funds from our deposits were going to
- be used to get the manufacturing off the ground. 6
- 7 Q. And your understanding or at least what was communicated to
- 8 you was that that manufacturing was happening in China;
- 9 correct?
- A. Correct. 10
- 11 And you were interested in getting the first system,
- 12 essentially getting in early, but ultimately, at the time,
- 13 upgrading when the new technology was available; correct?
- 14 A. No. We had an immediate need, and so we spoke with
- 15 Mr. Teman about putting in that version 1.0 on a temporary
- 16 basis.
- 17 Q. To become a GateGuard customer when you did -- we're going
- to get to the other stuff later -- so we're on the same page, 18
- 19 you readily admit that you bought the system that you claim you
- 20 believed you paid \$3600 for; correct?
- A. I paid \$3600. 21
- 22 Q. And you got a device?
- 23 To use the system, yes.
- 24 To become a customer of GateGuard at that time, you had to
- 25 do so on GateGuard's website; correct?

K	1	N'	V	Т	F.	М	3
n	ш	LV	v	Т	L	Τ _v T	J

2

4

5

6

Gabay - cross

- I don't know. 1 Α.
 - You're saying no or you're saying you don't remember?
- 3 I'm saying I don't know. Α.
 - Okay. Do you know if it was you or someone else at your company that actually signed up for GateGuard?

I don't recall.

- 7 Q. So your testimony, if I understand it, is that it could
- 8 have been someone else at your company that signed up for
- 9 GateGuard on the website?
- 10 MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor. Foundation.
- THE COURT: Sustained. 11
- 12 Assumes a fact not in evidence.
- Q. Do other people at your company have the authority to sign 13 up for vendors like GateGuard? 14

A. No.

- 16 So sitting here today, to be clear, you're not denying that
- 17 you signed up online, you're just saying you don't remember?
- A. That's correct. 18
- 19 THE COURT: Mr. Gelfand, can you just clarify what you
- 20 mean by "signed up online"? I think it's unclear to me what
- that is conveying, just for clarity for the jury. 21
- MR. GELFAND: Sure. 22
- 23 Q. To actually become a GateGuard customer, you had to provide
- GateGuard certain information about your building; correct? 24
- 25 I quess so.

T 7 1	N TT 71	r_{T}	Th AT	\neg
ĸ	INI V	L.E.	IVI	٠.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Gabay - cross

- And in doing so, you had to, if you recall, check a box on the website to become a customer?
 - I don't recall. Α.
- You don't recall. Okay.

To be clear -- well --

- Do you want me to explain my answer?
- Sure, I'm happy to have you explain your answer.
- Okay. So the reason I don't recall doing this is because 9 had I filled out a form, I would have put in the correct owning
- 10 entity in that form. But as you can see from the invoice, the
- 11 invoices were not made out to our owning entity, they were made
- out to a prior owner. I would have not put in that prior 12
- owner's entity in the form if I signed up for the service. 13
- 14 Q. Let me get this straight for a second. I appreciate your
- 15 explanation, but is it your testimony under oath that you
- 16 remember how it was you became a customer of GateGuard?
- 17 A. No, it's not. My testimony is if I would have signed up, I
- would have put in our entity information. 18
- 19 Q. Now, at least at some point, as the prosecutor asked you,
- 20 you went on to the GateGuard website and downloaded the terms
- and conditions; correct? 21
- A. That's correct. 22
- 23 Q. And you actually downloaded them and put them into a
- Microsoft Word document; correct? 24
- 25 That's correct.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross
	• • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

- And you essentially took that document and used redlining 1 2 or markup revision features to show proposed edits to that
- 3 document; correct?
 - That's correct.
- 5 Okay. Do you see Exhibit 415 in front of you?
- Yes. 6 Α.

- Q. Now, Exhibit 415, as you went over with the prosecutor --7 8 THE COURT: Can the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
- 9 see it now? Okay. Very good. Thank you.
- This was a March 25th, 2018 email from you to Mr. Teman, 10
- 11 cc'ing Yoni, or Jonathan, Irom, and including a document;
- 12 correct?
- A. Correct. 13
- 14 That document was your markup of the terms and conditions;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And to be clear, you identified that this document that the
- prosecutor put into evidence is a document that you personally 18
- 19 downloaded and then marked up; correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Okay. So this is from you. It's not from the defense, for 21
- 22 lack of a better way of putting it; correct?
- A. That's correct. 23
- Tell me the date that appears on the revision or last 24
- 25 revision on these terms and conditions.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- It was last revised November 30th, 2017 at 3:30 p.m. 1
 - Q. And to be clear, you agree with me that that date was
- 3 before you ever became a GateGuard customer; correct?
 - Α. I'm not sure.
- 5 Would that first email from support.teman refresh your
- memory as to the date? 6
- 7 I'm sorry, say again?
- Q. I'm showing you Exhibit 412. Was this the first 8
- 9 correspondence that you essentially received confirming the
- 10 system worked?
- A. It must have been early on. I don't know if it's the 11
- 12 first.

2

- Q. Fair to say that's January of 2018? 13
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. After the November 2017 date on the terms and conditions;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. On the document that you marked up, first of all, you 18
- 19 initially sent a draft to Mr. Teman and an attorney; correct?
- 20 I responded to an email from Mr. Teman who had cc'd his
- attorney on his initial discussions with me. 21
- 22 Q. Okay. And you had basically sent a follow-up saying,
- 23 Sorry, I didn't mean to cc your attorney. Paraphrased.
- That's correct. I didn't know if he wanted that to be. 24
- 25 Okay. In this markup that you did, on this November 2017

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- revision, do you see there's a Section 5? 1
- 2 A. I do.

- Q. And Section 5, if I can zoom in for you, refers to orders
- 4 and fees, pricing; correct?
- A. Correct. 5
- Q. And could you read the beginning of this paragraph? 6
- 7 A. You may purchase subscriptions to services by submitting
- 8 orders via the site. All orders are subject to acceptance by
- 9 GateGuard. The applicable fees shall be stipulated in the
- price list made available by GateGuard on the site from time to 10
- 11 time and subject to the additional payment terms stipulated
- 12 at --
- Q. The website? 13
- 14 A. -- the website a/k/a pricing.
- 15 From time to time; correct?
- 16 From time to time, yes. Α.
- 17 Q. Okay. And at least at the time that you were doing the
- markup, you obviously read this paragraph because you proposed 18
- a change to "reasonably," and then you proposed deleting some 19
- 20 language at the bottom of that paragraph; correct?
- That's correct. 21 Α.
- 22 But your testimony is that you didn't click on the payment
- 23 URL?
- My testimony is that I don't recall clicking on it. 24
- 25 Now, in becoming -- back up for a second.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay – cross

- We talked briefly about the logs that GateGuard 1 2 maintained for your building on its website; correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And is it fair to say there are thousands of pages of logs
- 5 of people coming into and out of the building in that related
- 6 data?

3

- 7 A. I don't know how many pages there are.
- Q. Is it a large number? 8
- 9 A. I don't know.
- You testified that there were instances early on whether 10
- 11 some troubleshooting was necessary; correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And GateGuard provided that tech support; correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 GateGuard was responsive to problems that it could fix;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And there was a time when there was a problem at your 18
- 19 building with Spectrum; correct?
- 20 A. I believe so.
- Q. And to be clear, the early iteration of GateGuard did not 21
- 22 have a 4G router; correct?
- A. I don't believe it did. 23
- It relied on you to have viable internet --24
- 25 Α. Correct.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- -- to work; correct? 1 Q.
- 2 Α. Yes.

- And GateGuard couldn't control whether your internet
- 4 worked; correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- That building at the time at least obtained its internet 6
- 7 from Spectrum; correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. So through some troubleshooting with your -- whatever the
- 10 title is, but the on-site property manager, it was brought to
- 11 your attention that there was a problem with the internet
- 12 connection and that it wasn't actually a problem with
- GateGuard; correct? 13
- 14 A. At one point, yes.
- 15 Q. And at the time, GateGuard -- even though it turns out it
- 16 wasn't able to fix that because it was a separate internet
- 17 problem, GateGuard was working with your company to figure that
- out and to fix it, if at all possible; correct? 18
- A. Yes. 19
- 20 Q. Now, throughout a fairly lengthy period of time, that
- building used the GateGuard intercom; correct? 21
- 22 A. What do you mean by "lengthy period of time"?
- 23 Q. How long did you use the intercom system?
- Probably for a few months. 24
- 25 And during that time, it was used every day; correct?

K1NVTEM3	Gabay	_	cross
ICTIV V I III I O	dabay		$C = C \cup $

1 Yes.

2

- Q. And the government showed you, you testified, it's Exhibit
- 3 413, the initial invoice correspondence for becoming a
- 4 GateGuard -- when you became a GateGuard customer; correct?
- 5 I'm not seeing anything here. Oh.
- 6 Do you see on the top the correspondence you previously
- 7 testified to?
 - Yes. Α.
- 9 Q. Okay. And what's the date there?
- January 19, 2018. 10 Α.
- Again, after the November 2017 date; correct? 11
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 And if you look through this correspondence, initially
- 14 there was supposed to be a \$3600 fee and a security deposit of
- 15 \$849; correct?
- Mr. Teman notified me of the 849 for the first time in this 16
- 17 email. Our discussions previously had been \$3600 only.
- Q. And you had asked him to basically waive the security 18
- 19 deposit; correct?
- 20 A. I had asked him to agree to our original discussion price
- 21 of \$3600.
- Q. And the invoice reflects zero dollars charged to you for 22
- 23 the security deposit; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 With a unit cost, meaning not charged to you, of \$849;

K1NVTEM3	Gabay	-	cross

1 correct?

- 2 Α. Correct.
 - And what's the date of the invoice?
- 4 A. January 19th, 2018.
- 5 At the bottom of this invoice, where it says "terms," can
- 6 you tell me -- can you read what that says?
- 7 A. Buyer accepts terms and conditions at a website,
- 8 gateguard.xyz.
- 9 Q. And you paid this invoice; correct?
- 10 Yes, it was paid. Α.
- 11 Now, you testified -- to be clear, that invoice we just
- 12 said was January 19th of 2018; correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Then Government Exhibit 414 is additional email 14
- 15 correspondence between you and Mr. Teman; correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. The prosecutor asked you about this email from you saying:
- Sections 5K and 5L of your terms and conditions are pretty 18
- brutal. I stopped reading after I saw them. Correct? 19
- 20 A. Correct.
- That email was within days that the invoice was sent; 21
- correct? 22
- 23 A. Correct.
- So this wasn't some discussion when you were marking this 24
- 25 up months later; correct?

K1NVTEM3	Gabav -	cross
VINAICMO	Gabay -	CLOSS

- This was a discussion related to the larger order. 1
- 2 Q. My question was on timing. It was a couple of days after
- 3 the invoice was sent; correct?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 And, in fact, the way you paid the invoice was by check;
- correct? 6
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 MR. GELFAND: Counsel, what exhibit number was that
- 9 check? Exhibit 146?
- I'm showing you what's been previously admitted as 10
- Government's Exhibit 146. Can you see that on the screen in 11
- 12 front of you, Mr. Gabay?
- A. Yes. 13
- 14 Q. Now, you testified previously that this was your check, in
- 15 other words, your company's check?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And this was for the payment for the invoice of \$3600 that
- we just discussed; correct? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. What's the date on that check? 20
- A. January 31st, 2018. 21
- 22 Q. Is it fair to say January 19th, the invoice is generated;
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 January 23rd, you send a markup of terms and conditions

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- with proposed changes; correct? 1
- 2 A. Yes.

3

- January 31st, eight days after that, you send in the check
- 4 for the invoice?
 - That is accurate.
- 6 And the invoice expressly says that you agree to the terms
- 7 and conditions that we just read?
- 8 That's what the invoice says.
- 9 0. That's on the bottom; correct?
- 10 That's what it says, yes. Α.
- 11 And the invoice obviously predates you sending the check;
- 12 correct?
- A. Yes. 13
- Q. Now, if I understood your testimony correctly, is it your 14
- 15 testimony that you believe that you paid 3600 bucks for this
- 16 device, and then you can use it and GateGuard's web-based
- 17 interface forever?
- A. I think this device and this \$3600 was part of a larger 18
- 19 pending deal.
- 20 Q. Meaning that you understood that additional monies would be
- owed; correct? 21
- A. I understood that this device would be replaced for a newer 22
- 23 device which had more functionality, which is what we had -- we
- were in discussions to sign up for. But that this device was 24
- 25 going to be put in on a temporary basis, because we had an

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross
----------	---------	-------

- immediate need. 1
- 2 Q. And the point -- and you knew at the time that, as we've
- 3 previously talked about, that the newer device was being
- 4 manufactured at the time; correct?
- 5 A. That's what I was told, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And so you understood that to have GateGuard on an
- 7 ongoing basis, it was going to cost you more than 3600 bucks;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Once the deal was finalized, yes.
- And you expected to pay more money to GateGuard; correct? 10
- When the deal was finalized. 11 Α.
- 12 Q. Yes?
- 13 Yes. Α.
- 14 Okay. Now, you testified that in March, essentially
- 15 communications broke down between you and Mr. Teman; correct?
- A. Correct. 16
- 17 Q. And the government asked you questions about some email
- correspondence regarding those communications; correct? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 The government showed you, so we can get some dates
- straight, Government Exhibit 416. Do you see that in front of 21
- 22 you?
- 23 A. Yes.
- This email, I'll zoom in so we can all see it, where you 24
- 25 said that the quotes, if I understood your testimony correctly,

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- was basically, what, a general consensus within the office? 1
- 2 A. Yeah.

- Okay. The email was from you to Mr. Teman; correct?
- 4 Α. Correct.
- 5 And it references an invoice for 20 buildings and a
- document called a convertible note; correct? 6
- 7 A. It references an email with that title.
- 8 Q. And there was previous correspondence that you testified
- 9 about involving this initial correspondence where Mr. Teman had
- cc'd a lawyer; correct? 10
- 11 I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- 12 Q. Yes. Prior to this correspondence -- this was a response
- to an email; correct? 13
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. March 13th of the same exhibit, there's the initial email
- 16 from Mr. Teman to you, cc'ing an attorney; correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And the prosecutor had you read this, or part of it, into 18
- 19 the record. And it references the bottom enumerated No. 2.
- 20 Can you read that? Can you see that?
- A. Yes. 21
- 22 Q. Okay. This references a note for Friend or Fraud, Inc.,
- 23 identifying it as essentially the parent company for GateGuard
- Incorporated, among other companies; correct? 24
- 25 A. Correct.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- And it says: If you have any questions, contact this quy 1
- 2 that represented Waze; correct?
 - A. Correct.

- 4 Who is cc'd on the email; correct?
- 5 Correct. Α.
- 6 And the reason you were getting this is because at the time
- 7 you were actually interested in investing in GateGuard;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- And you were interested in investing in GateGuard because 10
- 11 it was cutting-edge; correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. So to keep our dates straight -- I'm sorry. To keep our
- 14 dates straight, you send this email on March 26th of 2018,
- responding to that basically saying, my words, Time out. We're 15
- 16 not moving forward. Correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And that's at approximately 5 p.m. on March 26th? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Soon thereafter, you testified that you received email
- correspondence from Mr. Teman's or GateGuard's attorney; 21
- correct? 22
- 23 A. Correct.
- And that was an individual named Ariel Reinitz; correct? 24
- 25 Α. Yes.

	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - cross
1	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, may I show just the witness
2	a document?
3	THE COURT: You may.
4	Q. I'm showing you just for the record what's been previously
5	marked as Defendant's Exhibit 36. Can you see that on the
6	screen in front of you?
7	THE COURT: A little larger please.
8	MR. GELFAND: Yes.
9	JUROR: Can we see?
10	THE COURT: It's not in evidence.
11	MR. GELFAND: May I proceed, your Honor?
12	THE COURT: One moment.
13	Go ahead.
14	One moment.
15	Q. Do you recognize
16	THE COURT: One moment.
17	MR. GELFAND: I'm sorry.
18	THE COURT: All right. Counsel, you need to tilt your
19	monitor so it's not visible to the jury as to exhibits that are
20	not yet in evidence.
21	MR. GELFAND: Oh, sorry about that.
22	THE COURT: That goes for both tables.
23	Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, this may or may not be
24	received in evidence, but until it is, it's properly kept from
25	you.

A-529

	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - cross
1	Go ahead.
2	JUROR: We don't see that far away.
3	THE COURT: All the better then. Very good.
4	So go ahead, Mr. Gelfand.
5	BY MR. GELFAND:
6	Q. Mr. Gabay, do you recognize the email that's in front of
7	you?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. And is this an email a true and accurate copy of email
10	correspondence between you and Mr. Reinitz?
11	A. It appears so, yes.
12	Q. And the same thread that you previously testified about,
13	re-invoice for 20 buildings and convertible note?
14	A. Correct.
15	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit
16	36 into evidence.
17	THE COURT: Any objection?
18	MR. BHATIA: No objection.
19	THE COURT: Received.
20	(Defendant's Exhibit 36 received in evidence)
21	MR. GELFAND: May I publish it for the jury?
22	THE COURT: You may.
23	You're not publishing; you've taken it off the Elmo.
24	MR. GELFAND: Yes, I was getting ready to
25	THE COURT: Okay.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- Okay. So just to back up for a second, we just testified 1
- 2 about a chain, a string of emails, if you will, re-invoice for
- 3 20 buildings; correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you testified earlier about a March 26, 2018, 5
- 6 essentially, approximately, 5 o'clock email from you saying --
- 7 again, my words, not yours -- Time out. Correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. About a day and-a-half later, March 28th, at
- approximately 1:40 p.m., you received this email, Defendant's 10
- Exhibit 36, from Ariel Reinitz; correct? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And Ariel Reinitz identifies himself as a partner at the 13
- 14 law firm of FisherBroyles, with a New York address and about, I
- 15 don't know, 15 or so cities at the bottom; correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And the email identifies to you that Mr. Reinitz is an
- attorney representing GateGuard; correct? 18
- A. Yes. 19
- 20 Q. Could you please read this paragraph that I've highlighted
- on the screen. It's the one beginning "As outlined below." 21
- A. As outlined below --22
- 23 THE COURT: Read it, sorry, a little bit more slowly
- 24 for the benefit of the court reporter and the jury.
- 25 Sorry. As outlined below, GateGuard has provided materials

K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- and performed labor on one or more of Coney Realty's buildings, 1 2 and the corresponding invoices are now past due. Coney Realty 3 has also entered into agreements with GateGuard for devices and
- 4 services, payments for which are now due.
- 5 Q. And then if we jump forward, first of all, just so everyone
- is clear, the next paragraph basically says that these invoices 6
- 7 and agreements are important to GateGuard; correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And then Mr. Reinitz says, essentially, Let's see if we can reach a mutual amicable resolution. Correct? 10
- 1 1 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And to that end, he makes a request of you; correct?
- A. He does. 13
- 14 He says: Please reply with a prompt introduction to the
- 15 appropriate personnel at Coney Realty or your counsel having
- 16 authority to resolve this matter. Correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And then he says: Absent a prompt response from Coney 18
- Realty, GateGuard may initiate further legal action, including, 19
- 20 but not limited to, the filing of a mechanic's lien.
- Sincerely, Ariel Reinitz, and his signature block. Correct? 21
- A. Correct. 22
- 23 Q. You didn't respond to this email, did you?
- 24 I don't recall if I responded.
- 25 MR. BHATIA: Judge Engelmayer, we believe there's

A-532

	3
	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - cross
1	hearsay in this document
2	THE COURT: Sorry, you're speaking
3	MR. BHATIA: Excuse me.
4	We believe there's hearsay in this document, and we'd
5	request an appropriate instruction.
6	THE COURT: Sorry. You didn't object on that ground
7	when it was received. It's in evidence.
8	Next question.
9	BY MR. GELFAND:
10	Q. Now, after this email I'm sorry, I think you just
11	answered it, but I didn't hear you.
12	THE COURT: Sorry. Let me just clarify something.
13	The document is in evidence.
14	Ladies and gentlemen, you may consider this document
15	as it reflects upon the discussions between the lawyer,
16	Reinitz, and the witness, Gabay.
17	To the extent there are statements of fact, however,
18	that are in this document, you may not take them as necessarily
19	true or false; they are just simply being put before you to
20	capture the discussions between the lawyer and Mr. Gabay.
21	But the fact that something is said by the lawyer in
22	the document does not necessarily make it so, and you may not
23	consider what is in the document for the truth of the matter
24	asserted.
25	Counsel, in the future, if a document that embeds

	_	
K1NVTEM3	Gabay -	cross

- hearsay like that is being offered, you need to object at the 1 2 time rather than exceed to its receipt.
 - MR. BHATIA: I understand.
- 4 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 5 BY MR. GELFAND:

3

10

11

- Q. And I'm sorry, I just didn't catch your answer before as to 6 7 whether or not you responded to this email.
- 8 A. I don't recall if I responded. I'm not sure. I'd have to 9 check.
 - Q. Now, you testified, jumping ahead, that you were made aware by your bank of the checks, the RCCs, that were deposited into the Bank of America account of GateGuard and directed
- ultimately to your bank; correct? 13
- A. Yes. 14
- 15 And to be clear, your bank was Signature Bank; correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly, your bank
- essentially brought these RCCs to your attention and asked 18
- whether they were authorized, in essence; correct? 19
- 20 A. That's correct, my office.
- Q. Your office? 21
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. And your office provided a response to Signature Bank, in
- essence, saying that they weren't authorized; correct? 24
- 25 That's correct.

K1NVTEM3	Gabay	_	cross

- And when you say your office, who are the -- I'm not asking 1 2 for everyone, but who are essentially the main players that
- 3 would have been involved in this?
- 4 Involved in this how?
- Communications with Signature Bank, those kinds of things. 5
- It might be Michael Haas, who's a signer on the account. 6
 - You said Michael Haas? 0.
 - Correct. Α.

7

- 9 0. Okay. And who is Michael Haas?
- 10 He's one of the principals of Coney Management.
- 11 Fair to say you and Michael Haas work very closely
- 12 together?
- 13 A. Yes.
- You testified at the way beginning of your direct 14
- 15 examination with the prosecutor that essentially you take on
- 16 responsibility for the financial operations of Coney; correct?
- 17 Some of them, yes.
- Q. And Michael Haas is just as involved in that; correct? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Now, I was asking you about the checks that say, Draw per
- contract. No signature required, that your bank brought to 21
- 22 your attention. Correct?
- 23 A. I'm sorry, say again?
- 24 I was asking you about the checks that say, Draw per
- 25 contract. No signature required, that were brought to your

K1NVTEM3	Gabay – cross	

- attention; correct? 1
 - Correct. That you asked me about them?
- 3 Yes. Q.

2

4

- Yes, you did ask me about them.
- 5 And your company informed Signature Bank that you didn't
- authorize them; correct? 6
- 7 That's correct.
 - Q. Now, let's back up for a second.
- 9 Signature Bank asked your company questions about this to find out if it should credit your account or charge back the 10
- 11 checks; correct?
- 12 A. They sent an email asking if this check was authorized by
- our office, and we said no. 13
- 14 Q. And you would agree with me that it wouldn't be true to
- 15 tell Signature Bank that your company didn't even know
- 16 GateGuard or what GateGuard was; correct?
- 17 A. We knew what GateGuard was, yes.
- So it would be a lie to say you didn't know that; correct? 18
- That I didn't know what? 19
- 20 What GateGuard was. Q.
- A. Correct. 21
- 22 Okay. And you'd agree with me that it would also be a lie
- 23 to tell your bank that your company has no recollection or
- record of ever owing GateGuard any money; correct? 24
- 25 At that point in time we had no recollection of owing

A-536

	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - cross
1	GateGuard any money at that point in time; correct.
2	Q. Ever owing money.
3	A. Well, we owed them money between January 19th and January
4	31st.
5	Q. Yes.
6	A. And we paid that invoice.
7	Q. Yes. You knew exactly who GateGuard was, as you've
8	previously testified about?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. And at some point you owed GateGuard money; correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, pursuant to our stipulation
13	with the government, at this point I move Defense Exhibit D-29
14	into evidence.
15	THE COURT: D?
16	MR. GELFAND: I'm sorry, I just said D dash, for
17	defense exhibit. Exhibit 29.
18	THE COURT: Defense Exhibit 29.
19	Any objection?
20	MR. BHATIA: No objection.
21	THE COURT: I don't believe I have a copy of that,
22	counsel.
23	MR. GELFAND: I can approach, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	MR. GELFAND: Can you see this on the screen?
- 1	I

A-537

-1	K1NVTEM3 Gabay - cross
1	THE COURT: One moment.
2	MR. GELFAND: I'm sorry.
3	THE COURT: Received.
4	(Defendant's Exhibit 29 received in evidence)
5	THE COURT: Do you wish to publish it to the jury?
6	MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: You may do so.
8	MR. GELFAND: Thank you.
9	BY MR. GELFAND:
10	Q. Can you see this on the screen in front of you, sir?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. This is an affidavit. The bank calls it an
13	affidavit of counterfeit or stolen check business; correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And specifically this references the check to GateGuard,
16	Inc. in the amount of \$18,000, Check No. 1; correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Now, to be clear, this is a form let me just back up so
19	that the jury has some context.
20	<pre>It's a one-page form; correct?</pre>
21	A. It appears so.
22	Q. And if we look at it, you identified Michael Haas. For the
23	court reporter, it's H-A-A-S.
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And this is the owner of the entity that we're talking

K1NVTEM3	Gabay - c	ross
	<u> </u>	

- about; correct? 1
 - A. One of them, yes.
 - I'm sorry, you said one of them, yes?
- 4 Α. Yes.

2

3

- Okay. Can you please read what No. 6 says?
- 6 Neither I nor the company knows the payees on these checks
- 7 or have any recollection or record of the company ever owing
- 8 these payees any money whatsoever.
- 9 The payee is GateGuard, Inc.; correct?
- Yes. 10 Α.
- And your company is telling the bank that you don't know 11
- 12 who GateGuard is; correct?
- 13 A. That's what it says.
- 14 Can you please read the bottom paragraph, beginning with
- 15 "Affiant is aware"?
- A. Affiant is aware that this affidavit is being provided to 16
- 17 the bank to obtain for the company reimbursement of the amounts
- of these checks. The bank will rely on this affidavit to 18
- recover the amounts paid with respect to these checks. And any 19
- false statement made in this affidavit is a violation of the 20
- law. Affiant represents and warrants that all statements 21
- 22 contained in this affidavit are true and complete in all
- 23 respects.
- Q. And this is dated and notarized on April 4th of 2019; 24
- 25 correct?

	K1N	VTEM3 Gabay - cross
1	Α.	That's correct.
2	Q.	And the notary is Ephraim Niehenberg; correct?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Do you know that person?
5	Α.	I do.
6	Q.	Who is that?
7	Α.	That is a manager in my office.
8	Q.	I'm sorry?
9	Α.	A manager in my office.
10		(Continued on next page)
11		
12	A	
13	V	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	A	
19		
20		
21	A	
22		
23		
24		
25		

	K1ndtem4a Gabay - cross
1	MR. GELFAND: May I proceed?
2	THE COURT: You may inquire.
3	MR. GELFAND: Thank you.
4	BY MR. GELFAND:
5	Q. To the best of your knowledge, to this day, have you or
6	anyone else at your company ever made any efforts to correct
7	any false statements to Signature Bank in connection with this?
8	MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Overruled.
10	A. Are you referring to any specific
11	THE COURT: Sorry. Let me rephrase the question for
12	you. Get rid of the word "false." It assumes a fact not in
13	evidence.
14	You may ask whether there has been any attempt to make
15	any revisions to this document.
16	MR. GELFAND: Fair enough.
17	BY MR. GELFAND:
18	Q. To this day, have you on your company ever revised this
19	affidavit or a similar document?
20	A. I'm not aware.
21	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
22	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, this is
23	a good time, I think, for our lunch break. Mr. Smallman will
24	come get you at 2 o'clock and bring you into the jury box.
25	Have a good lunch. As always, please do not discuss

	k1ndtem4a
1	the case.
2	THE CLERK: All rise.
3	(Jury not present)
4	THE COURT: All right. Be seated.
5	Counsel, I have an item or two, very small, for you,
6	but before I go, does anybody have anything to raise with me?
7	MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor. May the witness be
8	excused?
9	THE COURT: Yes, please, actually.
10	MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
11	THE WITNESS: Do I need to come back?
12	THE COURT: You absolutely will need to come back.
13	Government counsel will give you instructions about where to be
14	and when, but I will need you here by 2 o'clock.
15	So, stay outside. Government counsel will explain the
16	logistics.
17	(Witness not present)
18	All right. Just a couple of things.
19	Counsel, this goes for everybody but I think
20	especially for government counsel: When you are reading a
21	document, the natural impulse is to speed up, but it becomes
22	very hard for the jury and especially hard for the court
23	reporter to absorb what is being said. So just take the time
24	to slow down anytime you are reading a document to be picked up
25	by the court reporter.

k1ndtem4a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BHATIA: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Defense Exhibit 36 is, although clearly admissible, also clearly embedded with a lot of hearsay. I was surprised there was no objection by government counsel.

Mr. Imperatore, I am speaking to your co-counsel here. It is unhelpful for me for him to be unable to hear me.

MR. IMPERATORE: I apologize, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Bhatia, I was very surprised there was no objection to the exhibit. It's full of hearsay. It is clearly admissible to explain the course of dealings between the witness and the defendant, and it may yet bear on advice of counsel, as it will later emerge. Notwithstanding the lack of timely objection to it, ultimately I rethought my first instinct and agreed it made sense to instruct the jury what we all I think would agree is correct, which is that the statements that are in that document can't be treated for the truth of the matter asserted. But, please, be more attentive. Just because a document can be received for a limited purpose doesn't mean it can be received for all purposes, and in the end it is on you to police that by your objections so that I know that there is a limiting instruction to be given. OK?

MR. BHATIA: Understood.

THE COURT: One thing that is becoming quite clear to me is that the defense request for a unanimity instruction with

k1ndtem4a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

respect to the counts here that embed multiple customers is almost certainly a wise instruction. I don't know yet what the other narratives will be, but it seems to me clear that each customer has its own narrative with respect to Mr. Teman, and, therefore, it seems to me extremely likely that a unanimity instruction will be needed. And it may well be as well, and I increasingly think this is possible, that something on the verdict form will be needed to specify vis-a-vis particular victims -- not victims but particular customers whose checks are at issue on particular accounts. I think that will help assure, essentially, that the unanimity instruction is needed.

So I will ask counsel overnight to confer about the verdict form that the government has previously submitted and see if you can agree on, assuming that I am to ask for specification vis-a-vis particular accounts, how the specification by customer ought to be done. I don't know that it is needed by check. It may be that the customers can be treated as a unity with respect to these accounts. I'm seeing Mr. Gelfand nodding. But it is almost certainly the case that something fruitful could be done there, and I would rather you get started on that discussion sooner rather than later.

Again, I am not committing that we would revise the verdict form in that way, but given the likely need for a unanimity instruction, I can see logic to the verdict form similarly focusing the jury's attention on a customer-specific

	k1ndtem4a
1	basis.
2	Mr. Blais, I take it, will be stepping in for you,
3	Mr. Imperatore, at 2 o'clock?
4	MR. IMPERATORE: That is correct.
5	THE COURT: That is fine. I will introduce him to the
6	jury. When do you think you will be back?
7	MR. IMPERATORE: It is a pretrial conference, your
8	Honor. I expect it could last anywhere from 30 minutes to an
9	hour or so.
10	THE COURT: I take it you will be substituting for
11	Mr. Blais as soon as you can get here?
12	MR. IMPERATORE: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Very good.
14	And, finally, just on the daily exhibit list, I'll ask
15	the government's legal assistant to the please include the
16	defense exhibits.
17	And defense, you gave me some exhibits today but they
18	didn't include Exhibit 36, and they didn't include I think the
19	one Exhibit 29, a subset of the exhibits that you handed up
20	to me.
21	MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: So I will need a running set of the
23	exhibits that you are offering.
24	MR. GELFAND: Absolutely.
25	THE COURT: Very good.

	k1n	ndtem4a										
1		F	Have a g	ood lur	nch.	Ι	will	see	you	five	minutes	before
2	2.	Thank	you.									
3			(Lunchec	n reces	ss)							
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												
25												

| Klndtem4b

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	1:59 p.m.
3	(Jury, witness, and Mr. Imperatore not present)
4	(AUSA Brian Blais present)
5	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, counsel.
6	Mr. Smallman tells me we are waiting on two jurors.
7	Government, without holding you to it, short or long
8	redirect for this witness?
9	MR. BHATIA: Very short.
_0	THE COURT: OK. Very good.
L1	And the next witness is who
.2	MR. BHATIA: Bonnie Soon-Osberger.
.3	THE COURT: OK. Very good. That person is waiting
L4	and ready to be brought in?
L5	MR. BHATIA: She is coming up in the elevator. Is she
L6	out there.
.7	A VOICE: She is on her way up.
L8	THE COURT: Very good. Anyone have anything to take
L9	up with me before we get the jury once Mr. Smallman tells me
20	they are here?
21	MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: OK. Counsel, in the course of the
23	examination, Mr. Bhatia asked me for a limiting instruction on
24	Defense Exhibit 36, which I gave, but I gave it, I realize, on
25	the spot. Does anybody believe any clarification is needed

T		2 .		A 1
ĸ	n	\sim	$\sim m$	4b
1.	_ 11	u.		コレノ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

with respect to the instruction I gave?

MR. GELFAND: Not from the defense, your Honor.

MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor. We'll take another look when we get the transcript. If we think there is something else, but my recollection is it is fine.

THE COURT: OK. In the event there are further documents of this nature, for example, communications between Ms. Reinitz and another customer as to whom the defendant's dealings are in dispute, presumably there would be occasion for a similar limiting instruction, and at that point if you have something concrete to recommend, I will hear you at the sidebar and I can surely formulate it at that point to be broad enough up to pick up, looking backwards, Exhibit 36 as well. I am mindful of that. As I gave it, I gave it, you know, on the spur of the moment with little chance to reflect. I want to make sure that something like that is -- that an instruction like that is well tailored.

MR. BHATIA: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Let's get the witness.

THE CLERK: Everybody is here.

THE COURT: Let's bring them in.

(Continued on next page)

23

24

	K1ndtem4b Gabay - redirect
1	(Witness and jury present)
2	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and
3	gentlemen. Please be seated.
4	I hope you all had a good lunch.
5	You'll note a new face at the government table.
6	Mr. Imperatore has a court appearance in another matter and
7	will be back rejoining us a little later this afternoon, and in
8	his stead is Assistant United States Attorney Brian Blais,
9	whose name I mentioned to you during the course of jury
10	selection. So that's the explanation for the change in party
11	personnel.
12	We are ready to resume with the trial.
13	Mr. Gabay, I will remind you that you are still under
14	oath.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And, Mr. Bhatia, you may inquire with
17	redirect examination.
18	MR. BHATIA: Thank you.
19	Your Honor, the government offers Government Exhibit
20	150 into evidence pursuant to the stipulation.
21	THE COURT: One?
22	MR. BHATIA: 1-5-0.
23	THE COURT: Any objection?
24	MR. GELFAND: No objection, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: It is received.
	l

	K1ndtem4b Gabay - redirect
1	(Government's Exhibit 150 received in evidence)
2	MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, if we can pull up
3	Government Exhibit 150 for Mr. Gabay.
4	(Pause)
5	Just a moment, your Honor. It looks like we are
6	THE COURT: Take your time. There we go. I saw
7	something for a moment. There we go.
8	MR. BHATIA: There we go.
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. BHATIA:
11	Q. Mr. Gabay, you were asked a few questions about this
12	document during your direct examination during your
13	cross-examination, is that right?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. This looks like an affidavit signed by Michael Haas, is
16	that right?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. Is he also the person who had signed the check issued to
19	Mr. Teman by Coney Management?
20	A. Not by Coney Management, by 518 West 204 Street.
21	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Just one moment.
22	I'm just going to remind Mr. Gabay, please, to keep
23	your voice up. Thank you.
24	THE WITNESS: Sure. My apologies.
25	BY MR. BHATIA:

K1ndtem4b	Gabay -	redirect
-----------	---------	----------

- You were shown Government Exhibit 146, which is a check written from 518 West 204 to Mr. Teman, to GateGuard.
 - Was Mr. Haas the one who signed that check?
- Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

- And he is the person who signed this affidavit here?
- 6 Α. Yes.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Bhatia, before you continue, this document is marked and it has been received as Government Exhibit 150. The witness was questioned on a document that at least in my first look appears to be identical but which was marked as Defense Exhibit 29. Just for everyone's benefit, are
- 13 MR. BHATIA: Yes, your Honor.

those in fact identical documents?

- 14 THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. BHATIA:
- Q. You are familiar with some of the business records of Coney 16
- 17 Management, is that right?
- A. Yes. 18
- 19 O. You have seen them around the office?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Is this a record that -- is this a common record of Coney 21
- 22 Management's?
- 23 A. What do you mean by "common"?
- 24 Is this a document from Coney Management or is this a
- 25 document that came from Signature Bank?

	K1ndtem4b Gabay - redirect
1	A. The document form came from Signature Bank.
2	Q. OK. I will direct your attention to the boxes for 5 and 6,
3	or numbers 5 and 6 below.
4	In question number 5, there is a check box, right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And Mr. Haas selected, "The checks were written on check
7	forms that were not authorized by the company," is that right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And in number 6, are there any check boxes?
10	A. No.
11	Q. OK. You said this is a document from Signature Bank,
12	right?
13	A. That is correct.
14	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, no further questions.
15	THE COURT: All right. Any recross?
16	MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right. Then, Mr. Gabay, you can step
18	down. Your testimony is complete. Thank you.
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20	(Witness excused)
21	THE COURT: Government, call your next witnesses.
22	MR. BHATIA: The government calls Bonnie
23	Soon-Osberger.
24	BONNIE SOON-OSBERGER,
25	called as a witness by the government,
- 1	

	K1ndtem4b Soon-Osberger - direct
1	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
3	Use the microphone as best you can, please.
4	State and spell your full name for the record.
5	THE WITNESS: My full name is Bonnie. My last name is
6	Soon-Osberger.
7	THE COURT: How do you spell your last name?
8	THE WITNESS: Sure, S-o-o-n - O-s-b-e-r-g-e-r.
9	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Ms.
10	Soon-Osberger. Welcome to court.
11	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
12	THE COURT: I will ask you to just keep your voice up.
13	If you need, bend the microphone close to you, but just keep
14	your voice up so it can be heard in this large courtroom.
15	THE WITNESS: Sure.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Mr. Bhatia, you may inquire.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. BHATIA:
20	Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, where do you live?
21	A. I live on 18 Mercer Street.
22	Q. And is that in Manhattan?
23	A. That's in Manhattan, that's correct.
24	Q. And is that a cooperative building, or is it some other
25	type of building?

K 1	ndt.em4b	
n I		

Soon-Osberger - direct

- It IS a cooperative building. 1
 - Q. Are those sometimes called co-ops?
 - A. Yes, co-ops, cooperatives.
 - Have you ever had a role on your co-op's board of
- 5 directors?
- 6 Yes. Α.

2

3

4

- 7 O. When?
 - From October 2017 to January 2019. Α.
- 9 Q. And what was your role on the board of directors?
- I was the treasurer for the board. 10
- What were some of your day-to-day responsibilities? 11
- 12 A. Maintain the expenditures, the budgets, make sure that all
- 13 expenditures doesn't exceed our budget. And also for that
- 14 particular year when we had a board meeting there was a bunch
- 15 of projects that was voted for, so I was to manage those
- 16 projects, look for the vendor, and submit bids for those
- 17 projects to my board members and get it approved and
- 18 implemented.
- 19 Q. Did you have responsibilities involving purchasing devices
- 20 for the building?
- A. Sure. I was -- I had the responsibility to go and obtain 21
- bids, look for vendors and obtain bids, submit bids for 22
- 23 approval.
- 24 Q. And did you also work with a management company for 18
- 25 Mercer?

K 1	ndt	em4b
n	11010	⊢ 11140

2

4

Soon-Osberger - direct

- Yes, I did. I worked with a management company. 1
 - Q. While you were on the board of directors, who was the
- 3 management company?
 - It was Crystal Real Estate Management.
- 5 Who were the individuals who you worked with at Crystal
- Real Estate Management? 6
- 7 A. I worked with Jackie Morton and I worked with Gina Hom; I
- 8 think that is her last name, H-o-m.
- 9 Q. Are you familiar with the term, 18 Mercer Equity Inc.?
- A. Yes. 10
- Q. What is 18 Mercer Equity Inc.? 11
- A. That's our corporation. That's our incorporation for the 12
- 13 co-op.
- 14 Q. If I refer to that as 18 Mercer Equity --
- 15 A. Yes, you can.
- 16 Q. -- you will know that I am referring to the corporate
- 17 entity?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. In 2019 -- in 2018, 2019, who was managing the 19
- 20 bank accounts for --
- 21 A. It was Crystal Real Estate Management.
- 22 Q. And what do they do involving the finances of the company?
- 23 They pay all of our bills. They collect all of our
- 24 maintenance, and then from the maintenance they pay our bills.
- 25 And whatever project we have going on, we would submit for --

121	ndtem	11
h.	Hatem	40

Soon-Osberger - direct

- once we get the approval, we submit the invoice for them to 1 2 pay.
 - Q. What's the name -- what is the title you use to describe people who live in the building?
- 5 A. We're shareholders and we're leasees, so the incorporation required that if we buy the floor or we buy into the shares, we 6
- 7 have a right to live there, so we become a leasee to the
- 8 building.

3

- 9 Q. Were any shareholders or leasees authorized to sign checks on behalf of 18 Mercer Equity Inc.? 10
- A. No. 11
- 12 Q. Who wrote the checks for the company?
- 13 The real estate company. Α.
- 14 Is that Crystal Real Estate Management?
- 15 That's Crystal Real Estate, yeah, who we employ.
- 16 They were the only ones authorized to sign for that
- 17 account?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. What about board members? Were board members authorized to 20 sign?
- A. No, we have no access to checks and we don't sign checks 21 22 either, access or sign checks.
- 23 Q. Why didn't Crystal Real Estate, as opposed to a shareholder or board of director, have authority to sign for the account? 24
- 25 I mean, it existed before me, but it's just so that it

K 1	ndte	m4h
TA I	\mathbf{n}	$\Pi\Pi \hookrightarrow IJ$

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

Soon-Osberger - direct

- keeps the separation of duties. We have board members that 1 2 vote for a specific expenditures, and then from there it gets 3 submitted for approval so that there is a layer of checks and 4 balances.
 - Q. Can you elaborate on that? What are the checks and balances?
 - A. Checks and balances is, like I said, there is a budget that we started with, and then there is bills that goes against those budgets. So once we approve it, instead of one of us writing the check, we have a third party like Crystal Management write the check, but we approve it before they can write the check. So that is the checks-and-balances approval and then issuing the checks. So that way with the checks and balances, if a third party entity like a management company, if they write the check, then there is a checks and balances that the shareholders then get access to that, writing the check and
 - Q. Let's change topics for a moment.

approving the issuing of the checks.

- Did there come a time when you met Ari Teman?
- 20 Yes, I did.
- How did you meet Mr. Teman? 21 Q.
 - I was at the cooperative, the condo cooperative trade show. Α.
- 23 Do you remember approximately when that was? 0.
- 24 That was approximately October 2017.
- 25 What were you doing at that convention?

W 1	ndt	0m	1h
n I	11(11	\leftarrow III	40

Soon-Osberger - direct

1	7

- A. We were approved for some projects, which is putting in a new intercom, security systems, and then renovating the lobby.
- 3 So since I was a new board member, that was the first place
- 4 that the president and myself started to look for vendors.
- 5 That's why we attended that show.
- What was Mr. Teman doing at the convention? 6
- 7 A. He had a booth -- he had a trade show booth at the trade
- 8 show. So there is a lot of vendors and they have their booths,
- 9 and they have their product and demonstrating their products
- 10 there.
- Q. Did he talk to you about any particular products at the 1 1
- trade show? 12
- A. He was showing his intercom panel, so he was demonstrating 13
- 14 it to people that came by to the booth. So my president and my
- 15 husband and myself, we saw it and we went by there and we
- 16 talked to Ari about the panel, the intercom system.
- 17 Q. Did there come a time when you were considering buying a
- GateGuard intercom? 18
- 19 A. I mean, yeah. We talked to a lot of intercom companies, so
- 20 GateGuard was one of them that attracted to us because of the
- smart technology. So, yes, we took away their information and 21
- 22 brochure, and then we looked at all the intercom systems that
- 23 we gathered information on from the show.
- Q. What conversations, if any, did you have with Mr. Teman 24
- 25 about pricing for an intercom device?

K1	ndt	_m	/lh
n I	11(1)	-111	4()

Soon-Osberger - direct

- He mentioned that to purchase a panel, the pricing, I think 1 the price point was about \$2,500 for a panel, a system, like 2 3 that was there, that was being displayed.
 - Q. When you spoke to him about pricing, did he mention anything about a cancellation fee?
- 6 Α. No.

4

5

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- And did he mention anything about a device removal fee?
- No. Α.
- 9 Q. When you spoke to him about pricing, did he say anything 10 about an attorney use fee if he had to bring in an attorney in 11 a dispute?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. When he spoke to you, based on your conversations with him, 13 what did you understand your agreement might be with him if you 14 15 purchased the device?
 - A. What it sounded like was that we would purchase that unit that was there, and it has all the capability of a facial recognition, it opens for delivery people, it monitored people coming in and out of the building, and that they would charge a service fee for the monitoring service.
 - Q. For the monitoring service.
 - Did there come a time when you saw any terms and conditions on Mr. Teman's website?
- 24 A. Not at the show, no.
- 25 Following the show, when you were thinking about purchasing

K1ndtem4b	Soon-Osberger	_	direct

- an intercom, did there come a time when you saw the terms and 1 2 conditions?
 - A. Yes.

3

- What was your reaction to them?
- 5 It was very lengthy and I went through all of it. A couple
- 6 of things -- three things that caught me, my attention, was
- 7 that there was no specific pricing on there. And then the
- 8 second thing was that there was going to be a 300-percentage
- 9 markup the next year. And then lastly I had a question also
- about there is no mention about the annual service, what kind 10
- of service it includes. 11
- 12 Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, there is a binder to your left. Would
- 13 you take a look at Government Exhibits 441, 442, 443, and 431,
- 14 and my question is just going to be do you recognize those
- 15 documents?
- 16 441, and then what else?
- 17 It is 441, 442, 443 and 431. 0.
- 431. 18 Α.
- 19 (Pause)
- 20 OK.
- Do you recognize those documents? 21
- 22 Yes. Α.
- 23 As a general matter, what do they reflect?
- There is the terms and conditions of GateGuard. And then 24
- 25 there is communications between Ari, myself. And then there is

	K1ndtem4b Soon-Osberger - direct
1	communication between Jackie and myself, the management
2	company. And then there is a copy of the invoice.
3	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, the government offers
4	Government Exhibits 441, 442, 443 and 431.
5	THE COURT: Is there an objection?
6	MR. DiRUZZO: None.
7	THE COURT: They are all received.
8	(Government's Exhibits 431, 441, 442, 443 received in
9	evidence)
10	BY MR. BHATIA:
11	Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, I would like to direct your attention to
12	Government Exhibit 441.
13	MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, can you put it up on the
14	screen.
15	Q. If you can read the top three lines here.
16	A. The top three lines?
17	THE COURT: Read it slowly and loudly, please.
18	A. "Hi there. Please read these terms carefully as they
19	contain important information regarding your legal rights,
20	remedies and obligations."
21	Q. I'm sorry. Above that it says, "Terms & Conditions,
22	GateGuard Inc. last revised: November 30, 2017, 3:30 p.m.,"
23	right?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. If we can zoom out. In the bottom right corner of this

	_		
TZ 1	nd.	t em	112
rs I	1111		- L

Soon-Osberger - direct

page, we will zoom in there, it says "March 23, 2018" in the 1 2 very bottom.

A. Mm-hmm.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you know why it says that on that document?
- Likely, that is when the document was downloaded.
- Is this a document that you -- how did you come to have this document?
 - A. Well, there's some transaction before this. I contacted Ari after the show and told him that I was interested in the product, can you send some information. And so he pointed out to his website, and I went in there and looked at some videos.

And then I said further if we'll continue to be interested, how do we proceed to the next step. And that's when he said you go onto the site and then you can sign up and order the product. And so I went on the site. I ordered the product, looked at it.

Before I ordered -- well, I had to submit some sort of ordering process or some sort of interest process, because that's when I can get more information from him about the product. So that's when there is a terms -- I asked him about a contract, you know, can I see a contract, and that's when he said that there is a terms and conditions in that ordering process.

So you were directed to this Terms & Conditions.

What was your -- you mentioned earlier that there was

TZ 1	ndt	~ m	11
K	nat	em	4 D

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Soon-Osberger - direct

- nothing specific to 18 Mercer. Why was that significant to 1 2 you?
 - A. When we went to the cooperative show, there was a special
 - deal that was going on, and so that was a deal that I went and that was the one that I sought out that applied to us was that particular offering that he had at the show. Some of this information I thought could be not pertaining to a cooperative or it may be a management type of scenario. To my recollection, this is what it was for, just because I realized
 - Q. So you thought there was, if I'm understanding you correctly, there was something about this agreement that you thought might not reflect -- that might make it not applicable

that when we went to the show we were co-op owners.

- Q. What was that? 16

A. Yeah.

to a co-op board?

- 17 A. We weren't leasing the product, we were purchasing the product, so the product was informed by us that we would buy 18 19 the panel, the unit.
- 20 You were buying the product outright, is that right?
- Yeah. That was my recollection, that it was offered to us, 21
- 22 that this is the amount for the product, to buy the product?
- 23 In your conversations with Mr. Teman, did the topic of buying the product outright come up? 24
- 25 Yeah. I mean he told me the price is -- this unit is

W 1	ndt	οm	1h
n I	11(11	\leftarrow III	40

Soon-Osberger - direct

- approximately 2500 for the -- to purchase this product, or this 1 2 panel, that is the price of this panel.
 - Q. To buy the product outright?
 - Α. Yes.

3

- 5 And did anything else -- what, if anything, did you take
- 6 from the fact that this was a Web page as opposed to a written
- 7 document -- as opposed to a memorialized document?
- 8 A. Well, one thing that caught my attention was that this
- 9 was -- it could be edited. It could be edited, so that if at
- 10 anytime he wants to change something, it could be changed and I
- 11 wouldn't know about that. There was not a process of an
- 12 editing version that was going to mention -- inform me that
- there is going to be changes to that. 13
- 14 Q. Have you previously entered signed written contracts with
- 15 other vendors?
- 16 Yes. Α.
- 17 Q. And how did those contrast with a Web page like you are
- 18 seeing here?
- 19 The previous contracts we have, the pricing, the terms,
- 20 payments, and then terms and conditions listed out attached to
- that particular document, and then there would be signature 21
- 22 required by myself and then the vendors, so we agree on the
- 23 pricing and the terms and conditions.
- 24 Ms. Soon-Osberger, I would like to direct your attention to
- 25 page 5 of this document and to the second full paragraph.

K 1	nd+	em4b
n I	[[(][CIII 4 D

Soon-Osberger - direct

- Just a little bit further down, after that. It is under the header for 5, the first paragraph.
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

- So this paragraph here is labeled price of -- there is a reference to pricing in this paragraph, right?
- A. Mm-hmm. 6
- Q. Had you spoken to Mr. Teman about the price of the device 7 8 you were purchasing?
 - A. At the show he told me an approximate amount. So I asked him for the specific amount, because when we do bidding, I have to have exact amount. So I asked him to send me the pricing.
- 12 Q. Did he send you a price?
- 13 A. He sent me an invoice.
- 14 Q. And on that invoice it listed a specific price?
- 15 A. Yeah, it has a price. I think it is 431. Or something 16 like that. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you believe that there were additional payment terms in addition to the price that you were paying? 18
- 19 A. No. Because he told me he wouldn't submit the invoice, it 20 was just the amount, the installation, and then the service
- fee, which he billed me in advance for an entire year. 21
- 22 Q. So you read this agreement -- sorry. You read the Terms & 23 Conditions page, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 And there is -- you can see here in the middle of this

K 1	ndt	- om	1h
n I	11(1)	-	14()

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

25

Soon-Osberger - direct

paragraph, there is -- it says, "The applicable fees shall be as stipulated in the price list made available by GateGuard on the site from time to time and subject to the additional payment terms stipulated out," and then there is a Web page.

Did you click on that link?

- I didn't because the pricing was what he mentioned to me at the show. That's why I contacted him and asked him to give me the specific pricing for our deal or for what we discussed at the show, to send me a price that was told to me.
- Did there ever come a time when you saw an agreement that referenced a 30-year contract with Mr. Teman?
- 12 A. No.
 - Q. Did there ever come a time when you saw a document that would allow Mr. Teman to write a check on behalf of 18 Mercer?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Would either of those terms have stood out in your mind?
- 17 If I read it, absolutely. Absolutely.
- 18 If you had read those terms, what would your reaction have 19 been?
- 20 I would not agree to that. It's not a practice that our co-op would abide by. We wouldn't do that. The only people 21 22 that can write checks is our management, approved by the board. 23 All the invoices are submitted, approved, and then handed over 24 to the management to write the check.
 - If you had seen either of those provisions, what, if

_	Klndtem4b Soon-Osberger - direct
1	anything, would you have said to Mr. Teman?
2	A. I'm not in a position to do something like that. I
3	couldn't be able to sign something like that or approve
4	something like that.
5	Q. I would like to direct your attention to page 3 of this
6	document. It is an email message beginning on page 3 and
7	continuing onto page 4.
8	I'm sorry. This is Government Exhibit 442.
9	THE COURT: We are now in 442?
10	MR. BHATIA: Yes. I am now in Government Exhibit 442.
11	It starts on this page and it goes onto the next page.
12	I'm sorry. I am going to change one more time. This
13	is a document on page 5 of the same
14	THE COURT: The same document on page 5?
15	MR. BHATIA: Government Exhibit 442 and it is page 5,
16	and it is this message on the screen.
17	Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, did there come a time when you spoke
18	when you messaged with Mr. Teman about your thoughts on the
19	terms and conditions?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And is this before or after you purchased an intercom
22	device from him?
23	A. This was before.
24	Q. This was before?
25	A. Yes.

K 1	ndtem	4h
n	11C11 (-111	40

Soon-Osberger - direct

Q. And in this message, you're including a couple clauses that you have questions about, is that right?

A-567

A. Yes.

3

4

5

- Q. And did you -- if you had -- you testified previously that you never saw a provision about -- you did not see a provision
- 6 about allowing him to draw checks from your account.
- 7 | A. That's true.
- Q. If you had seen that, would that have been something you would have included in this message?
- 10 A. Absolutely. I just don't have authority to allow people to
 11 write checks; I don't have authority for that, so, yes.
- Q. If you had seen a provision requiring your company to pay
 fees for 30 years, is that something that you might have raised
- 14 | with Mr. Teman?
- 15 | A. Yes.

20

21

22

- Q. As a general matter, what are you relating to Mr. Teman in this message?
- A. These are points that I have concern about the terms and conditions as it applied to us.
 - Q. These are the terms and conditions that you testified about a minute ago?
 - THE COURT: A little louder, now, counsel, for the members of the jury, please.
- Q. You are referring to the terms and conditions that you testified about a moment ago, right?

な 1	ndt	_m	1h
n I	1101	-	1 4 []

Soon-Osberger - direct

1

Α. Yes.

2

Q. And I would now like to direct your attention to the email message now beginning on page 3 and continuing onto page 4.

4

3

At the bottom of this page it says: "On Thursday,

5

March 29, 2018 at 2:12 p.m. Ari Teman wrote: Hi, Bonnie."

And on the next page, he has some text.

6

7

A. Mm-hmm.

8

Q. What was Mr. Teman relating to you here?

9

A. Realizing we were asking for some references. The bottom

10

is thanking him for the condition -- addressing the concerns.

11 12

looking at the document on the screen. She is referring to a

THE COURT: Sorry. I don't think the witness is

13 different part of this document. Please direct her attention.

14

BY MR. BHATIA:

15

Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, you can look at it on the screen here just so that we are all on the same page.

17

16

A. OK.

18

Q. Is he responding to your comments from below?

19

A. Mm-hmm.

20

Q. And what was your reaction on seeing his comments?

21

A. Well, he was addressing, adjusting, and directing the items

22

that I had about -- the concerns I have, so this is the reply, his reply.

23

24 And was one of the terms that you had questions about

	K1ndtem4b	Soon-Osberger - direct
1	A. Yes.	
2	Q. What was in the	terms and conditions you had seen about
3	raising prices?	
4	A. He was going to	increase he would increase over
5	300 percent next ye	ar and the following year, and point one is
6	addressing that.	
7	THE COURT:	Ms. Soon-Osberger, if you could speak into
8	the microphone, ple	ase?
9	A. The point one i	s addressing, replying to the 300 percent
10	increase for the fo	llowing year after we signed up with them.
11	So, that's addressi	ng that.
12	Q. Were you genera	lly were you satisfied with Mr. Teman's
13	responses?	
14	A. Yeah. Yeah.	
15	Q. OK. And I'm go	ing to direct your attention to an email
16	message on page 6 o	of this document. It is at the bottom of the
17	page.	
18	THE COURT:	Counsel, page 6?
19	MR. BHATIA	: Page 6.
20	THE COURT:	Of 442?
21	On the ver	sion you have given the Court, it just has a
22	couple of address t	abs.
23	MR. BHATIA	: One moment, your Honor.
24	(Pause)	
25	BY MR. BHATIA:	
	II	

	4
	K1ndtem4b Soon-Osberger - direct
1	Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, you email respond did you respond to
2	Mr. Teman and his comments to you?
3	A. Yes, I did.
4	Q. Did you tell him that you agreed well
5	A. Yes.
6	THE COURT: I'm sorry. There is no question. I think
7	counsel retracted the question.
8	Q. Turn to page 3 of this document in the middle of the page.
9	A. Mm-hmm.
10	Q. You write to him, and we'll pull it up, you write I'll
11	give the jury a moment to read this.
12	(Pause)
13	A. Did you want me to read it?
14	THE COURT: There is no question pending.
15	Q. No, nothing for you to read.
16	(Pause)
17	The first line here, you say: "thank you so much for
18	your replies and you have addressed our concerns related to the
19	terms and conditions."
20	When you wrote that, were you agreeing to a provision
21	about that would allow Mr. Teman to draw checks from your
22	account?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Were you agreeing to a provision that would require you to

pay monthly fees for 30 years?

Λ	$^{\sim}$	Λ
4	. 3	4

	-		1.		4.1
K	\perp	n	Ίt	em	40

Soon-Osberger - direct

1

2

- Α. No.
- Q. And were you at that point agreeing to an \$18,000 device
- 3 removal fee?
- 4 No. Α.
 - At that point, had you heard of a device removal fee?
- 6 Α. No.
- 7 Q. You had testified earlier about owning the device. How did
- 8 that affect your view of whether there should be a device
- 9 removal fee?
- A. If I owned the device, I have the option to not use the 10
- 11 device if the device doesn't work for me, so I wouldn't think
- that there is any removal of the device -- unless I hired him 12
- specifically to remove the device, then I would inquire with 13
- 14 him the pricing of that service. If there is a removal fee, I
- 15 would ask for the amount of that removal fee, if that service
- 16 was deemed necessary.
- 17 Q. Did there come a time when you agreed to actually buy the
- device? 18
- 19 A. When I -- when I paid for that invoice, the 2,500, that was
- 20 my device. Because there is a point that I asked him the terms
- and conditions about the leasing. I concerned with leasing. 21
- 22 He said it was a tax loophole, to my understanding, so --
- 23 MR. BHATIA: If we can publish Government Exhibit 431
- 24 and page 3 of that document. We can talk about the invoice.
- 25 Mm-hmm.

K1	ndtem4k	`
n	11011 011141)

Soon-Osberger - direct

- If we can look at the line items listed on this invoice.
- A. Mm-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

- The first item here, it's a little bit hard to read, but it says, "GateGuard 2.0 panel, cooperative show price." And it lists the unit cost of \$2,499.
- What did you believe you were buying for \$2,499? 6
 - A. The panel, the intercom system. That was exactly what it says, cooperative show price; when we talked about it at the show, it was the purchase of this unit.
 - Q. What role did the actual price of this device play in your decision to purchase it?
- 12 A. What's that?
- 13 Q. This may not be an obvious question, but how did the price 14 of \$2,499 affect your decision to buy it or not?
- 15 A. We compared to another system that was compared to this and 16 it was like a \$5,000 system, so we felt that this was -- this
- 17 is \$2,500 and we own it. So if something happened, it's
- \$2,500, so we thought that this is the right decision to go 18
- 19 with this unit.
- 20 Q. When you bought this, did you believe you were buying the device outright? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Did you relay this invoice to Crystal Real Estate
- 24 Management?
- 25 Yes.

K 1	n	٦.	ے ا	m	Δ	h
n	ΓI	(1	ι⊢	-111	4	L)

Soon-Osberger - direct

1

2

3

4

8

- Q. And what happened next?
- A. They proceed to pay the invoice for this amount. Of course, this was submitted to our board for approval to pay.

A-573

- So then management have this invoice to pay based on approval
- 5 by our board members.
- 6 Did the board members approve that?
- 7 Α. Yes.
 - Then you relayed it to Crystal Real Estate? 0.
- 9 Α. To -- yeah.
- 10 Did there come a time when the intercom was installed in
- 11 the building?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 What was your experience with the intercom?
- 14 From day one, it was -- it was surprising because when this
- 15 install was done, he removed our old system and he had left a
- 16 hole but he put his system on top of our mailbox. It kind of
- 17 stuck out, and it was very low, so it didn't make sense to --
- anybody couldn't see the person who is coming to ring the 18
- 19 doorbell, you couldn't see the face because it really is that
- 20 low. And Ari said that it was disability approval, it has to
- be at that height. 21
- I had a couple of concerns because it doesn't look 22
- 23 like it could capture the facial, and also it is right on top
- of our mailbox so our mail -- our mail person can't get into 24
- 25 the key box, and that day it didn't work. So, I had concerns

TZ 1	سللم مد		/11-
n I	ndt	.em	40

Soon-Osberger - direct

- about how we are going to get in and out of the building. 1
 - Q. Did the GateGuard device work as you expected it to?
 - A. No.
 - Did you relay those concerns to Mr. Teman?
- 5 Α. Yes.

2

3

- 6 What was his reaction when you told him about your concerns
- 7 about the device?
- A. He mentioned something about the Internet access, that the 8
- 9 Internet was not the appropriate Internet service for the
- 10 system.
- 11 Q. And ultimately was there a time that you decided it was
- time to move on from the GateGuard device? 12
- A. Yeah, after a lengthy time, after we tried multiple -- two 13
- 14 different Internet services, it still didn't work, and so
- 15 finally we had to just replace the system, because it was from
- 16 August to January we had no access -- the mailman had no
- 17 access, our delivery people had no access, our gas had no
- access. We had to come down from the building to receive 18
- 19 anybody that needed to come into the building. So we worked
- 20 with them as long as we can, but just after a length of time,
- everyone in the building just got impatient and then we decided 21
- 22 we have to move on.
- 23 Q. What happened -- did there come a time when you relayed
- 24 that information to Mr. Teman, that you needed to move on?
- 25 Yes, I did.

	K1ndtem4b Soon-Osberger - direct
1	Q. How did he react?
2	THE COURT: Back up. How was it conveyed, please?
3	Q. How was it conveyed to Mr. Teman?
4	A. By email. I mentioned to him that it's not working; the
5	system, we plug it in, it's not working. We tried the IP
6	addresses he was asking, just multiple requests he had related
7	to our services and each time it just didn't work. And so at
8	one point I said we need to move on, we do need to have the
9	system removed, and that's when he was sending an email that we
LO	would be charged. And in that one email he said he would put a
L1	lien on the building.
L2	Q. I would like to direct your attention to Government Exhibit
L3	443, and I would like to direct your attention to an email
L4	message at the bottom of page 6 and continuing onto page 7.
L5	I'm sorry. The bottom of page 5 and continuing on
L6	page 6.
L7	Who is this email from?
-8	A. It was from Ari.
L9	THE COURT: Just one moment. I am trying to find it.
20	(Pause)
21	Counsel, you said this is Exhibit 44?
22	MR. BHATIA: 443.
23	THE COURT: One moment. Sorry. Apologies.
24	(Pause)
25	Yes. Go ahead.
- 1	

W 1	nd+	em4b
nι	[[(]]	←III 4 D

Soon-Osberger - direct

BY MR. BHATIA: 1

2

4

8

- Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, this is an email from Ari Teman and it's
- 3 to Jackie Monzon, Shelley Pecot and you, is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- And in the first sentence, he writes: "As a reminder, you 5
- are not allowed to touch or move our device and there's an 6
- 7 \$18,000 fine for removing it."

What was your reaction upon reading that?

- 9 Α. I was shocked.
- Why were you shocked? 10 Q.
- 11 A. Why would we be fined --
- THE COURT: Sorry. Start again. Please speak into 12
- the microphone. 13
- 14 A. I was shocked because that we're going to be charged an
- 15 \$18,000 fine for removing a product that doesn't work.
- 16 Q. How did the \$18,000 fine compare to the price of the device 17 itself?
- A. The panel is 2,500, which is what we purchased. So this 18
- \$18,000 doesn't make any -- doesn't make any sense. 19
- 20 it's -- I mean, to me it was a bullying type of scenario when
- someone sends an email like that to me. 21
- 22 Q. And in the next line, he says, "We will enforce the
- 23 contract, and we will remove anything placed on the building
- against it and restore service." 24
- 25 How did you react to the references to removing

TZ 1	ndt		11
n l	HOL	-em	14 D

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Soon-Osberger - direct

anything placed on the building against it and restoring 1 2 service?

- A. Well, that's exactly what I said. It's why would he remove something that we were going to implement, something that works, replace something that we much needed. Again, we left that system many months and tried to work with him. We have Verizon DSL. We had Spectrum put in. We spent a lot of money on different services, Internet services -- Spectrum Internet services just so we can help to make the system work and it never worked.
- Q. Outside of this email, were there any instances where Mr. Teman threatened to sue -- what instances, if any, were there where Mr. Teman threatened to sue you or 18 Mercer?
- A. I believe there is another email that has I'm going to place a lien on your building if this is -- if we are going to remove the system.
- Q. What would be the significance of a lien on your building at 18 Mercer Street?
- A. What I understand a lien is, that it would put -- you can't move any money in or out, you can't make any transaction for the co-operation.
- Q. Did there ever come a time when Mr. Teman threatened to sue you or other members of the board?
- 24 I think that -- I recollect -- it is my recollection that 25 there was other emails that he had threatened us if we

	K1ndtem4b Soon-Osberger - cross
1	didn't if we were to remove this system.
2	Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, I would now like to direct your
3	attention to Government Exhibit 202.
4	At the top of this page, it says, "18 Mercer Equity
5	Inc."
6	Is that the corporation that owns the building at 18
7	Mercer Street?
8	A. Mm-hmm.
9	Q. And this is a check for \$18,000, dated March 28, 2019.
10	Did you authorize Mr. Teman to deposit this check?
11	A. Absolutely not.
12	Q. Did you authorize anyone else to deposit a check for
13	\$18,000 to GateGuard Inc.?
14	A. Absolutely not.
15	Q. In the bottom left corner there is a reference to "Device
16	Removal Fee." Had you ever agreed to an \$18,000 device removal
17	fee?
18	A. Absolutely not.
19	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, no further questions.
20	THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.
21	MR. DiRUZZO: Thank you, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. DiRuzzo, you may inquire.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. DiRUZZO:
25	Q. Good afternoon, ma'am.

K 1	ndt	Δm	4h
n I	11(1)	\leftarrow III	4()

Soon-Osberger - cross

- Good afternoon. 1 Α.
 - Q. I'm going to ask you a series of questions. If I go too
- 3 fast, don't speak loud enough, or you don't understand a
- 4 question, just let me know. I will either repeat or rephrase
- 5 the question. OK?
- 6 Α. Yep.

2

- Ma'am, we've never talked before, correct?
- 8 No. Α.
- 9 0. And let me start with trying to get a little background
- 10 here.
- 11 I have some emails of yours. After your name there is 12 an acronym, "PMP"?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What is that, ma'am?
- 15 A. Project management professional.
- 16 And are you a project manager, is that your occupation?
- 17 A. It was.
- Q. So would managing projects like talking to vendors and 18
- 19 doing modifications to a building, that would be something that
- 20 you are used to doing?
- A. No. I manage business solution teams. So what I do is I 21
- 22 work for IBM. We go and sought out solutions for a company
- 23 that does have issues. And then what we do is locate the
- 24 system, the process, or the equipment, the technology. And
- 25 once we identify where the problem area is, we build a team

に 1	nd+	$\triangle m$	4h

5

Soon-Osberger - cross

- to -- we hire a team and build a team to solve those issues, 1
- 2 whether it is in the process people or equipment or technology.

A-580

- Q. OK. So you are pretty comfortable with modern technology;
- 4 isn't that fair to say?
 - A. Yeah. Yeah.
- OK. So, now, in your direct testimony, you mentioned that 6
- 7 you were the treasurer for your co-op board, is that correct?
- 8 A. Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm.
- 9 Q. And part of your duty is, of course, representing the
- 10 board, but you also represent everyone in the co-op
- collectively, right? 11
- 12 A. Yeah.
- Q. And it is your job to make sure that you do things that are 13
- 14 in the best interest of the co-op, correct?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 And in that vein, you went to -- if I get it correctly, you
- 17 went to a trade show with other individuals to try to look at
- some intercom systems; that was your testimony? 18
- 19 A. Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm.
- 20 Q. And you testified on direct that what attracted you, at
- least in part, to Mr. Teman's GateGuard system was that it had 21
- 22 some cutting-edge technology; is that fair to say?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Could you explain to the jury what exactly was that 24
- 25 cutting-edge technology that differentiated it from other

K 1	ndte	m/lh

Soon-Osberger - cross

systems in the same space? 1

- 3 surprising to me because that was very cutting edge in America,

A. For sure. The system had face recognition, and that was

A-581

- 4 anyway. We had attended a trade show, it was very popular, and
- 5 I recognized what it is. So I went over to see this technology
- 6 that has face recognition. So that attracted me along with
- 7 having the access to call your phone whenever somebody
- 8 accessed, like a delivery person or a guest. So those type of
- 9 functionalities was very attractive.
- 10 Q. OK. So you would agree with my characterization, that was
- 11 an integrated hardware and software platform; does that sound
- 12 right to you?
- A. Yes, I think so because you need Internet services and, 13
- 14 yeah.
- 15 And as a result, Mr. Teman's system, it collected data, and
- 16 that data would be available to the Mercer co-op board,
- 17 correct?
- A. Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm. 18
- Q. And that was part of the appeal of the GateGuard system was 19
- 20 the ability of the system to, in essence, collect this data and
- make it available upon demand, correct? 21
- 22 A. Yeah. Most of the technology, it collects data, yeah.
- 23 Q. And this -- the interface was a Web-based interface; you
- 24 would agree with me?
- 25 Yes.

K 1	ndt er	n/h
n	11(11)	1141)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Soon-Osberger - cross

1	Q. You had to go on the Internet, your had to go on your
2	browser, Firefox, put in the website, go on the login password
3	in order to get into the GateGuard website, correct?

- Yeah -- well, more like a mobile device that we were attracted to. You can use a mobile device to unlock the door or to see somebody who are in front of your door, yeah.
- Q. To be a little more specific, not so much the individuals that have a co-op would be able to let someone in via mobile device. I am talking for the co-op board, the management, that it would be able to login through the GateGuard website, correct, in order to see what's going on?
- A. That was up to the management. The management would have access to do that.
- Q. OK. But the management corporation or business, Crystal, that you referred to, those are the ones that effectively did it on behalf of the co-op board?
- A. Yeah. What we were interested in if we wanted information about who came in and out of the building or data, then, yes, we would go to them for that information.
- Q. Now, you also testified that one of the things that attracted you was the price. You said the price was -- this is my characterization not yours -- it was half the price of the next competitor? Did you say Mr. Teman's was 2,500 and the next competitor was 5,000?
- 25 I think it was like somewhere around 5,000, yes.

K 1	n	A+	ے ا	ארב	1/4	h
n I	- 1 1	(1)		۱۱⊹	14	()

2

3

Soon-Osberger - cross

- So that was a pretty good deal? 1 Q.
 - I think so, yes. Α.
 - Now, you knew that, when you were getting this deal, that
- 4 in order for the device to work, it had to be connected to the
- 5 Internet and it had to have service for a long period of time.
- In other words, you aren't just going to buy the device, 6
- 7 install it yourself, and expect it to work because it wouldn't;
- 8 you would agree with that?
- 9 A. Of course. Of course.
- In other words, you would have, or your board would have an 10
- 11 ongoing relationship with GateGuard not only once the device
- 12 was installed but days, months, years in the future in order to
- make sure that the device connectivity and activity still 13
- 14 worked?
- 15 A. If they were -- if they were to continue service on an
- 16 ongoing basis for the system, yes.
- 17 Q. Now, when you talked to Mr. Teman at the trade show, he
- pointed you to the GateGuard website, is that correct? 18
- 19 A. I think he said you can go in there and find more
- 20 information, yes.
- Q. And I assume -- correct me if I am wrong -- you actually 21
- 22 went to the GateGuard website and looked around a little bit,
- 23 correct?
- 24 When he pointed me to it, yes, I went in to look at the
- 25 Channel 5 interview that took place.

W 1	ndt	Om.	1h
n I	11(1)	-11	14()

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

20

Soon-Osberger - cross

- And that was the video that you mentioned on your direct 1 2 examination, was it?
 - A. I took a look at it, yeah. Yes.
 - Q. And part of the -- now, let's talk -- I want to switch gears a little bit and talk about the terms and conditions.

Showing you what's been marked as and entered into evidence as Government Exhibit 441.

And this is the document that we were just talking about not too long ago on direct examination, correct?

- A. Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm.
- 11 Q. You would admit, this is a fairly long -- a fairly long and 12 involved, 22-page document, correct, ma'am?
- 13 A. Mm-hmm.
- 14 Q. With a lot of, for lack of better terms, like contract
- 15 language, legalese, correct?
- 16 A. Mm-hmm.
 - Q. And you actually -- you yourself, you looked at and you reviewed this document, correct?
- 19 A. Mm-hmm.
 - Q. And --
- 21 THE COURT: Sorry. Just try and answer questions
- "yes" or "no." 22
- 23 A. Yes. Sorry. Yes.
- And there were other members of your co-op board that also 24
- 25 looked at this document, correct?

A-585

K 1	nd+	em4b	
n I	11(1)	H1140	

Yes.

Soon-Osberger - cross

1 Α.

2

- And could you tell the jury who those individuals were?
- 3 The president, Stephanie Phillips. Α.
 - Anyone else? Q.
- No, but we did make it available for all the board members. 5
- And for the jury's edification, could you tell the jury who 6
- 7 the other board members were?
- 8 A. The other board member at that time was Roberta -- I forgot
- 9 her last name, she is an Italian lady. She just moved in.
- then it was Margaret Cummings, and then -- who else was that on 10
- 11 that board? Phillips, me --
- 12 Q. If you can't remember, ma'am, that is fine.
- A. Yeah, there is only five board members. 13
- So there is five board members? 14
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 OK I assume -- correct me if I am wrong -- that in order
- 17 for the board to approve something, you probably need three out
- of five board members to agree? 18
- 19 A. That's correct. We sent out -- usually we sent out
- 20 documents for them to look at and then we ask for approval.
- Q. OK. Now, ma'am, I'm going to flip to -- ma'am, can you see 21
- Section 5, "Orders"? 22
- 23 THE COURT: Do you want this published to the jury?
- 24 MR. DiRUZZO: Yes, please, your Honor. Sorry. It has
- 25 been admitted into evidence.

TZ 1	سللم مر	11-	
h I	TICIT	em4h)

Soon-Osberger - cross

- OK. Ma'am, do you see that there?
- A. Mm-hmm.

1

2

3

- So this is the orders and fees pricing quotes. Do you see
- 4 that section there?
 - That's right. Α.
- And, now, part of your discussion on direct examination was 6
- 7 that you took issue with this little portion right here, about
- 8 talking about raising the monthly fees of a hundred percent per
- 9 year but no more than 350 percent. Do you see that there,
- 10 ma'am?
- A. Mm-hmm. 11
- Q. So that's a portion of at least the terms and conditions 12
- 13 that you or the board collectively took issue with; you would
- 14 agree with that?
- 15 A. Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm.
- 16 Q. But it says right here that this document is subject to the
- 17 additional payment terms stipulated at, and there is a URL. Do
- you see that there, ma'am? 18
- 19 A. Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm.
- 20 Q. And, ma'am, is it your testimony that you did not click on
- that URL? 21
- A. We have to collect information for bidders. We need either 22
- 23 a contract that we can sign with the other party or specific
- pricing. So, this doesn't give me all that information. 24
- 25 could have a generic, default pricing for whatever he's

T2	- 1	n	d.	ہ ۔		. 1	h
'n	. 1	11	CI.	ГЕ	-11	14	Ю

Soon-Osberger - cross

- offering, but I need -- I asked Ari for pricing so that I can 1 2 have specific -- I can't just submit this to my board. I have 3 to have the exact pricing that he gives me so that I can line 4 up, which I did after he gave me the invoice, to line up with 5 other vendors the costs of all the specific bids. So clicking on that doesn't give me information directly that I can submit 6
 - Q. I understand, ma'am, but my question is just a little more direct and simple.
- A. Mm-hmm. 10

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

11 Q. Did you click on that URL?

for board approval.

- 12 A. I did not.
- Q. And as the next follow up, you could have, though, if you 13 14 wanted to? You had the ability, correct?
- 15 I could. And I could, yes, but --
- 16 But you chose not to?
- 17 Not that I choose not to, but the way we do our job, bidding for a job, is a pricing and a signed contract and --18
- 19 Q. I understand, ma'am, but --
- 20 THE COURT: Let the witness finish her answer, please.
 - Yes. So I need exact pricing, and the contract and the pricing, especially the pricing to put together the bid, and that's when Ari gave me that particular invoice, that is what we are approving. That's what we're paying. That's our pricing.

K 1	n	4	e	m	12	`
n i		(1	-	ш	41)

Soon-Osberger - cross

- Ma'am, I understand. But you would agree with me that 1
- 2 Mr. Teman is not on your board and wasn't privy to the internal
- 3 rules and mechanisms of your co-op board; you would agree with
- 4 that?
- 5 A. I agree, but he knew that I was trying to collect prices
- for bids to make a determination to move forward with the 6
- 7 intercom system.
- 8 Q. Ma'am, during your course of interaction with Mr. Teman,
- 9 you reviewed the terms and conditions and submitted it to the
- 10 board for the board's approval, which the board did approve,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Mm-hmm.
- THE COURT: One moment. 13
- 14 Counsel, I think there is a compound question. You 15 submitted -- you said she submitted the terms and conditions 16 and you submitted it for approval. Break those down into 17 separate questions so it is clear what you were asking was
- submitted, please. 18
- 19 MR. DiRUZZO: Sure.
- 20 BY MR. DiRUZZO:
- Q. Ma'am, you submitted the terms and conditions to the board, 21
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 And the board approved the terms and conditions, correct?
- 25 They approved the contract and they approved the pricing,

A-589

I	K1ndtem4b Soon-Osberger - cross
1	yes.
2	Q. I want to be very specific with my terminology here now.
3	When I say "terms and conditions," I'm referring to this
4	document right here, which is Government Exhibit 441.
5	A. So what we do is we submit the bids and we submit whatever
6	documents that we have, which is these documents included, for
7	the board to review, and then we take a vote and we have the
8	majority to move forward.
9	Q. Correct me if I am wrong, but I don't think there is a
10	dispute, this is the document, Government Exhibit 441, that was
11	submitted to your board?
12	A. That's right.
13	Q. And that the board approved?
14	A. That's right.
15	(Continued on next page)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A-	5	9	0
----	---	---	---

K	1	M	7.7	т	F	M!	5
- 17	_	ТЛ	ν	1	ند	Γ.Ι.	J

Soon-Osberger - cross

1

BY MR. DiRUZZO:

2

3

- Q. Ma'am, I'm showing you what's been marked as -- admitted in evidence, Government Exhibit 442. Starting at the top, ma'am,
- 4 that right there, that's your email address; correct?
- 5 That's right. Α.
- 6 And then you say here that: Ari, we were reviewing your
- terms and conditions, and have inquiries regarding the 7
- 8 following. And then it goes on.

That's your email to Mr. Teman; correct?

10

- A. That's right.
- 11 And this portion right here, this first part in quotes,
- 12 about the 100 percent per year and no more than 350 percent,
- 13 that language actually comes from Section 5 of the terms and
- 14 conditions, which is Government Exhibit 441. You would agree
- 15 with me that that's where that language comes from?
- A. Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm. 16
- 17 Q. Now, going down a little farther, this language right
- here -- and I'm not going to read it all, but has a bunch of 18
- 19 hyperlinks in it to at least the GateGuard and the related
- 20 company property panel websites. Do you see that there, ma'am?
- 21 A. Number --
- 22 THE COURT: Please answer yes or no, kindly, as
- 23 opposed to "mm-hmm."
- 24 A. I was just going to ask, is this --
- 25 THE COURT: One moment.

MM'	

Soon-Osberger - cross

1

2

3

- That's fine. Okay. Okay.
- THE COURT: Just for the record, counsel has circled the paragraph that begins, "You agree that GateGuard will run."

A-591

- 4 Go ahead, counsel.
- BY MR. DiRUZZO: 5
- Q. So, ma'am, that's -- you cut-and-pasted that portion of the 6
- 7 terms and conditions into your email that you sent Mr. Teman;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. That's right.
- Q. And in that portion that you cut-and-pasted into your email 10
- 11 included hyperlinks also from the terms and conditions;
- 12 correct?
- A. Mm-hmm. 13
- 14 Q. Because you had concerns with this section and the
- 15 hyperlinks that this section incorporates; correct?
- 16 THE COURT: Sorry. We need an answer.
- 17 I was referring to the monthly fee here.
- Q. I understand that, ma'am. But this -- this paragraph that 18
- 19 you put in quotes, you did that; correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Because you had concerns about that language; correct? 21
- 22 A. About the monthly fees, yes.
- 23 I'm not asking about the monthly fees. I'm asking about --
- 24 You're asking if I accept the entirety of this paragraph
- 25 when I paste that on there and had that increase.

TIM		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Soon-Osberger - cross

- I'm asking you did that because you had concerns about that 1 2 language that you personally quoted and pasted into your email?
 - A. Yes, I have -- I have concern about that, that -- yes, that paragraph or the -- yes.
 - Then you would also agree with me that Mr. Teman responded -- and it goes on to the next page. And he responded to you and asked at the very bottom, "Does that help?" You would agree with that, right, ma'am?
 - A. Yes, yes.

A. Yes.

- To which you replied that he addressed your concerns 10 11 related to the terms and conditions; correct?
- 12 A. Yup, related to those topics of the terms and conditions, 13 yes.
 - Q. So you would agree that Mr. Teman was responsive to your emails and the concerns that you expressed to him?
 - THE COURT: Mr. DiRuzzo, I'm looking for a natural break for our mid-afternoon -- natural point for our mid-afternoon break. Is this a good point or do you --
 - MR. DiRUZZO: This is fine, Judge.
 - THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm informed by Mr. Smallman that the mid-afternoon coffee, etc., has arrived. So we'll take a 15-minute recess. In 15 minutes, Mr. Smallman will come get you.

As always, please don't discuss the case.

	K1NVTEM5 Soon-Osberger - cross
1	(Jury not present)
2	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone have anything to raise
3	before we take the break?
4	MR. BHATIA: No.
5	THE COURT: All right. I'll see you just a few
6	moments before 15 minutes. Have a good break, everyone.
7	I'll need the witness back in the box before the jury
8	comes out.
9	(Recess)
10	THE COURT: Let's get the witness in the box and let's
11	get the jury. Welcome back, Mr. Imperatore.
12	MR. IMPERATORE: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Mr. DiRuzzo, for planning purposes, how
14	much further on cross, approximately?
15	MR. DiRUZZO: Best guess, 10 to 15.
16	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.
17	(Jury present)
18	THE COURT: Ms. Soon-Osberger, I'll remind you that
19	you are still under oath.
20	And Mr. DiRuzzo, you may inquire.
21	BY MR. DiRUZZO:
22	Q. Okay, ma'am. I'm going to shift gears a little bit. I'm
23	going to turn your attention to show this to the jury and to
24	the witness, Government Exhibit 431, which is already in
25	evidence.

	-					
Ն	1	ידא	75	ГΈ	īΝ	īЦ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

Soon-Osberger - cross

And ma'am, this is an email from you to board, and cc Caroline, Gina, and Jackie, with the subject of Invoice for the New Intercom System. So this is an email that you sent to those individuals; correct?

A. Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: Sorry. You need to answer yes or no and speak into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes.

- Q. And that listed out particularly here the one-year annual amount, which is just under \$600; correct?
- A. That's correct. Yes.
- Q. And that information that you relayed in the body of your email -- I know it's probably a little difficult for everyone to see right here, but this is the invoice that you receive from Mr. Teman's business GateGuard; correct?
- A. It look different from the other invoice.
- 17 Q. It looks different. How does it look different, ma'am? We'll start off --18

THE COURT: I think, Mr. DiRuzzo, it would be helpful if you -- if I'm correct, you've just gone two pages beyond the email you showed her in the same exhibit. If you identify it that way, we can speed things along. Why don't you have her flip through that or something.

MR. DiRUZZO: Sure.

THE COURT: Rather than detaining us.

		7 7 7	7 [

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Soon-Osberger - cross

MR. DiRUZZO: Sure.

Q. Take a look at the binder, Government Exhibit 431. It

should be a three-page document.

So, ma'am, this document which is on the screen right now, the document that you have in the binder on your lap in paper form?

- A. It's missing the information. I assume it's just not on here, displayed here.
- Q. Okay. But this document, is that in the binder that you have?
- A. It's just missing the information, but, yeah, I think it's the same thing. Right?
 - THE COURT: Sorry. First of all, please keep your voice up.

What do you mean by it's missing the information? This document is the attachment to the email that counsel was asking you about.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's just -- it's just information here that's not clear on the screen. I just want to make sure that it's a different document, so I just want to make sure.

THE COURT: I see. All right.

Then let me ask defense counsel just to show the witness the entire document. Her point is that only a portion of the invoice is being portrayed on the screen. So show her the entire thing.

(212) 805-0300

			ъ л	
	NI	F		

confusion.

Soon-Osberger - cross

1

MR. DiRUZZO: I understand.

2

THE COURT: I'm just trying to move us past this

A-596

3

MR. DiRUZZO: Okay.

4 5

BY MR. DiRUZZO:

6

Is that better ma'am?

7

Yup. Α.

correct?

8

9

Q. Okay. So now, ma'am, so let's talk about this portion right here. First year paid up front, that's basically \$50 a

10

month for a year, just under \$600; correct?

11

Α. That's -- yes.

12

Q. And the reason that your co-op board was billed per years worth \$50 a month is because there was going to be reoccurring

13 14

fees that the board -- for your co-op building was going to be

15

responsible for, to use the functionality of the GateGuard

16 17 system, the device, for the first year, and then you would have to pay for it again for the second year and the third year;

19

18

A. Like most monitoring and hosting services, if we decide to

20

go with -- continue that type of monitoring services or that

21

particular equipment, then, yes, we renew another year.

22

Q. Okay. Now, ma'am, I'm going to switch gears again to a little discussion about Crystal Management.

23 24

So based on your direct testimony, Crystal Management

25

was the management company that was in charge of the building,

K1NVTEM ^c				
K N // H // "	TZ 1	N T T 7		NAL
	- K	IN V	ΙН.	V ~

Soon-Osberger - cross

- for lack of a better term? 1
 - A. Yes.

2

- Q. And like all management companies, I assume Crystal
- 4 collected the co-op or the HOA fees from everyone on a monthly
- 5 basis?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 Q. And then Crystal would dispense money to the vendors,
- 8 whoever the co-op would have an expense to?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. So my understanding on your direct testimony was that the 10
- condo or the co-op board itself didn't write checks; instead, 11
- 12 it directed Crystal on its behalf to issue checks to pay bills
- for your co-op board. Is my understanding correct? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 So, in other words, you couldn't write a check off of
- 16 whatever bank account, because the co-op board didn't actually
- 17 have a bank account in its own name. Is that my understanding?
- A. It has checking account its own name. 18
- 19 Q. Okay. So it had a checking account in its own name, but
- 20 Crystal wrote checks off of the board's bank account, or did
- Crystal write checks off of Crystal's own bank account? If you 21
- 22 could clarify that for us.
- 23 A. What my recollection is that we approve for bank account to
- 24 be opened on our behalf when we transition over to Crystal so
- 25 we have our own bank account.

T.7	1 NTT	7 -	T 1	ΝЛ	$\overline{}$
ĸ	1 1/1 /	. /	н	IVI	7

Soon-Osberger - cross

So what I'm hearing, correct me if I'm wrong, the 1

A-598

- 2 board had its own bank account, but Crystal had signatory
- 3 authority over that bank account?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 0. Okay.
- 6 That's right. Α.
- 7 Q. And Crystal -- given that it had signatory authority over
- 8 the bank account, you would agree with me that, as a result,
- 9 Crystal had the legal capacity to pay bills on behalf of the
- 10 board?
- 11 They only pay bill on everything we approve, yes.
- Q. I understand that. But Crystal though had the ability, the 12
- 13 authority, to pay bills on behalf of the co-op?
- 14 Α. That's right.
- 15 On behalf of the board?
- 16 That's right. That's right. Α.
- 17 Q. And as far as signatory authority goes, no one on the board
- at the co-op, for example, yourself, had that signatory 18
- 19 authority to issue checks?
- A. No. 20
- That's not correct? 21 Q.
- 22 A. Oh, we don't have -- right, we don't sign checks, no, we
- 23 don't have authority to sign checks.
- I just want to make sure there's no confusion. 24
- 25 So, for example, you, yourself, you could not write a

TZ	1 1	717	70	Γ	M	Е
ĸ	- 1	VI V	/	н.	IVI	7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

Soon-Osberger - cross

- check on behalf of the co-op board; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, ma'am, I'm going to switch gears again to the actual device.

Now, it's my understanding that the device was in -you know what? Can you just explain -- paint a little picture to the jury as to what the building looks like, like when you enter, where the device was when you first came in?

- A. It's on the right-hand side. So you come up to the entrance. We have a -- we have our front door, and then we have a panel -- we have a side panel on the right to the left.
- 12 And so the intercom system is on the right-hand side of the building. 13
 - Q. Okay. So when an individual walks up, you walk up, and then the device is to the right?
 - A. The device is on the right-hand side, yes.
 - Okay. Now, it's my understanding that your testimony was it was too low and that was a problem? The device was too low?
- 19 That was one of the problem.
- 20 Q. Okay. Let's talk about that problem.

But the reason that the device was too low, at least from your point of view, is that people had to, like, bend down in order to get their face -- a snapshot taken, is that my understanding?

THE COURT: She doesn't know what your understanding

T.7	1	TTE	700	М	

Soon-Osberger - cross

1 is.

- 2 Q. Let me phrase it this way, ma'am: The device is too low,
- 3 so the complaints were that people had to bend down in order to
- 4 look at the device?
- 5 A. The device was positioned where it doesn't capture the
- person's face. If it's a FaceNet recognition, it's not 6
- 7 capturing the person's face, period.
- Q. I understand. But why wasn't it capturing the face? My 8
- 9 understanding -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- that part of
- the complaint was that the device was too low so that when 10
- 11 people walked up to it, it wouldn't capture their face, it
- 12 would capture their chest or something like that?
- 13 MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Sorry. What's the objection?
- 15 MR. BHATIA: Compound question.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes. Also cease asking about your
- 17 understanding. It's complicating the question. Just ask her
- what the facts are. 18
- 19 MR. DiRUZZO: Sure.
- 20 Q. Ma'am, was the device too low in that it took a picture of
- someone's chest instead of their face? 21
- 22 A. That's my assessment. And I was looking for Ari. Is it
- 23 because it's low, because my concern was it's not capturing the
- first person's face. Could it be it's too low. I don't know. 24
- 25 That's why I was looking for him to give me an answer.

TZ 1	1 n.	T 7.7	ГГ	Ν/Ι	. \square
n	1 1	1 1/	ΙPι	IVI	.)

Soon-Osberger - cross

- Q. But, ma'am --
- 2
- Why isn't it capturing the face of the person?
- 3
- But, ma'am, wasn't the reason that it was positioned where it was was the device had to be Americans with Disability Act
- 4 5
- compliant?
- 6
 - That's what Ari is saying.
- 7 8
- Disabilities Act, also known as the ADA, that's a federal law?

THE COURT: Sustained. There's no evidence that that

9

MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor.

Q. And you would agree with me that the Americans with

- 10 1 1
- is, in fact, true. Then this case is not about the Americans
- 12
- with Disabilities Act. Counsel, move on.
- 13
 - BY MR. DiRUZZO:

that there anymore.

- 14
- Q. Now, ma'am, the device was moved from its initial position

January. Months we were working with Ari on it. And we had to

to a different position on the building; is that correct?

- 15
- 16 A. I believe that happen in January, maybe it was December or
- 17
- move it because the mailman can't -- it's illegal -- the 18
- 19
 - mailman can't get to the mailbox. And we just could not have
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- And there's a hole, there's a big hole where the old panel was. So the person that we had come and do our lobby, just move it, cover the panel, and so that the mailman can access to the -- to the mailbox. And so if Ari can't explain where its positioning is not capturing the face, and there's a

K1NVTEM5	Soon-Osberger	_	redirect

hole in the panel, we move that onto where the hole is, and so that the mailman can get to that.

And then right from the start, Ari knows, he said, When you're -- he said that you can have your contractor move that -- that panel.

- Q. Just so we're clear, ma'am, it was a contractor that the board hired or Crystal hired to move the device?
- A. It was a contractor to the corporation, yes.
- 9 Q. Just for clarity, it wasn't GateGuard and Mr. Teman that 10 moved it, it was the co-op board that moved it?
- 11 A. Not co-op board, but contractor to the co-op board, yes.
- 12 But that was January, after months and months of working with 13 Ari.
 - MR. DiRUZZO: The Court's indulgence, your Honor.

15 (Counsel conferred)

- 16 MR. DiRUZZO: Yield the witness, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
- MR. BHATIA: Briefly, your Honor. 18
- 19 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. BHATIA: 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 22 Q. Ms. Soon-Osberger, you were asked a few questions about
- 23 what Mr. Teman promised you regarding the device, right? Some
- of the features of it and how it would -- how it involved 24
- 25 facial recognition? Did the device work?

N M	TF	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

Soon-Osberger - redirect

There's no such thing as facial recognition in that device.

THE COURT: One moment.

Counsel, the witness was shaking her head, I think, intending to convey either a no or yes.

You need to use words.

- No, it did not have.
- It did not. What was wrong with it?
- 8 Α. What's that?
 - What was wrong with the device?
- 10 What was wrong? Α.
- First of all, it was not facial recognition at all. 11
- 12 Facial recognition means your face goes into that system and
- 13 opens the door. That never even existed.
- 14 Secondly, there's no -- it never did a handshake to
- 15 our intercom, our network system, to open the door, to dial
- 16 somebody if somebody's at the door and they press the button to
- 17 the guest. The delivery person, you give them access to it,
- they can't access because it isn't opening the door for them. 18
- 19 Q. How long did you have the intercom installed in the
- 20 building?

- A. We had it August to almost about January 10th.
- O. So that's several months? 22
- 23 A. Five, six months.
- How long did it actually work? 24
- 25 How long did it work? It didn't -- I think it worked like

NIX 700 DOM	
VIVALLE PLIV	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

Soon-Osberger - recross

- it will -- it will open up one time, and then you do a second 1 time, it doesn't work anymore. 2
 - Q. Why did you keep -- I'm sorry.
 - Sorry. It keep looking for the internet service the second time.
 - Why did you keep it installed so long if it didn't work?
 - A. Because we were trying to work with Ari. He keep giving us reason why it doesn't work. So we tried different things and we tried different things. And we try a different network services. We pay a lot of money to get Spectrum in there to
- 11 install the network system. We got the Spectrum, we connected
- 12 it, and it didn't work. Nothing worked.
- Q. And did he tell you what would happen if you removed the 13 device? 14
- 15 A. He was threatening, yeah, that he would charge the \$18,000.
 - Was that part of the reason you kept it so long?
- 17 Yeah, yeah, it's all these threats were -- yeah.
- MR. BHATIA: No further questions, your Honor. 18
- 19 THE COURT: All right.
- 20 Any recross, Mr. DiRuzzo?
- 21 MR. DiRUZZO: Quickly, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 23 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- BY MR. DiRUZZO: 24
- 25 Ma'am, the system worked insofar as, at a minimum, it

	т.	NΛ	

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Soon-Osberger - recross

provided a bunch of data or information when you go onto the 1 2 site, you could login and view the logs of people coming and 3 going into the building; correct?

- It logged the system trying to come in and go out. never opened the door for anybody.
- Q. Okay. But my question was though the system did collect 6 7 data, and that data was available to the board; correct?
 - A. The foremost reason we bought the system is so that people can access the building, delivery people, guests of the shareholders, anybody that need a virtual key to access or not have to use a key, that was another functionality that we were looking for. It's a functionality of exiting, entering.

The data really didn't -- we weren't even have access to it. Management have access. If we needed information, we go to them for it. It's not something that was a priority when we look for the system. It was the entering and exiting capability.

- Q. Now, ma'am, you testified that Mr. Teman threatened to start litigation against the board; correct?
- A. Yes.
- But the board was more than able to start its own litigation to contest the validity of the contract; correct?
- 23 A. I'm not understanding, that something I didn't --
- 24 Let me ask it a different way: Mr. Teman threatened to 25 sue; correct?

	K1NVTEM5 Soon-Osberger - recross
1	A. Mm-hmm.
2	Q. The board could have sued Mr. Teman and GateGuard, but it
3	chose not to?
4	MR. BHATIA: Objection, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Sustained. Beyond the scope.
6	MR. DiRUZZO: Nothing further, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	Any re-redirect?
9	MR. BHATIA: No.
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	Ms. Soon-Osberger, you may step down. Your testimony
12	is complete. Thank you.
13	(Witness excused)
14	THE COURT: Government, call your next witness.
15	MR. BHATIA: The government calls Gina Hom.
16	GINA HOM,
17	called as a witness by the Government,
18	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
19	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Ms. Hom.
20	Welcome to court.
21	I'll ask you kindly to keep your voice up. So lean
22	into the mic and really shout it out so that everyone in this
23	large old courtroom can hear you.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay.
25	THE COURT: All right.
	II

			470
	K1N	VTEM5 Hom - direct	170
1		Counsel you, may inquire.	
2	DIR	ECT EXAMINATION	
3	ВҮ	MR. BHATIA:	
4	Q.	Ms. Hom, where do you work?	
5	Α.	Crystal Real Estate Management.	
6	Q.	What's your title there?	
7	Α.	Vice president.	
8	Q.	What are your day-to-day responsibilities as a vice	
9	pre	sident?	
10	Α.	I oversee all the finances on all the properties that we	
11	man	age.	
12	Q.	And are you familiar with the building at 18 Mercer Stree	et?
13	Α.	Yes.	
14	Q.	What's your familiarity with it?	
15	Α.	We used to manage that property about a year ago.	
16	Q.	At Crystal Real Estate Management, you were the management	ıt
17	com	pany for 18	
18	Α.	Correct. Correct.	
19	Q.	And what kind of work did you do on behalf of 18 Mercer	
20	Str	eet?	
21	Α.	We oversaw the day-to-day operations.	
22	Q.	What kind of work did you do with regards to finances?	
23	Α.	We collected the maintenance, we paid vendor bills, we pa	aid

Q. You say "we." Who else --

the mortgage, we paid utilities, repair bills.

K1NVTEM5	$H \cap m$	_	direct
MIN VIEW	HOIII		u I I C C C

- Real estate management, I'm sorry.
 - Q. At Crystal Real Estate Management, what kind of work did
- 3 you do with regard to paying expenses?
- 4 A. As invoices came in, we would pay the repair bills or any
- 5 of the maintenance bills, "maintenance" meaning elevator,
- 6 boiler.

1

- 7 Q. At Crystal Real Estate Management, who is authorized to
- 8 sign for the 18 Mercer account?
- 9 A. It was myself and my partner, Jackeline Monzon.
- Okay. Are you familiar with the entity 18 Mercer Equity, 10
- 11 Inc.?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What is that?
- 14 A. That is a cooperative that Crystal Real Estate Management
- 15 was hired to manage.
- 16 And did you manage the account for -- the bank account for
- 17 18 Mercer Equity, Inc.?
- 18 A. Yes, we did.
- What kind of funds went into that account? 19
- 20 The funds were any maintenance collected for the property
- on a monthly basis. 21
- 22 Q. So those are the shareholders' monthly maintenance
- 23 payments?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 What was the process for approving expenses on the 18

23

24

25

2

			47
	K1N	VTEM5 Hom - direct	
1	Mer	cer Equity account?	
2	Α.	Any invoices that comes in for any day-to-day operations	of
3	the	property, the managing agent, which was my partner,	
4	Jac	keline Monzon, would review the invoice and approve it.	
5	Q.	And would you require any other approvals in order to pay	
6	out	an expense?	
7	Α.	If it was a major repair or expense, then the board would	
8	hav	e to approve it as well.	
9	Q.	Were invoices required to pay expenses?	
10	Α.	I'm sorry?	
11	Q.	Were invoices required in order to pay expenses?	
12	Α.	Yes, they were.	
13	Q.	Shifting gears now, are you familiar with the GateGuard	
14	int	ercom?	
15	Α.	Yes, I am.	
16	Q.	I'm sorry. Go ahead.	
17	Α.	I'm sorry. That was a company that was hired to install	
18	new	intercom system.	
19	Q.	And did they install one at 18 Mercer Street?	
20	A.	To the best of my knowledge, yes.	
21	Q.	What was the experience of the shareholders of 18 Mercer	

MR. DiRUZZO: Objection. Hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

with the GateGuard intercom?

K1NVTEM5	Hom	_	direct
KINVIEMO	HOIII		allect

- invoice for the 18 Mercer Equity account to GateGuard? 1
- 2 A. Yes, I was.
- 3 I'd like to direct your attention to Government Exhibit 143
- 4 in evidence. Is this a check that you issued to GateGuard,
- Inc.? 5
- A. Yes, it is. 6
- 7 How do you know that?
- 8 Because that is my signature on the bottom.
- 9 And does this look like the checks you issued on behalf of
- 10 18 Mercer Equity, Inc.?
- 11 Α. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And this is a check for \$3,947.88. Walk us through the
- process from getting an invoice to paying out this check. 13
- 14 A. Okay. With regard to this particular invoice, it was
- 15 emailed out by the board saying that this was a deposit needed
- 16 in order for GateGuard to install the new intercom system.
- 17 Q. What happens after you get an --
- A. After I get -- I'm sorry. After I get the invoice, I enter 18
- 19 into the system, and then I issue the check. And I do not
- 20 recall if the check was mailed or if it was picked up.
- You mentioned two things, you mentioned an invoice and you 21
- mentioned an email. 22
- 23 A. Correct.
- Are both of those things required in order to pay that 24
- 25 expense or is only one of them required?

K1NVTEM5	Hom	_	direct
VINATUM?	пОш	_	arrect

- On this particular case, yes. 1
 - I'm sorry, are both required?
 - The email was sent by the board with their approval to pay
- 4 this invoice. And attach the email was a copy of an invoice.
- 5 In order to pay an invoice for a vendor like GateGuard, you
- would require both an invoice and a board approval? 6
- 7 A. Correct.

2

- Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to Government 8
- 9 Exhibit 143, also in evidence.
- I'm sorry. I'll direct your attention to Government 10
- Exhibit 201 and 202. Let me look at 202 first. 11
- 12 Is this a check that you issued from the 18 Mercer
- Equity account? 13
- 14 A. No, it is not.
- 15 Did you authorize this check?
- 16 A. No, I did not.
- 17 Q. And how do you know that?
- 18 A. Because the font and the whole entire look of the check is
- 19 not what I'm familiar with. And that is not my signature on
- 20 the bottom of the check.
- Q. Did there come a time in March 2019 when you learned about 21
- an unauthorized -- when you reported an unauthorized check in 22
- 23 the 18 Mercer Equity account?
- A. Yes. 24
- 25 How did you find out about that check?

IZ 1 NIX ZIPIDIME	II a	سام د د اد
K1NVTEM5	пош –	direct

- After we -- Crystal Real Estate Management terminated the contract of 18 Mercer, which would have ended March 31st, on or about the 15th of March. I was checking the bank account on a daily basis to make sure that any outstanding checks that we had issued on behalf of 18 Mercer has cleared so I can close the account. At that point I noticed an \$18,000 check from the night before had gone through the bank. And I know I did not issue an \$18,000 check.
- Is this the check that you saw?
- 10 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 What was your reaction to seeing it?
- A. Shocked. And at that point I called the bank and had them 12 13 place a stop payment on it.
- 14 Why were you shocked?
- 15 A. Because I did not issue a check. And it overdrew my 16 account. I'm sorry, not my account, 18 Mercer's account.
- 17 O. It overdrew the account?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 And when you reported this check as unauthorized -- is that right? You did that? 20
- Yes, I did. I emailed the bank. 21
- 22 Did you ask the board first whether this was authorized? 0.
- 23 No. Α.
- 24 0. Why not?
- 25 Because the only people that were authorized to issue any

I	K1NVTEM5 Hom - cross
1	payments on this would have been myself or Jackeline. And
2	neither one of us had done it.
3	Q. Fair to say that because you didn't authorize the check,
4	you were able to report it as unauthorized?
5	A. Correct.
6	MR. BHATIA: One moment, your Honor.
7	(Counsel conferred)
8	Q. Did you ever see an invoice in connection with this check?
9	A. With this the \$18,000 check?
10	Q. Yes.
11	A. No, I did not.
12	Q. Did you ever receive did there ever come a time when you
13	received an invoice for \$18,000 from GateGuard, Inc.?
14	A. No, I did not.
15	Q. Did there ever come a time when you had approval from
16	Bonnie to write a check for \$18,000?
17	A. No, I did not.
18	MR. BHATIA: No further questions, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	Cross-examination. Mr. DiRuzzo.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. DiRUZZO:
23	Q. Good afternoon, ma'am.
24	A. Good afternoon.
25	Q. My name is Joseph DiRuzzo. I represent Mr. Teman.
	II

A-614

477

721 277 700 TO A C	* *		
K1NVTEM5	HOM	_	cross

We've never spoken before; correct? 1

- A. Correct.
- Q. And so I'm going to ask you a couple questions. If I speak too fast, don't speak loud enough, just let me know. I'll
- 5 either repeat or rephrase.
- A. Okay. 6

2

3

- 7 Q. Ma'am, I'm going to start off with talking about Crystal's
- 8 relationship with 18 Mercer. It's my understanding that you,
- 9 "you" being Crystal, were the management company for 18 Mercer;
- 10 correct?
- A. Correct. 11
- 12 Q. And you did for Mercer what I assume you do for most co-op
- 13 or condo buildings, you accept monthly fees and then you pay
- 14 monthly bills; is that correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And in doing so, you would issue checks. And I'm going to
- 17 show you an example of a check. Government Exhibit 143 is
- already admitted in evidence. And this is the document that 18
- 19 you just testified about.
- 20 Ma'am, this is -- is this your signature, is that my
- understanding? Did you write this check? 21
- 22 THE COURT: Please don't ask about your understanding.
- 23 She doesn't know it. Just ask whether it's her signature.
- 24 Is that your signature, ma'am?
- 25 That one, yes.

K1NVTEM5	Hom -	cross
ICTIVATEIJ	110111	CT 022

- Now, to be clear, this check off of this bank account, that 1
- 2 wasn't Crystal's money, that was Mercer's money; correct?
- 3 That's correct. A.
- 4 So it's a Mercer bank account that you manage, run; that
- 5 fair to say?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Now, ma'am, I'm showing you what's been marked as
- Government Exhibit 202, which is already in evidence. 8
 - Do you see that in front of yourself, ma'am?
- 10 There's nothing on my screen.
- 11 Are you able to see that, ma'am?
- 12 A. Yes.

- 13 Q. Now, ma'am, on the bottom right-hand side, do you see this
- 14 language right there, "Draw per contract. No signature
- 15 required"?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Ma'am, you were aware that Mercer had a contract with
- 18 GateGuard; correct?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 O. You weren't aware of that?
- I was not aware they had a contract. I know that they had 21
- 22 an agreement with them.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. As far as I know. 24
- 25 Well, what's your understanding of the agreement that you

_		
K1NVTEM5	Hom - cross	

- believed Mercer had with GateGuard? 1
 - A. That they hired -- 18 Mercer hired GateGuard to install the
- 3 new intercom system.
- 4 Q. Okay. But that was the extent of your understanding of the
- 5 business relationship between Mercer and GateGuard?
- A. Correct. 6

- 7 Q. I'm showing you a document that's been admitted in
- 8 evidence, Government Exhibit 431. And bottom of the first page
- 9 here, can you see that? Are you able to read that, ma'am?
- 10 Would you like me to blow it up a little bit?
- 11 A. No, that's okay. I see it.
- 12 Q. Okay. Ma'am, is that your email address?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- 14 So you received this email from Ms. Soon-Osberger; correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 And this email had an attachment. Are you able to see that
- 17 there, ma'am?
- A. A little blurry, but --18
- 19 THE COURT: Can you zoom it, counsel? Thank you.
- 20 Is that a little better, ma'am?
- A. Yes. 21
- 22 Q. And you would agree with me that that's -- this is the
- 23 attachment that came with the email that I just referenced that
- you received from Ms. Soon-Osberger; correct? 24
- 25 Yes.

K1NVTEM5	Hom -	cross

- And, ma'am, do you see that right there: Buyer accepts 1 terms and conditions at, then there's a website? 2
 - A. Yes.

3

4

- Q. Ma'am, you actually saw this document, because you signed
- 5 the check in the amount of just under \$4,000; correct?
- A. Correct. 6
- 7 Q. And, ma'am, did you bother to take a look at the terms and
- conditions that were referenced in this invoice? 8
- 9 A. No, because it was -- I was instructed by the board to pay
- 10 on their behalf.
- 11 Q. So, ma'am, I'm showing you what's been marked and admitted in evidence as Government Exhibit 441. 12
- 13 Ma'am, can you see that in front of you?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Ma'am, this is a GateGuard terms and conditions. So is it
- 16 your testimony that you never saw -- or let me say it another
- 17 way. Is your testimony that you were never provided this
- document by anyone at the board of 18 Mercer? 18
- 19 A. I never saw this, no.
 - I understand. Q.
- I never saw the terms and conditions. 21 Α.
- 22 Q. But my question was were you ever provided it by anyone at
- 23 18 Mercer?
- 24 A. No.

20

25 And, ma'am, when you communicated with Signature Bank that

	K1NVTEM5 Hom - redirect
1	there was no authorization well, let me back up a step.
2	Returning your attention to Government Exhibit 202,
3	this portion right here, "Draw per contract," you never went to
4	the board at 18 Mercer to inquire if the board had entered into
5	a contract with GateGuard that would have allowed GateGuard to
6	issue this check?
7	A. No.
8	Q. And I just want to make sure it's clear, because I phrased
9	my question in the negative. So I asked you, you never went
LO	to
L1	A. I never went to the board with regard to this check.
L2	Q. And because you've never seen the terms and conditions, you
L3	were never provided the terms and conditions, you obviously
L4	didn't have the opportunity to read the terms and conditions;
L5	correct?
L6	A. That's correct.
L7	MR. BHATIA: Objection.
L8	THE COURT: Overruled.
L9	MR. DiRUZZO: Yield the witness, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Any redirect?
21	(Counsel conferred)
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. BHATIA:
24	Q. Ms. Hom, you were one of the authorized signers for the 18
25	Mercer Equity account?

K1NVTEM5	Hom	_	recross

- I'm sorry? 1 Α.
 - Q. You were one of the authorized signers for the 18 Mercer

A-619

- 3 Equity account?
- 4 A. Yes.

- 5 And you paid certain vendors when there's a contract
- between the board and that vendor, right? 6
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 In those instances, was there an invoice? 0.
- 9 Α. Yes.
- Was an invoice required even if there was a contract? 10 Ο.
- 11 Α. At all times, yes.
- 12 Why was an invoice required?
- 13 A. Because that was proof of what the vendor did. And in this
- 14 case, the board would have had to approve any installation of
- 15 any agreement that they made with them.
- 16 Did you ever pay checks based on a contract alone?
- 17 A. No.
- MR. BHATIA: No further questions, your Honor. 18
- 19 THE COURT: Any recross?
- 20 MR. DiRUZZO: Yes, your Honor.
- RECROSS EXAMINATION 21
- BY MR. DiRUZZO: 22
- 23 Q. Ma'am, the vendors of 18 Mercer, they would have been privy
- to your relationship with Crystal -- let me say this -- phrase 24
- 25 it this way: The requirement that you had that you needed an

	4.
	K1NVTEM5 Hom - recross
1	invoice before you would issue a check on behalf of 18 Mercer,
2	that requirement was between Crystal and 18 Mercer; correct?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Where did that requirement come from?
5	A. We Crystal Real Estate Management always has invoices.
6	Any vendors that we pay, any checks that we issue, we always
7	have an invoice.
8	Q. So is that Crystal's rule or is that 18 Mercer's rule?
9	A. Both.
10	Q. So you both have the same rule?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. But you would agree with me that the vendors of 18 Mercer
13	might not know about that rule; correct?
14	A. They would if they were they want a check issued, yes.
15	If they wanted a check issued, we would ask them to submit
16	invoice for payment.
17	Q. Well, ma'am, if someone from the board of 18 Mercer
18	informed an 18 Mercer vendor that an invoice was not needed,
19	you wouldn't have been able to control that, would you?
20	A. We would need receipts of anything that was done.
21	MR. DiRUZZO: No further questions.
22	THE COURT: Any re-redirect?
23	MR. BHATIA: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Ms. Hom, you may step down. Your

testimony is complete. Thank you.

	KINVTEM5	
1		(Witness excused)
2	,	THE COURT: Government, call your next witness.
3	1	MR. BHATIA: The government calls Joseph Soleimani.
4		(Continued on next page)
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, if you want to take
2	a moment and stretch your legs, this is a good moment while we
3	wait for the witness.
4	(Pause)
5	JOSEPH SOLEIMANI,
6	called as a witness by the government,
7	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
8	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
9	State and spell your full name for the record, please.
10	THE WITNESS: Joseph Soleimani, J-o-s-e-p-h
11	S-o-l-e-i-m-a-n-i.
12	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Soleimani.
13	All right. Welcome to the court.
14	I can already tell that you have a soft voice. That
15	won't work. I need you to really bellow it out. So lean into
16	the mic. Keep your voice up so that everyone here can hear
17	you.
18	Counsel, you may inquire.
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. BHATIA:
21	Q. Mr. Soleimani, where do you work?
22	A. ABJ Properties.
23	Q. And what is your title there?
24	A. Vice president.
25	Q. How long have you had the title of vice president?

K1ndtem6	Soleimani -	direct
KINGCEMO	SOTETMant	allect

1 Α. 14 years.

2

7

9

- What kind of work does ABJ Properties do?
- 3 It is a property management company. Α.
- 4 And what does it mean to be a property management company? Q.
- 5 We oversee operations of several properties. Α.
- You may have to speak up just a little bit louder. 6 Q.
 - We oversee operations of several properties. Α.
- 8 Thank you. Q.

What types of properties does it manage?

- 10 Multifamily and mixed use. Α.
- As a general matter, where are those buildings located? 11
- 12 A. Northern Manhattan and Bronx.
- 13 And focusing on you now, as vice president, what are your
- duties? 14
- 15 I oversee building managers, the accounting, the legal, and
- 16 overall day-to-day operations.
- 17 About how many buildings does ABJ Properties manage?
- 50. 18 Α.
- 19 And so do you play that role for all of those buildings?
- 20 Yes. Α.
- In the finances, are you involved in budgeting? 21
- 22 Yes. Α.
- 23 Do you have responsibilities over writing checks?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And do you have a role in invoicing and paying expenses?

K1ndt.em6	Soleimani - direct

1

2

- Α. Yes.
- What is your role with regards to negotiating and approving
- 3 contracts?
- 4 I'm authorized to approve and negotiate those contracts.
 - Are you familiar with a company called ABJ Milano LLC?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 Q. What is it?
- ABJ Milano LLC is an entity that owned several properties 8
- 9 which ABJ Properties managed.
- 10 Are you familiar with a term -- the name ABJ Lenox LLC?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what is ABJ Lenox?
- 13 A. ABJ Lenox also owns several properties that were managed by
- 14 ABJ Properties.
- 15 Q. OK. And what is the connection between -- I promise you we
- 16 won't keep using the long names all the time, but ABJ
- 17 Properties, ABJ Lenox and ABJ Milano, what is the connection
- 18 between the three of those?
- 19 A. ABJ Properties managed the properties owned by the other
- 20 two entities.
- Q. And who are the owners of ABJ Properties? 21
- 22 A. Myself and my brother Benjamin.
- 23 Q. How do you and your brother divide day-to-day
- responsibilities at ABJ Properties? 24
- 25 He handles more of the acquisitions, dispositions. I

	4	18
	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct	
1	handle more of the day-to-day operations.	
2	Q. If there was a contract between or if there is an	
3	agreement between ABJ Properties and a vendor, who would enter	
4	into that agreement?	
5	A. I would.	
6	Q. Changing topics now.	
7	How did you first meet Mr. Teman?	
8	A. I reached out to him regarding his Sublet Spy software.	
9	Q. When did you first meet when did you first meet	
10	Mr. Teman?	
11	A. It was late 2016, around November/December.	
12	Q. And is there a person in this courtroom today that you	
13	recognize as Mr. Teman?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Can you identify him by an article of clothing?	
16	A. Sure. He's wearing a silver tie, blue suit.	
17	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, I would like the record	
18	with the Court's permission, I would like the record to reflec	t
19	that the witness has identified the defendant.	
20	MR. GELFAND: No objection.	
21	THE COURT: The record so reflects.	
22	BY MR. BHATIA:	
23	Q. Is that a person you had done business with in the past?	
24	A. Yes.	

Q. When you first met Mr. Teman, was there a product he was

			489
	K1n	dtem6 Soleimani - direct	
1	sel	ling?	
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	What was that product?	
4	A.	He was selling the Sublet Spy software.	
5	Q.	And what did he tell you was Sublet Spy?	
6	А.	Sublet Spy was software that would catch people who were	
7	usi	ng their apartment for Airbnb or other short-term sublet	
8	ser	vices.	
9	Q.	Did you ultimately subscribe to Sublet Spy?	
10	А.	Yes.	
11	Q.	When was that?	
12	Α.	That was soon after I met him.	
13	Q.	Did there come a time when ABJ Properties purchased anoth	er
14	pro	duct from Mr. Teman?	
15	Α.	Yes.	
16	Q.	What was that product?	
17	Α.	That was the GateGuard system.	
18	Q.	And what did he tell you was GateGuard?	
19	Α.	GateGuard is an intercom and door entry system.	
20	Q.	What did he tell you were the features of the device?	
21	Α.	People could enter a code or would be able to enter the	
22	bui	lding using facial recognition. It would also act as an	
23	int	ercom. Someone could ring on the intercom, it would go to)

Did there come a time when you agreed to purchase some

your phone, tablet, or your computer.

24

```
K1ndtem6
                               Soleimani - direct
      intercom devices?
1
 2
      A. Yes.
 3
      Q. In how many properties?
 4
      A. Seven.
 5
         If you could take a look at -- and there is a binder to
      your left -- Government Exhibits 401 through 409. I am just
 6
 7
      going to ask you if you recognize them.
 8
               (Pause)
 9
      A. Yes, I recognize these.
      Q. How do you recognize them, as a general matter?
10
         They're either pictures I recognize or emails that I was
11
12
      part of.
13
      Q. And are the emails with or to or from a particular person?
14
      A. Yes.
      Q. Who?
15
      A. Ari Teman.
16
17
               MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, the government offers
18
      Government Exhibits 401 through 409.
19
               THE COURT: Any objection?
20
               MR. GELFAND: May I have one minute?
21
               THE COURT: Of course.
22
               (Pause)
23
               MR. GELFAND: May I confer with Mr. Bhatia for a
24
      moment?
25
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
```

	K1ndtem6	Soleimani - direct	4) ₁
1		(Pause)	
2		MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, can we approach the sideba	r
3	for a mir	nute?	
4		THE COURT: Sure.	
5		(Continued on next page)	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
- 1	I		ı

	49
	Klndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	(At the sidebar)
2	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, there is a rule of
3	completeness issue. I don't want to get into the narrative in
4	front of the jury. One of the emails that the government is
5	seeking to admit is an email from Mr. Teman that basically says
6	GateGuard is closing down.
7	THE COURT: What email is it, what document?
8	MR. BHATIA: 403.
9	THE COURT: All right. This is document 403, and it
10	is an email from Mr. Teman to Mr. Soleimani on March 9, 2018.
11	Go ahead. What is the issue?
12	MR. GELFAND: There is a follow up to that email
13	immediately responding from Ben Soleimani, cc'ing Joseph
14	Soleimani and Mr. Teman, basically saying don't shut it down,
15	and we would move to admit that under the rule of completeness
16	if this is coming in.
17	THE COURT: Is there any objection to that?
18	MR. BHATIA: Yes, your Honor. I don't think the out
19	of court statement of Mr. Soleimani should be able to come in
20	just because this one is. Mr. Ben Soleimani is not likely to
21	be called as a witness.
22	THE COURT: Let me ask you this question. Is that
23	email from Mr. Ben Soleimani to it is a reply, so it will be
24	to, among others, this witness, correct?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

	Klndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	THE COURT: Why do I need to address it now? Why
2	can't I address it on cross?
3	MR. GELFAND: I can move it in on cross.
4	THE COURT: Hand me a copy, and on cross I will make
5	an independent informed by the direct. I will reserve on that.
6	MR. GELFAND: Thank you.
7	(Continued on next page)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	· ·

_	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	(In open court)
2	THE COURT: I will receive Government Exhibits 401
3	through 409.
4	Counsel, you may inquire.
5	(Government's Exhibits 401 through 409 received in
6	evidence)
7	MR. BHATIA: One moment, your Honor.
8	(Pause)
9	BY MR. BHATIA:
10	Q. Mr. Soleimani, can you also take a look at Government
11	Exhibits 409A through 409B?
12	A. OK.
13	Q. Do you recognize those?
14	A. 409A appears to be invoices I received. 409B I do not
15	recognize.
16	Q. I'm sorry?
17	A. 409B I do not recognize.
18	MR. BHATIA: One moment, your Honor. May I approach
19	the witness?
20	THE COURT: You may.
21	(Pause)
22	Q. All right. Now, you are looking at 409B?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you recognize 409B?
25	A. Yes.

	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	Q. What are the two of those documents?
2	A. These are invoices for the GateGuard system.
3	Q. Are those invoices that were sent to you?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And are they invoices that you paid?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, the government moves to admit
8	409A and 409B.
9	THE COURT: Any objection?
10	MR. GELFAND: No objection.
11	THE COURT: Those are both received.
12	(Government's Exhibits 409A and 409B received in
13	evidence)
14	BY MR. BHATIA:
15	Q. Getting back to substance, did you end up purchasing any
16	GateGuard devices?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And did you convey that to Mr. Teman, that you wanted to
19	buy them?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. How did you pay for the intercom devices?
22	A. Via check.
23	Q. And did you receive an invoice for those devices?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. I'd like to display on the screen Government Exhibit

ı	Kln	dtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	409	A you can take a look at it in evidence.
2		So, 409A, is this document a set of invoices to you?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And they are made out to ABJ Properties, right?
5	Α.	Correct.
6	Q.	And your name is Joseph Soleimani below that?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	And it has your email address as well?
9	A.	Correct.
10	Q.	So could we look at now the items listed in this invoice.
11		In the first row it says: Quantity one, GateGuard.xyz
12	Pan	el. There is a unit price of 500, and an amount 500.
13		There is also lines for installation and monthly
14	ser	vice.
15		Did you pay this invoice in full?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Did you pay all the invoices in Government Exhibits 409A
18	and	l 409B?
19	Α.	To my recollection, yes.
20	Q.	Did the price that you paid for your GateGuard devices
21	inc	clude service?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	How much service did it include?
24	Α.	Six months.
25	Q.	Did you own the intercoms when you purchased them?
- 1	1	

П	K1no	dtem6 Soleimani – direct	497
	Α.	As far as I knew, yes.	
	Q.	At the time you believed you owned them?	
	Α.	Yes.	
	Q.	And had you had conversations with Mr. Teman about	
	pur	chasing those devices?	
	Α.	Yes.	
	Q.	You guys had a back and forth?	
	Α.	Yes.	
	Q.	Did you meet in person at any time?	
	Α.	Yes.	
	Q.	What conversations, if any, did you have over the phone?	
		THE COURT: In person, is that your question?	
		MR. BHATIA: I asked him previously about in person.	
	Now	I am asking about the phone.	
		THE COURT: Very good.	
	Α.	I don't recall the details of the phone conversations.	
	Q.	Did your conversations include pricing?	

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did they include what you would pay for the device?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. During those conversation, what, if anything, did Mr. Teman
- tell you about whether he would have the authority to draw
- checks on behalf of ABJ Properties?
- A. That was never mentioned.
- What, if anything, did he say during those conversations --

Klndtem6	Soleimani -	direct
KINGLEIIIO	SOTETMENT -	arrect

let me be more specific.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

When you were talking to him about buying these first devices, what, if anything, did Mr. Teman tell you about whether you would be committed to a ten-year contract?

- There was no discussion about any kind of contract.
- Q. And during these same conversations -- and I am going to make it specific to those conversations -- what, if anything, did he tell you about his authority to write checks on behalf of ABJ Properties?
- A. He never mentioned anything of that sort. 10
- 11 At the time you purchased the intercoms, the ones listed in 12 Government Exhibits 409A and 409B -- let me rephrase that.

After you paid the invoices for 409A and 409B, did Mr. Teman tell you that you had not paid in full?

- 15 A. At some very later point, yeah.
- 16 But right after you paid it, you didn't hear anything from 17 him about that?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. At the time you -- prior to paying for these intercoms, had 19
- 20 Mr. Teman ever told you anything about paying a fee if you
- wanted to stop using the devices? 21
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Did he mention anything to you about a device removal fee?
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Could you take another look at 409A?

K1ndtem6	Soleimani -	direct

- 1 Α. Sure.
- 2 What is the date on this invoice?
- 3 A. March 2nd, 2017.
- 4 OK. I would like to direct your attention to Government
- 5 Exhibit 401.
- 6 MR. BHATIA: If we can zoom in on just the top, the 7 subject, the attachment line.
- 8 Q. Is this an email from Ari Teman to you and your brother,
- 9 Benjamin?
- A. Yes. 10
- Q. And the date on this email is September 25, 2017? 11
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. He writes -- the subject line is, "We're live." What does
- 14 that mean to you?
- 15 That means that the first system was installed.
- 16 This is September 2017? Q.
- 17 Yes. Α.
- 18 Let's talk about the intercoms that were installed.
- How did they perform? 19
- 20 They did not perform well.
- What issues did you have with the intercoms? 21 Q.
- 22 The system would very often shut down and have to restart.
- 23 The facial recognition wouldn't work. The intercom wouldn't
- work. And that was pretty much the major issues. 24
- 25 Did you receive complaints from tenants?

A-637

L 1	ndt.em6	
1.7	TICAL CITTO	

Soleimani - direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. Yes.
- And what was the gist of those -- what was the substance of those complaints?
 - MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel.

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, I believe they are admissible not for the truth of the matter asserted.

THE COURT: For what separate purpose are they admissible?

MR. BHATIA: For the intent on the witness here.

THE COURT: All right. I will receive the -- ladies and gentlemen, the witness is about to relate conversations he had with tenants about the intercoms. I'm admitting the statements by the tenants to the witness solely to explain the witness' understanding and state of mind and his later behavior, but you shouldn't take as necessarily true or not true what the tenants said. They are not here to testify. So it is, as we say in the law, hearsay. You can consider what the tenants said solely as it further reflects on the witness' understanding and state of mind and his later actions.

Go ahead.

BY MR. BHATIA:

- Q. What did the tenants tell you about the GateGuard -- about the issues with the GateGuard device?
- 25 They were say8ing that they oftentimes were locked out of

K1ndtem6	Soleimani -	- direct

- the building because they couldn't get in. There was no way to 1 2 get in without using the system, the GateGuard system.
- 3 Oftentimes, they couldn't get packages because the intercom
- 4 wasn't working. These were not doorman buildings, there is no
- 5 package room, so they had no way to get those packages if the
- intercom was not working. 6
- 7 Q. And did you observe any problems with the intercom
- 8 yourself?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- What problems did you observe yourself? 10
- I observed similar problems. 1 1 Α.
- 12 Q. And did there come a time when you relayed those concerns
- 13 to Mr. Teman?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 What did he say?
- Oftentimes he would blame it on either the Internet not 16
- 17 working, the power not strong enough, or simply me or the
- tenants did not know how to use it. 18
- 19 When you received complaints from tenants, is that a
- 20 problem for you as somebody who manages those buildings?
- A. Yes. 21
- 22 Q. Can it result in regulatory issues?
- 23 A. Yes.
- And have you dealt with problems in the past -- have you 24
- 25 sort of had to deal with tenant issues in the past?

Klndtem6	Soleimani -	direct

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Q. In 2018, did the housing court find in one case that your
- 3 company had misled a tenant about whether he could renew his
- 4 lease?
- 5 A. Yes.
- And did you misrepresent anything to the tenant or was it 6
- 7 others in your company?
- A. It was others. 8
- 9 Q. And did you and ABJ resolve that matter?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And did that have anything to do with GateGuard or 11
- 12 Mr. Teman?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. OK. But regarding GateGuard, you did receive complaints 14
- 15 from tenants?
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 Q. And you relayed those to Mr. Teman?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did there come a time when Mr. Teman told you that he was
- 20 shutting down GateGuard?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did he tell you that in text messages?
- 23 A. He said it several times I believe by email and text
- 24 message.
- 25 He said it more than once?

K1ndtem6	Soleimani -	direct

Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MR. BHATIA: Mr. Magliocco, could you please publish for the witness Government Exhibit 403.

- Q. Mr. Soleimani, you may want to follow along on the screen so that we can all be sure that we are looking at the same thing. If you are having trouble seeing it, though, you can look at the binder.
- At the top of this email, it shows that it is -- if you can zoom in a little bit on just the header -- it shows that it's an email from Mr. Teman to you and your brother, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And it is dated March 2018?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Was Mr. Teman telling you that he was shutting down his 16 system?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And why was that significant to you as somebody who had his 18 devices installed in your buildings? 19
- 20 A. Because it would mean that the tenants would be locked out permanently until the system is changed. 21
- Q. Did you -- what did you tell Mr. Teman when he said he was 22 23 shutting down GateGuard?
- A. I tried to convince him not to shut down. 24
- 25 Did you try to reassure him?

K1ndtem6	Soleimani - di	irect

- I guess you could say that. 1
 - Q. At that point, how would you describe your relationship
- 3 with Mr. Teman?

- 4 A. It was OK. There were a lot of issues that we were still
- 5 dealing with on the system, but we were trying to maintain that
- relationship. 6
- 7 Q. Would you describe it as otherwise friendly?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you or your brother make comments to Mr. Teman to ask
- him to keep the GateGuard devices in place? 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. What effect would it have on a building if GateGuard shut 12
- 13 down unexpectedly?
- A. We would be getting a lot of complaints. We would be 14
- 15 issued housing violations, possible fines.
- 16 Q. Did there come a time when GateGuard was installed in your
- 17 buildings when you saw something called the Terms & Conditions
- on a website? 18
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Did there come a time when through a WhatsApp message
- Mr. Teman directed you to the terms Web page? 21
- A. Yes. 22
- 23 Q. Could you take a look at Government Exhibit 409C.
- going to ask you if you recognize it. 24
- 25 (Pause)

A-642

	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	A. I don't have a 409C.
2	Q. Mr. Magliocco will bring one up for you.
3	THE COURT: Sorry. Is 409C in evidence?
4	MR. BHATIA: It is not in evidence, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Let's get it up. Thank you.
6	(Pause)
7	Q. Do you recognize this?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. As a general matter, what is this document?
10	A. This is a WhatsApp chat between me and Ari Teman.
11	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, the government offers
12	Government Exhibit 409C.
13	THE COURT: Any objection?
14	MR. GELFAND: No, you Honor.
15	THE COURT: It is received.
16	(Government's Exhibit 409C received in evidence)
17	MR. BHATIA: You can pull this up on the screen.
18	BY MR. BHATIA:
19	Q. I'm going to direct your attention to the line starting
20	the third line from the top, it says: "Joe S. This is
21	happening way too often."
22	Mr. Teman said, "It's restarting."
23	And you responded, "That's not good enough for me. I
24	can't have tenants/visitors waiting for the system to restart.
25	it either works or it doesn't."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

24

25

506

ATTICLEMO SOTETMANT - CITECT	K1ndtem6	Soleimani -	direct
------------------------------	----------	-------------	--------

What were you relaying to Mr. Teman here? 1

- A. That we were getting complaints still that the system was not functioning properly and we needed it to work 24/7, not only part of the time.
- Q. OK. Further down on this page, at 9:45:20, Mr. Teman says: "The actual terms our clients are responsible to have CAT5 at the door. We did it for you but it's not the terms."

Then you respond: "Send me the terms I signed for. I will review it."

Two rows down, Mr. Teman sends you a URL.

Why did you say, "Send me the terms I signed for, I will review it"?

- A. Because I knew I never signed any kind of terms.
- 14 You have not had any agreement with Mr. Teman other than to 15 buy the devices and for him to install them?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 And did you go to the URL shown here on this page?
- At a later point. 18 Α.
- 19 So looking down on the screen for a moment, further in this 20 chat, you say, "I don't see a signature there."

And then you list some things that you had agreed to.

You say, "I also agreed to a May 1st guarantee. I also agreed to tablets. Should we keep going?"

What were you relaying to Mr. Teman here?

Originally the intercom was supposed to be installed by

K1ndtem6	Soleimani	_	direct
TCTTI C CINO	DOTCIMUIT		CTT CCC

- May 1st, and I paid for tablets to put in individual apartments 1
- 2 in full, which I believe is around \$8,000, which never arrived.
 - Q. At this point, had you agreed to any terms and conditions
- 4 with Mr. Teman?
- 5 A. No.

- So you talked a little bit earlier about your problems with 6
- 7 the GateGuard device. Did there ever come a time when you
- started looking for other alternatives? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. What did you do? 10
- 11 Α. Sorry?
- 12 What did you do to look for other alternatives to
- 13 GateGuard?
- 14 A. I looked into other systems.
- 15 Q. And did there come a time when you installed one of those
- 16 alternatives in your building?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What happened?
- A. We installed a device in a different building, where my 19
- 20 office was located, so that we could test it out ourself on a
- daily basis and make sure we didn't have similar problems 21
- 22 should we choose to replace these systems.
- 23 Q. Did there come a time when Mr. Teman learned about the
- device you had installed that was not a GateGuard intercom? 24
- 25 Yes.

ı	Klndtem6 Soleimani - direct
A	
1	Q. How did he react?
2	A. He was not happy about it.
3	Q. What, if anything, did he say to you?
4	A. I believe I received some threats to remove the system. He
5	sent someone to take a picture of it. And he told us we had to
6	take it off.
7	MR. BHATIA: I would like to publish for the witness
8	Government Exhibit 404. We can zoom in on the text part of
9	this document.
10	I will give the jury just a moment to read it.
11	(Pause)
12	Q. The first line of this document is: "Because you're a
13	dishonest snake, a fraud, and a thief, all communication will
14	be in writing."
15	What was Mr. Teman referring to? Why was Mr
16	MR. GELFAND: Objection.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	Q. Did something happen prior to this email that could have
19	prompted Mr. Teman to write this to you?
20	THE COURT: Did something happen prior to this email
21	is the question?
22	Q. Did something happen prior to this email?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What happened?
25	A. I installed a different device in a different building.
-	

	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	Q. Was there any contract that limited your ability to install
2	a different device in one of your buildings?
3	A. No.
4	Q. In your conversations with Mr. Teman around this time, did
5	he say anything about why he thought you should not have
6	installed that device?
7	A. Not that I recall.
8	Q. Did he say anything about an agreement that you had with
9	him to not install devices?
10	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I object to this line of
11	questioning as leading.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	BY MR. BHATIA:
14	Q. Did there come a time when Mr. Teman said that you owed him
15	money?
16	A. Yes.
17	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, I would like to publish for
18	the witness Government Exhibit 405.
19	THE COURT: You may.
20	MR. BHATIA: And I'll direct your attention to an
21	email, the email at the bottom of the first page.
22	THE COURT: You will need to blow this up. It is
23	tiny.
24	MR. BHATIA: The middle and bottom, I should say.
25	(Pause)
- 1	I .

ĸ	1 r	1 / A t	- er	nμ

Soleimani - direct

- Q. There is a lot of text here so I will direct your attention to a part of it.
 - At the end of the first paragraph, Mr. Teman says to you: "The amount we sent to collections last week is 268,116," and then he lists an amount per device.
 - Had you agreed to pay that amount to Mr. Teman?
- 7 | A. No.

3

4

5

6

8

- Q. In fact, how much had you agreed to pay Mr. Teman per device?
- 10 A. \$1,743.
- 11 Q. And that was the amount reflected on those invoices we
- 12 | looked at earlier?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. Had you ever agreed to pay Mr. Teman more than the value of
- 15 | those invoices?
- 16 | A. No.
- Q. The second-to-last line of this email is: "Now, decide if you want to fight me or pay" me -- excuse me.
- "Now, decide if you want to fight me or pay, but if
 you fight, you will pay more." how did you interpret that?
- 21 | A. I interpreted that as he was going to sue us.
- Q. Is that something that he had said on more than one occasion or just once?
- 24 | A. Yes, he said that multiple times.
- 25 | Q. Did he say that to you in text messages or emails, or how

	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	did he say it?
2	A. I believe both.
3	Q. I would like to direct your attention now to the email at
4	the top of this page.
5	This is your response to him. And the first sentence
6	is: "can you please send me the invoices which you are
7	claiming we owe you?"
8	THE COURT: Can you just blow up the text a little
9	more for the benefit of our jury?
10	(Pause)
11	You can do the entire first email. Even as blown up,
12	it starts so small that it is hard for them to read on those
13	monitors. Thank you.
14	(Pause)
15	MR. BHATIA: I will read again the first now I will
16	read the second sentence, too.
17	"Can you please send me the invoices which you are
18	claiming we owe you? Not sure why we owe you money but I'm
19	glad to look into it."
20	Q. Why were you asking Mr. Teman to send you invoices?
21	A. I wanted to see what he was claiming.
22	Q. Did you know why he was asking you to pay more than
23	\$200,000?
24	A. No, I have no idea.
25	Q. Had you ever agreed to pay him that amount of money?

12

	53
	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct
1	A. No.
2	Q. I would like to direct your attention to Government Exhibit
3	406, and there is an email on the top of the first page.
4	At the very top of this email, we can keep this part
5	blown up, but it is from Ari Teman to Eric Schutzer, Ben
6	Soleimani, and it looks like Joe, Joe Soleimani's email.
7	Now, in the text of this email, the first line is
8	Mr. Teman saying: "Let's get the liens on ABJ buildings by
9	Wednesday."
10	How did you interpret that?
11	A. I interpreted that as he was going to put liens on several
12	properties.
13	Q. Several of your properties?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. He mentioned in this second line, "They've now stolen from
16	Sublet Spy over \$12,000."
17	Did he tell you anything more about that?
18	A. Not that I recall.
19	Q. Had you ever agreed to pay Sublet Spy \$12,000?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Further down this page, he says, "Let's go ahead with the
22	District Attorney's Office on Monday regarding the criminal
23	fraud charges. Their fraud is now nearing half a million
24	dollars."

As far as you know, did he ever report you to the

ı	K1ndtem6 Soleimani - direct
,	District Attorney of Office 2
1	District Attorney's Office?
2	A. Not that I know of.
3	Q. Did you receive other messages that you would in which
4	Mr. Teman threatened you with legal action?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What was the substance of those communications?
7	A. There were liens he was going to file. He was going to
8	report
9	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I would object to just the
10	general narrative of the substance of a bunch of ambiguous
11	communications. If he wants to ask about specific
12	communications, that's fine.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	You may answer.
15	A. He said he was going to report us to the Mayor's Office,
16	report us to the Attorney General's Office. I believe those
17	were the extent.
18	Q. He mentioned did he mention putting liens on any
19	buildings?
20	A. Yes.
21	MR. GELFAND: Objection. Asked and answered, your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	Q. And did he in fact put liens on any of your buildings?
25	A. Yes.

K1ndtem6	Soleimani -	- direct
TETTACCING	DOTCIMALIT	CCC C

Which ones? Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 342, 346 Lenox Avenue, and 100 West 138th Street.
 - And what happened with those liens, as far as you know?

A-651

- As far as I know, they're no longer in existence.
- THE COURT: Speak louder, please.
- They are no longer in existence as far as I know.
- I know you are not a lawyer, but can you tell us anything more about those?
- 9 A. Yes. From what I understand --
- MR. GELFAND: Objection, your Honor. 10
- 11 THE COURT: Sustained. He is in the process of 12 reporting hearsay.
- 13 Next question.
- BY MR. BHATIA: 14
- 15 This message is from August 2018. Can you describe your
- 16 relationship with Mr. Teman in the second half of 2018?
- 17 A. It was not good at that point.
- 18 Q. Can you elaborate?
- 19 A. Yeah. We removed all the systems. We replaced them with
- 20 another system, a competitor. And our relationship was
- 21 completely sour.
- Q. Were you communicating regularly with him? 22
- 23 A. No.
- THE COURT: Mr. Bhatia, is this a good stopping point? 24
- 25 I am looking for a good one to break for the day.

A-652

515

TZ 1	~~~	_	- m	<i>c</i>
r	nd	L	em	О

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. BHATIA: It is.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, it is a few minutes before 5. We've made a lot of progress today, and I think it is a good time for a break. So I'm going to wish you well and wish you a very good, safe trip home and a good evening tonight.

The same schedule for tomorrow. We'll have breakfast for you at 8:45. I'll need you in your seats and ready in there so I can bring you out at 9:30 so we can get going tomorrow. The attorneys tell me we are making good time, and that should be apparent to all. They are working very hard.

I wish you a good evening. I'll see you tomorrow.

THE CLERK: All rise.

(Continued on next page)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Kindlem6
1	(Jury not present)
2	THE COURT: Mr. Smallman, would you close the door.
3	All right. The witness may step down and step out. I
4	have a few questions for counsel outside the witness' presence.
5	Witness, you should stick around so that counsel can
6	tell you where to be, including tomorrow morning.
7	(Witness not present)
8	THE COURT: All right. Counsel, just we obviously
9	made a lot of progress today, although we didn't quite carry
10	through on the promise to, or the hope of finishing today.
11	Without holding you to it I know this is an important
12	witness approximately how much longer is there in the
13	direct?
14	MR. BHATIA: I would say around 20 to 30 minutes.
15	THE COURT: Very good. How many other government
16	witnesses at this point do you anticipate?
17	MR. BHATIA: At this point I think there might only be
18	one more witness after this.
19	THE COURT: Is that Ms. Monzon?
20	MR. BHATIA: No. That is John Motto from Signature
21	Bank.
22	THE COURT: Ah, OK. All right. No other
23	MR. BHATIA: Obviously, we have to go back and think
24	about it, but I think there should be one or two witnesses.
25	THE COURT: Again, barring an unexpected development

T = 1		1 .			_
K1	$n \cap$	1 +-	0	m	6
$\Gamma \chi \perp$	110	ιι.	$\overline{}$	ш	1)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and even budgeting a fair amount of time for cross of this witness and the next witness, there is every likelihood that the government will rest before the middle of the day tomorrow?

MR. BHATIA: That is I think a fair expectation.

THE COURT: If not conservative?

MR. BHATIA: Obviously, we will go back and sort of think about it --

THE COURT: Right. I'm not telling you what to do or not do. I am just trying to get a sense of where we stand.

May I ask you, on Exhibit 406 there is a redaction, or an apparent redaction after the word "Joe."

MR. BHATIA: We redacted his email address at an earlier stage of this proceeding, and I think we gave the government stamp for that exhibit. We can --

THE COURT: I ask only because it is attention getting. The natural question that arose for me is whether there is an expletive or something like that there. But given that everyone else's email address is all over the documents, it seemed that's not clear what the point of that is.

Be that as it may, you may want to unredact it and receive it in unredacted form, or at least elicit what is underneath it, just because it creates a natural question. Nothing else has been redacted, as I understand it, in the entire case.

MR. BHATIA: You are right, your Honor. When we leave

T 2 1		- 1				_
K1	n	\sim	-	\sim	m	6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the court, we will --

THE COURT: You will have leave to do that, OK.

All right. Defense, anything to -- government, anything to raise before we adjourn?

Do you have anything to raise with me before we adjourn?

MR. BHATIA: We do, your Honor. One thing -- we would like -- since we might be resting tomorrow morning, we would like to ask for the defense's witnesses or at least the ones they expect to call tomorrow.

THE COURT: Well, the defense of course has no obligation to call witness -- you may be seated -- but defense counsel, the point is well taken. In the event that the government rests tomorrow, as seems likely, if this follows a form in many criminal cases, there will be a brief motion from the defense and whatever limited adjournment is needed for me to hear that. Following that, assuming the motion is not granted or granted in full, we would move on to the defense case.

I think it's fair, without asking you to commit what you presently anticipate, understanding that you have a range of motion here and you are not bound by what you say.

MR. GELFAND: We anticipate, as we've told government counsel, calling Ariel Reinitz as a witness. We have a handful of other witnesses under subpoena that depending on the

T = 4		٠,				-
K1	n	\sim	+	0	m	6
17.1	11	(l	١	$\overline{}$		ι.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testimony that we hear between now and the rest of the case will determine whether we call them. Those witnesses would be two-minute witnesses.

THE COURT: They are all, I take it, in New York?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Have you alerted the government to who they are?

MR. GELFAND: There is an NYPD detective whose name is escaping me. I assume we could issue a subpoena to him and to Detective Alessandrino. The only reason we would call him is if Mr. Soleimani denies making a statement to him, as reflected in the police report.

THE COURT: What is the statement?

MR. GELFAND: He claimed that \$180,000 was unauthorized in terms of the checks at issue.

THE COURT: How many checks, defense counsel, were in fact drawn on the Soleimani or the ABJ, if that is the right way to put it, accounts that are at issue in March and April of 2019?

MR. GELFAND: JPMorganChase credited their account \$196,000.

THE COURT: And so you are concerned that the witness will not acknowledge making a statement to law enforcement that 180,000 was unauthorized?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor. To be clear, I am not

K^{1}	Lno	l+	$_{-m}$	6
T/J		·	-111	\cup

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

saying that -- I have no idea -- in the event that he flat out denies making that statement to the law enforcement officer --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GELFAND: -- I think we would be entitled to call the law enforcement officer.

THE COURT: Solely taking skepticism, just confusion as to what the purpose is. In other words, I'm assuming that the witness, consistent with what I have been able to divine so far from his examination, will dispute that he ever authorized any of the 2019 checks that were drawn on ABJ. You are telling me those add up to about 190. The witness apparently told law enforcement that the 180 is unauthorized.

Is your point that he is implicitly acknowledging the \$10,000 is authorized? I am trying to understand what the purpose is of that. It sounds like he is making, in effect, a statement that is consistent with his testimony here, to wit, I didn't authorize that.

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I think that is a jury question, yes, your Honor, but it is inconsistent with what the government is alleging is unauthorized.

THE COURT: Because the witness is underballing it by \$10,000 and therefore is tacitly admitting that at least 10,000 of the 190 or so was authorized; is that the purpose?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor. I'm not exactly clear on the math, but I could represent that there is a disparity in

T P 1		- 1				_
K1	n	\sim 1	Η.	\sim	m	6
1.7		L.		┖.		1)

the math.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: But that is the point. The relevance lies in the delta between the total of the checks and the witness' statement.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll take that up as it comes.

What about Alessandrino, where does he fit in?

MR. GELFAND: Well, the government represented to us, unless that's changed, that they intended to call Detective Alessandrino.

THE COURT: So far that is not in the tentative order. Maybe it will happen. But I thought you were reserving the right to call him, and I am trying to understand what that is for. Maybe not. I thought you were saying he was a defense witness.

MR. GELFAND: It would be a different statement. Detective Alessandrino also interviewed Mr. Soleimani. Mr. Soleimani made statements to Detective Alessandrino. In particular, Mr. Soleimani represented that his company ended all business with Mr. Teman in October of 2017, and said that no checks were authorized after October of 2017.

THE COURT: All right. Look, I'm not going to rule about a hypothetical. It should go without saying that to the extent that a line of inquiry like this might be authorized, you would need to squarely confront the witness with the

T . 1		- 7			_
ĸ	ກ	~	+	em	16
$I \setminus I$	_ 11		١.	C11	LΩ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contrary proposition and we'll see where we go. I will leave it at that. OK.

A-659

But, in other words, we're looking at Reinitz for sure and then a possibility of one or two law enforcement witnesses, putting aside the possibility of Mr. Teman's testimony?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, based on where we presently stand.

THE COURT: OK. I ask only that you keep government counsel apprised of your intentions, without locking you in. And the only reason is that otherwise we have at least some possibility of, you know, a lapse of time enabling counsel to prepare. Much as you had quite substantial notice of who they're calling, I want to make sure that, you know, the truth-seeking process is respected and there is at least some degree of notice here.

MR. GELFAND: Yes. The one thing I should say is that -- I apologize, I had assumed, albeit I guess incorrectly, that because Detective Morales is an NYPD colleague of Detective Alessandrino, that our subpoena to Detective Morales was known to the government. Apparently it wasn't.

THE COURT: So it is a large organization. I don't know why --

MR. GELFAND: I appreciate that, but certainly that would be the limited scope of his testimony. So there is no, you know --

THE COURT: All right. They are now on notice.

K1ndtem6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Be sure that you have the searching conversation you need to with your client about it being his right, as opposed to counsel's, whether or not to testify. In the event that Mr. Teman doesn't testify, chooses not to, I will need to allocute him outside the presence of the jury to confirm that he understands that that is his right and that he has made a thoughtful decision about that. Obviously, he may choose the opposite course and testify, but I want to make sure that there is no doubt that Mr. Teman understands that that in our system is his call.

MR. GELFAND: Absolutely. We certainly explained that to Mr. Teman. We will continue to have that discussion.

THE COURT: All right. So just playing out the schedule for tomorrow, we're going to get almost certainly to the defense case. It is unclear whether we will get through the defense case. Without holding you to it, assuming that Mr. Reinitz testifies, purely ballparking in terms of approximate length, what length witness would you envision him to be on direct?

MR. GELFAND: I think the direct examination would be somewhere in the vicinity of an hour.

THE COURT: OK. That's about what I would have expected. That is helpful to know and that is useful, I'm sure, for the government in its preparations. So I guess the open question then is how far we could possibly get if we

K1ndtem6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

possibly end the defense case tomorrow. And I assume the question on all your minds is would we go any farther than that. And I think the government will obviously have an opportunity to have a rebuttal case, so you ought to be prepared for that, if that's the direction things are going and I don't know what you have been thinking about. But if the question is is there any scenario in which we would get to jury arguments, it is unimaginable that we would. And I certainly want you to feel comfortable knowing that we are not going to do that.

We obviously need to have a charge conference in between. My staff and I will be taking a look at the charge overnight. And my best guess is that it is more likely we would do that on -- assuming the defense case was to end and there was to be no rebuttal case, or a short one, either way, that would wrap up on Friday. More likely than not, we would do that early on Monday morning, but it's not out of the question we'll do it tomorrow. We'll see. My best guess is I want to take some time.

Given how fast we are moving, I think it is all the more important that I get the government's advice of counsel instruction and that I get your -- defense, you have given me your unanimity instruction. I assume that is unchanged. Government, I need some language from you. I assume that is a sentence or two, but I need to make sure we get that. But I

レ 1	nd	+	\sim m	6
T / T	_ 110	L	CIII	v

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really would like a proposed, ideally jointly, verdict form, but now that we're into the third customer narrative, it's clear to me that each customer narrative is its own story and they don't necessarily travel up or down together. In any event, I think there is likely value -- although I will be glad to hear from you at the time of the charge conference -- there is likely value in a verdict form that reinforces the unanimity instruction by asking for customer-specific findings. I am keeping an open mind, but at a minimum, on the assumption that I went that route, I will want your guidance to me as to what a verdict form would look like.

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BHATIA: On this topic, Mr. Imperatore and I have conferred within our office with people who have handled this, including Mr. Blais, who was here earlier. It is our sense that a unanimity instruction may not be required. On the bank fraud counts, the victim here is Bank of America, and as a result we don't think that there needs to be a special -either a unanimity instruction --

THE COURT: You are telling me that if the jurors do not agree unanimously on any single check, the defendant can be convicted?

MR. BHATIA: I think they have to believe that the victim here, Bank of America, was defrauded, but I'm not sure

K1ndtem6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they have to agree on a single check as the subject.

THE COURT: Why don't you get me a legal memo on that overnight, because it would surprise me if that were the case. And it would seem to me to be inviting an appellate issue, if the case comes out the way you want, to not have some form of unanimity instruction here. It's hard for me to imagine a scenario here in which within each customer relationship the jury splits the baby as to particular checks. It is likely that each set of customer checks travels up or down together.

I'm not sure what the value is here, though, of an instruction that would permit the jury hypothetically to convict if, you know, four of them believe that JPMorgan was -or that Bank of America, rather, was defrauded with respect to ABJ and four believe that they were defrauded with respect to Gabay and four believe they were defrauded with respect to Soon-Osberger.

Maybe you are technically right. I am skeptical of that. I'm not sure that the government really has any interest other than some arid academic technical one in pursuing that argument. I can't imagine why you wouldn't want an instruction that requires them to convict to -- at least be unanimous on at least a check or a customer relationship. We'll see. But I would be very surprised if you put it that way to your department chiefs if anybody thinks that is a rational way to go.

K1ndtem6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BHATIA: We will of course confer with them. will do that more. We did. And I just didn't want the Court to be surprised. We will put in a letter, but we understand.

THE COURT: I'm urging that a degree of common sense break out here. I don't know why -- it's hard for me to imagine that a unanimity instruction, at least at the level at which I am envisioning it, doesn't at least guard against some degree of legal risk, and I can't imagine what the practical harm would be under the fact pattern here. It is just hard for me to see why you would sail into that where you would at that point have to be arguing harmless error. I don't understand that.

MR. BHATIA: Understood.

THE COURT: And the defense has been, you know, rightly -- I mean, has been early in identifying to you a unanimity instruction that is wise here.

All right. I will see you all at 9 o'clock tomorrow. Thank you.

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, there is one more thing.

We had requested Rule 26.2 materials on several occasions. The defense has identified a few 26.2 materials they have produced. We just want to get --

THE COURT: What do you mean by "26.2 materials"?

MR. BHATIA: That is written statements of the witnesses that they intend to call.

	K1ndtem6
1	THE COURT: Jencks Act?
2	MR. BHATIA: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Reverse Jencks. Right.
4	Defense, when are you going to produce that?
5	MR. GELFAND: We have produced it. With respect to
6	the two NYPD detectives, that's government discovery.
7	THE COURT: Right. But with respect to Reinitz
8	MR. GELFAND: With respect to Mr. Reinitz, we have
9	produced early letters. We have also given the Court a copy of
10	it.
11	THE COURT: But is that a comprehensive production of
12	your 3500 material as exists as of now?
13	MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.
14	Just for the record, we produced recordings that
15	Mr. Reinitz had with Bank of America which would fall within
16	that reverse Jencks.
17	THE COURT: Do you have look, I mean, to the extent
18	counsel took notes of witness interviews, as the government
19	took notes of its witness interviews, have those all been
20	produced as to Reinitz?
21	MR. GELFAND: Our own notes have been not. I will go
22	back and check to see
23	THE COURT: Have been produced or have not been
24	produced?
25	MR. GELFAND: Have not been produced, your Honor.

A-666

K1	ndt	em6

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do they exist? 1

> MR. GELFAND: I don't know. I have to go back and check.

THE COURT: Sorry. Counsel, you are an officer of the Have you interviewed Reinitz?

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, we have interviewed Reinitz.

THE COURT: Did you take notes?

MR. GELFAND: I'm sure we took notes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sure you did. Then the answer is you've qot --

MR. GELFAND: I'm not saying I'm not identifying off the top of my head right now specific -- it is not that we are holding back.

THE COURT: I understand that. But if you took notes that qualify as 3500 material when interviewing Reinitz, much as the government took notes that qualify as 3500 material when interviewing Gabay, refers Jencks applies. And so, you know, be prepared to produce it. And if you hold it back, you know, the last minute, there may be a need for some delay to enable the government to absorb it.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is disquieting in particular because of the background here, where, you know, there was no reference in otherwise comprehensive instructions to an advice of counsel instruction. I continue to regard that as a -- you called it

K1ndtem6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an academic decision, I call it gamesmanship. To be sure, the government should have spotted this one, too, but in the end it was on you to include that if you thought it was a possible here. And I am not going to be happy to find that the reverse Jencks is untimely produced or late produced, more to the point, requiring an adjournment and, you know, so that the government can absorb it.

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, what I can represent is that in assembling the information that we provided regarding Mr. Reinitz to the government, we veered on the side of including things that do not fall within the category of, quote-unquote, privileged communications but would fall into the category of reverse Jencks for that purpose.

THE COURT: Very good. We have a privilege waiver as it relates to Reinitz. So this is a Jencks issue at this point, right? In other words, if you interviewed Reinitz, just like the government interviewed Gabay, 3500 material applies symmetrically, right, the obligation?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor, I understand. What we need to do, and will do, your Honor, and will do immediately is go back -- it would be the category of our notes.

THE COURT: Just as you've gotten notes from Mr. Bhatia of his notes or perhaps the prior A.U.S.A. I'm sure -- I mean, I've read the 3500 binder. You've got those from the prior A.U.S.A. They have been painstaking in getting, A-668

T = -1				_
K1	no	1+	$\triangle m$	16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

marking the 3500 material. The legal obligation is reciprocal upon a government demand, which Mr. Bhatia represents has been made and upon your forming the intention to call the witness.

MR. GELFAND: Yes. I just want to be clear with the Court that we've been very inclusive for that reason.

THE COURT: So far.

MR. GELFAND: Yes.

THE COURT: But probably the thing that they may care about most is actually counsel's notes of the witness, which is almost always the 3500 material that the defense most eagerly awaits from the government as to its witnesses. I'm just saying I appreciate that you've not ignored your obligations on that in its entirety; you have produced some, and that's great. The obligation applies to your own notes, and I'm asking you not to needlessly cause us an adjournment.

MR. GELFAND: We will not, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Anything further from the government?

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, we also believe that emails between Mr. Reinitz and counsel could also fall under that.

THE COURT: Sure. I mean, it is just typed versus handwritten. Jencks doesn't differentiate. Just as you had all of those scheduling emails and the like that you produced for your witnesses, the logic is if Jencks applies in one direction, it applies in the other. I'm sure defense counsel

```
K1ndtem6
1
      knows that.
 2
               Anything else from the government?
 3
               MR. BHATIA: Nothing else.
               THE COURT: Anything else from the defense?
 4
 5
               MR. GELFAND: No, your Honor.
6
               THE COURT: I will see you all at 9 o'clock. Thank
 7
      you for a productive day. I will see you tomorrow.
8
               (Adjourned to 9 a.m. January 24, 2020)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	KAREN FINOCCHIARO
4	Cross By Mr. Gelfand 269
5	Redirect By Mr. Bhatia 298
6	Recross By Mr. Gelfand 303
7	ELIE GABAY
8	Cross By Mr. Gelfand 370
9	Redirect By Mr. Bhatia 412
10	BONNIE SOON-OSBERGER
11	Direct By Mr. Bhatia 415
12	Cross By Mr. DiRuzzo 441
13	Redirect By Mr. Bhatia 465
14	Recross By Mr. DiRuzzo 467
15	GINA HOM
16	Direct By Mr. Bhatia 470
17	Cross By Mr. DiRuzzo 476
18	Redirect By Mr. Bhatia 481
19	Recross By Mr. DiRuzzo 482
20	JOSEPH SOLEIMANI
21	Direct By Mr. Bhatia 485
22	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
23	Exhibit No. Received
24	412 through 418
25	141 through 146

1	150
2	431, 441, 442, 443
3	401 through 409 494
4	409A and 409B 495
5	409C 505
6	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
7	Exhibit No. Received
8	16
9	52
10	36
11	29
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Klodtem	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
V.	19 CR 696 (PAE)
ARI TEMAN,	
Defendant.	JURY TRIAL
	·-x
	New York, N.Y. January 24, 2020 9:08 a.m.
Before:	
HON. PAUL	A. ENGELMAYER,
	District Judge
APE	PEARANCES
GEOFFREY S. BERMAN, United States Attorney f	
Southern District of New KEDAR S. BHATIA	1 York
EDWARD A. IMPERATORE Assistant United States	Attorneys
JOSEPH A. DIRUZZO, III	
JUSTIN GELFAND Attorneys for Defendant	
ALSO PRESENT: DANIEL ALESSAN	
WILLIAM MAGLIO	OCCO, Paralegal, USAO

	Klodtem
1	(Trial resumed; jury not present)
2	THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
3	COUNSEL: Good morning.
4	THE COURT: I'll note for the record that
5	Mr. Imperatore is not here. I assume he will be due here
6	shortly?
7	MR. BHATIA: He just stepped out for a moment, your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Very good.
10	Good morning, everybody. You may all be seated.
11	Good morning, Mr. Imperatore.
12	All right. I have a handful of items to take up, but
13	before I do, I just wanted to take stock of the batting order
14	to the extent it has been refined by the government today.
15	Right now we have Mr. Soleimani on the stand, and your estimate
16	is, I take it, broadly the same as to the duration of his
17	remaining direct?
18	MR. BHATIA: That is right.
19	THE COURT: All right. What do you anticipate coming
20	next?
21	MR. BHATIA: Next we anticipate John Motto, M-o-t-t-o,
22	who is an employee of Signature Bank, and I think that will be
23	relatively short.
24	THE COURT: That would what?
25	MR. BHATIA: That would be relatively short, your
- 1	

T T 1	3 .	
K I	odt.em	
$\perp \prime \perp$	COLLETT	

1 Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: OK. And then?

MR. BHATIA: I think we expect -- you know, I need to talk to Mr. Imperatore, but we might rest after that.

THE COURT: You have made a big deal about check stock evidence and presumably requiring a law enforcement witness to testify. Is that now out?

MR. BHATIA: I'll speak to Mr. Imperatore but we may not call Detective Alessandrino.

THE COURT: So that issue would go out of the case?

MR. BHATIA: That is right.

THE COURT: OK. All right. Very good.

Defense counsel, anything as to batting order?

MR. DiRUZZO: Yes, your Honor. Well, it directly relates to Mr. Soleimani's testimony. Your Honor, late last night, at about 11:30, we got an email from counsel for the government that there was additional 3500 material. We looked at the 3500 material, and it became clear to us that counsel for the government, along with the lead agent, was on a phone call with Mr. Soleimani.

It appears to us that the phone call, which happened yesterday, which we confirmed, via email with opposing counsel, that the phone call with counsel for the government and the lead agent discussed not only Mr. Soleimani's testimony but fronted, disclosed our potential cross-examination which we

1.7	_ \	ハム	ι.	em

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussed with your Honor after the witness had left the stand yesterday. Specifically, you asked us about who are the potential witnesses that we would call, and we referred to the two possible NYPD detectives. We then discussed why we might call them, for purposes of impeachment and potential out cross-examination.

Well, it appears that the government has stolen our thunder by telling the witness what our potential cross-examination is, and we believe this is highly improper. It violates the rules of sequestration, which we expressly invoked, and we would ask that his -- the witness' testimony be struck in toto.

THE COURT: Government, response?

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, the witness is still on direct. I think it was appropriate for us to have a conversation with him about some facts that we thought might come up in the trial, and we did it in part so that we could give the Court and the parties some more information about possible prior inconsistent statements. We actually don't think there were any inconsistent statements, and we are happy to sort of tell the Court more about that.

THE COURT: Why don't you tell me a little more about that?

MR. BHATIA: Sure. So one of the alleged inconsistent statements is that he told Detective Alessandrino that he lost

K1odtem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$180,000 when in fact I think the value of the chargeback was \$198,000. We understand that Mr. Soleimani went to the police station, gave them the checks that were fraudulently deposited, and then told them I lost about \$180,000. And I think he gave that as a ballpark estimate. So, I am not sure there is any inconsistent statement. If he gave them the checks and said I lost about this much --

THE COURT: You're saying that there is never any context in which the witness pinned himself down to a cap in the 180 range as opposed to an estimate?

MR. BHATIA: That's right.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. DiRuzzo, I appreciate the vigor of the advocacy. The answer is no. There are a number of reasons for it. First of all, you are welcome to examine on the point, which is ultimately the best antiseptic, the best evidence. You are at liberty to examine him on his conversations with the government. I find the whole area of potential impeachment elusive, to say the least, but you are welcome to go at it. It strikes me as quite tertiary at best.

But as to the claim of misconduct, there was no misconduct here. The witness was on direct. It is cardinal that the government is entitled to question the witness and continue to work with the witness while the witness -- you may be seated -- is on direct examination. You were certainly at liberty to ask for some additional relief to the extent that

		er	

you thought something coming up in court ought to be embargoed. You didn't seek that.

But, you know, for avoidance of doubt, the government is always, as would be the defense, working with witnesses on a dynamic, interactive basis as the trial goes forward to follow up with witnesses as to matters that are coming to their attention during the trial.

You were not obliged to, if you thought it was going to create some issue for you, answer my inquiry as to the relevance of a witness at the level of specificity you did.

I'm glad that you did. It was helpful to me, and it gave me a better understanding of what was to follow. But you had every right and every ability to say, your Honor, that's something I prefer to do in camera or I'd like to do it ex parte or I'd like to do it on the condition that the government not include that in its continued conversations with Mr. Soleimani. No such request was made. No impropriety happened here.

All right. Anything else with respect to batting order?

MR. DiRUZZO: Your Honor, I just ask, for purposes of appellate review, I be given a quick opportunity to make a record.

Your Honor, I believe that yesterday, when we talked specifically about the delta --

THE COURT: About the?

Klodtem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DiRUZZO: The delta, that is the term that you used.

THE COURT: Between the 180 and the 190 or so? MR. DiRUZZO: Yes. With the clear anticipation that the delta would lead us into grounds that there is the possibility that some of the charges were actually authorized, which then in turn at least allows us to make the argument that perhaps all of these charges in fact were authorized. vein of cross-examination, that line, you know, was appropriately disclosed to your Honor, but at the same time the government should not have disclosed that to the witness.

THE COURT: So you say. But the reality is that was on you to ask -- to answer my question either not at all or in camera or with a condition put on the government's follow up to the witness. You chose not to do so. The witness is on direct; you knew the government is at liberty to speak with the witness.

The whole issue strikes me as, you know, although not irrelevant, exceedingly tangential. But the bottom line was there was no rule of ethics or practice or no order specific to this case that limited Mr. Bhatia from doing what he did. responsible lawyer in his shoes would of course follow up with the witness to understand what the nature of prior statements were. I don't know concretely what happened, and you are at liberty to cross-examine the witness about the communications.

Klodtem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DiRUZZO: Your Honor, as an alternative basis for leave, I would ask that the witness' -- that his testimony be precluded on a going-forward basis and we just start with the cross-examination.

THE COURT: No. Sorry. I mean, there is no -- you are asking for relief for a wrong that didn't happen.

MR. DiRUZZO: I understand.

THE COURT: There is no relief to be had here. The government is allowed to complete its examination. Good try.

MR. DiRUZZO: I understand. That's why I made the point for purposes of appellate review, I would like to make a record.

THE COURT: All right. You are making a record, but, I mean, there was no wrong here. This was on you to ask that some preclusion be placed on the go government. It didn't -and no such application was made.

Government, it looks like you have something to raise.

MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, I guess while we're building a record, I want to make clear I think to say that we prepped with him would be an overstatement on this. We asked him -- I said, you know, Mr. Soleimani, what happened on so and so, what did you say, what do you remember. And then at the end I might have said you might hear some questions about this. I think that was like -- that's probably the extent of the conversation, so I think it's probably overstating it --

K1odtem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Whether or not even that last bit happened seems to me a nonevent. There was nothing improper about what happened either on the darkest characterization of it that has been given.

MR. BHATIA: Thank you.

THE COURT: OK. All right. Anything else to raise? I have some things to raise with you.

Yes.

MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, just a couple of issues that we would urge the Court to consider when Mr. Reinitz takes the stand, a couple of evidentiary issues that we -- the first of which we have conferred with the defense on.

First of all, there is a potential hearsay issue to the extent the defense seeks to introduce a number of materials. One is two versions of a letter. The second are various chat messages the defendant exchanged with the lawyer.

Obviously, to the extent the defendant is seeking legal advice and the lawyer is providing legal advice, there can be limited -- there could be limited occasions where those chat messages or emails could be introduced to go to the defendant's state of mind --

THE COURT: I have an instruction on that point. don't I right now read that to you, because I anticipated the same issue. Here's what I propose to address on that point. You let me know, counsel, how you feel about this. But I think

Klodtem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Imperatore's insight of course is correct that while there is a proper purpose for these communications coming in, certain things can't come for the truth of the matter asserted.

Here it goes, and I would propose to give this at some point early in the Reinitz testimony:

You have heard testimony that the defendant had communications with this witness, Mr. Reinitz, who is an attorney, with respect to matters at issue in this case. As with any witness, it is for you to determine whether and to what extent to credit this testimony as truthful. I want to give you a limiting instruction as to the purposes to which you may put this testimony, to the extent you credit it.

You may consider the defendant's communications with Mr. Reinitz to the extent, if any, that you find they bear on the defendant's state of mind and his intent at the times of the events at issue. At the end of the case, in my final instructions, I will give you detailed instructions about the elements of the offenses with which the defendant is charged, including as to the intent that is required for a person to be found quilty of these offenses. I will also then give you instructions as to how, in assessing a defendant's intent, you may consider evidence of the advice an attorney gave to the defendant.

To the extent the witness, Mr. Reinitz, testifies about statements that the defendant, Mr. Teman, made to him, I

	od	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

instruct you -- I instruct you that you may consider these statements only for limited purposes. You may consider Mr. Teman's statements to Mr. Reinitz as they bear upon the advice that the attorney, Mr. Reinitz, gave to Mr. Teman. You may also consider these statements as they bear upon Mr. Teman's state of mind.

However, you may not consider Mr. Teman's statements to his attorney for the truth of the matters asserted by Mr. Teman. Whether the statements Mr. Teman made to his attorney were or were not truthful are matters which, if relevant, you would have to determine based on other evidence in the case. You may not assume that the representations that Mr. Teman made to his attorney were true merely because Mr. Teman made those statements to his attorney.

Mr. Imperatore, does that do the trick?

MR. IMPERATORE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense counsel?

MR. GELFAND: We are fine with that charge.

MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, there is one related issue.

THE COURT: I take it that what I didn't capture in that instruction involves writings that were shared by Mr. Teman with the attorney.

MR. IMPERATORE: Correct. We've conferred with the defense on this, and we understand, based on that, that they do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not intend to introduce the chat messages on his direct.

THE COURT: Very good. All right. So let's play that by ear.

MR. IMPERATORE: Yes, your Honor.

There is a related issue, evidentiary -- excuse me.

THE COURT: Sorry. If there is a separate issue, I see Mr. Gelfand is rising. It is limited to this particular discussion.

MR. GELFAND: I just wanted for the benefit of the Court to know that I anticipate Mr. Reinitz's testimony to basically fall into two general categories.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GELFAND: One is the advice of counsel category that this instruction obviously would apply to. The other is just general fact testimony about GateGuard that would not implicate any of these issues, in other words, discussions with Mr. Teman about --

THE COURT: And so he would not be relating conversations with Mr. Teman about GateGuard but just operational things he did as a business attorney for GateGuard?

MR. GELFAND: I think there is a crossover, but there is also some testimony that he has firsthand involvement. Basically, he's a fact witness and an advice of counsel witness.

THE COURT: As a fact witness, he is just talking

A-684

547

T 7 1	ר		
ĸ	_0d	+	$\sim m$
17 1	_ ()()	ι.	C11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about operationally what GateGuard was to the extent he is a percipient witness.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Be careful to lay a foundation for that. He is not a 30(b)(6) witness, as we say in civil litigation, and so he is not able to speak omnisciently as a representative of the company. He may well have performed business functions for GateGuard, but please be very careful to lay a foundation as to his basis and personal knowledge to the extent he is speaking as a fact witness.

MR. GELFAND: Absolutely. I just wanted to raise that so that the Court has the --

THE COURT: I always appreciate that. OK.

Mr. Imperatore.

MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, there are two related issues that could arise in Mr. Reinitz's testimony. One is to the extent Mr. --

THE COURT: Will you be cross-examining Mr. Reinitz? MR. IMPERATORE: No, Mr. Bhatia will. But I want to flag these issues. I hadn't had a chance to talk to Mr. Bhatia about them.

One is, first of all, what's important here obviously is Mr. Teman's state of mind and what Mr. Reinitz told Mr. Teman. To the extent the defense intends to elicit questions that go to Mr. Reinitz's state of mind and his

		em

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

formulation of his view of the law, it's only relevant to the extent he actually communicates that to Mr. Teman.

THE COURT: I agree with that, and Mr. Gelfand is nodding.

MR. GELFAND: We agree with that.

THE COURT: Just to be clear Mr. Gelfand, as you approach the examination, because we especially can't have Mr. Reinitz opining on the law, be careful that the examination doesn't loop through the why and what were you thinking and is focused tightly on what was extrinsically communicated to Mr. Teman.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sometimes different examinations are structured different ways, but particularly where we have a lawyer weighing in on the law, there is an outsized risk of confusion. So make sure the examination is tightly focused on the communications with Mr. Teman. OK?

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

MR. IMPERATORE: On that issue, your Honor, and your Honor may have seen it in Rule 26.2 material that was disclosed, there are questions that were asked by counsel to Mr. Reinitz that really go to his state of mind about the law, and it's not clear to what extent it was actually communicated.

THE COURT: I don't know what you are referring to in the 26.2. I don't think I've gotten something. What are you

A-686

	K	1	0	d	t	em
--	---	---	---	---	---	----

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

talking about?

MR. IMPERATORE: After midnight last night, your Honor, the defense disclosed notes of a phone conversation with Mr. Reinitz where he purports to opine on his view of UCC law and whether certain contractual -- whether certain hyperlinks, to the extent they were disclosed to individuals, could create a contract.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. IMPERATORE: It is not at all clear, you know, whether they can connect the dots.

THE COURT: Right. It would be surprising if that level of detail were shared with a client but not unheard of.

The important point is that Reinitz should be testifying only about what he actually communicated to Teman about what was going on in his mind. In other words -- I think you get the point.

Mr. Gelfand, you agree?

MR. GELFAND: I understand. For the record, your Honor, what we disclosed, based on the Court's instruction yesterday, was an earlier phone call with Mr. Reinitz where I did cover that territory, and so I thought it was appropriate to disclose it.

THE COURT: Sure. It is helpful for you to understand what Reinitz's reasoning was, but, obviously, in terms of what is aerated in court, we'll limit it to that which was not in

T 2 1	-1.1	
ĸ	oat.	$_{\rm em}$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his mind but his overt communications with Mr. Teman.

MR. GELFAND: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: We're all on the same page.

Mr. Imperatore, anything further?

MR. IMPERATORE: No, your Honor. Just, obviously, to the extent that Mr. Reinitz is formulating his views on the law from the stand, to the extent he communicates it to Mr. Teman, there may come a point where it may make sense to have a limiting instruction about his testimony. Your Honor will instruct the jury on the law --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. IMPERATORE: And his testimony does not at all go to that issue.

THE COURT: I mean, I take it in the end, you know, let's suppose Reinitz says something to Teman about the civil law of contracts, if that's what we're talking about here. the end, there is not going to be any occasion for the jury to be making a judgment about those matters. This is not a contract case; it is a criminal case. The relevant legal tests here will be set by the elements of the offenses, and so I don't actually expect I will be instructing them, heaven forbid, about the UCC or something like that.

MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, we're simply mentioning it sort of from the standpoint of issues by --

THE COURT: Right.

Klodtem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. IMPERATORE: -- it could become an issue down the road. Obviously, we'll see how the testimony comes in.

THE COURT: Very good. Anything else that anyone has to raise?

MR. GELFAND: Not for the defense.

THE COURT: Government?

MR. BHATIA: Nothing, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. While we wait -- Mr. Smallman will check on our jury -- I just wanted to take up an issue here.

Government, I appreciated your letter about unanimity. One thing that confused me is the basis for differentiating, though, between the bank and wire fraud counts. I appreciate your advocacy that I shouldn't have a unanimity instruction at all. I am rather strongly inclined to disagree, but I will reserve on that for now. The issue to my mind is what the basis is for differentiating among the two bank frauds as opposed to the two wire fraud counts.

If there is a risk that some jurors could convict based entity one and others on entity two, hypothetically, as they comprise a common count, that risk is equally there, I would think, for bank fraud as wire fraud. And it seems to me that agree or disagree about the ultimate outcome here, but the logic is equally as strong for wire fraud as it is for bank fraud to give such an instruction. Why not? Is that wrong?

Klodtem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, if I may?

I think there is both a conceptual difference between the bank fraud and the wire fraud counts and a difference in terms of what the elements are to those offenses. I think we understand your Honor may be focused on <u>Dupre</u> and other cases where courts have determined it is appropriate to give a unanimity instruction in the context of wire fraud, and your Honor, of course, did that in the Afriyie case.

THE COURT: Which you are well familiar with.

MR. IMPERATORE: Yes. And I think it is appropriate in the context of wire fraud because an interstate wire is an element of the offense. That's really what Richardson speaks to. So, that distinguishes this from the bank fraud context in a couple of ways.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Your instruction, I'm trying to understand what you are proposing here. I thought that you were proposing -- you are agreeing to a unanimity instruction as to wire fraud, or not? I'm not sure. I thought you were -the only proposed instruction you've given me is as to bank fraud.

MR. IMPERATORE: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: I took you to be under no circumstances --I thought you were saying that there is a strong argument for a unanimity instruction as to bank as opposed to wire fraud. I reading it wrong?

T = 1	2 .	
K1	odtem	
	CULCIII	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. IMPERATORE: No, your Honor, and we did not intend to communicate that.

I think -- so the issue -- I think what distinguishes bank fraud and wire fraud are a couple of things here. One is an interstate wire is an element of the offense.

(Pause)

One is an interstate wire is an element of the offense.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. IMPERATORE: And under <u>Richardson</u> and cases like it, it is appropriate where, you know, a particular transaction is actually an element to give a limiting instruction.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. IMPERATORE: What distinguishes bank fraud here is that the issues of the customers are really means by which the transaction -- the bank fraud is proven, the scheme to defraud is proven. We understand your Honor's concerns, so we've proposed a limiting instruction in the event that the Court elected to give one in that context.

But I think the concern is the jury is allowed to consider various means by which a crime can be proven. It's not required to make specific findings as to means. But there is no scenario in which in a single scheme to defraud Bank of America, that a transaction with a customer is an element of the offense.

A-691

T 7	4		٦.			
ĸ		0	\sim	+	0	m
1.1	-	()	u	ι.	┖.	

THE COURT: Because the jury is here, I'm going to deflect the conversation to later. My present inclination is to formulate a common unanimity instruction that would span all four counts, but it would probably be pegged, as your proposed instruction would be, at the level of unanimity as to which entity. It just strikes me that that's likely the most prudent course here.

I am going to take a one-minute comfort break. Mr. Smallman, get the jury.

(Recess)

(Continued on next page)

A-692

	Klodtem
1	(Jury present)
2	THE COURT: All right. Good morning, ladies and
3	gentlemen. Please be seated.
4	All right. We'll resume now with the testimony of
5	Joseph Soleimani.
6	Mr. Soleimani, I'll remind you that you are still
7	under oath.
8	Mr. Bhatia, you may inquire.
9	JOSEPH SOLEIMANI,
10	Resumed, and testified further as follows:
11	MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, at this time, the government
12	offers, pursuant to the stipulation from before, Government
13	Exhibits 121 through 124 and 126 through 131.
14	THE COURT: Is there an objection?
15	MR. GELFAND: You said 121?
16	(Pause)
17	Your Honor, I have 126 and 128 as previously raised
18	with the Court. There is a stipulation with multiple layers
19	of
20	THE COURT: One moment.
21	MR. GELFAND: And the others no objection.
22	THE COURT: 126 and 128?
23	MR. GELFAND: Correct, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. Let me see counsel at the
25	sidebar for a moment.

	Klodtem	
1		I will ask the witness to step down.
2		(Continued on next page)
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Klodter	K1	od	t	em
---------	----	----	---	----

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At the sidebar)

THE COURT: Counsel, I just want to make sure that I'm measuring my words correctly. 126 and 128 are what's the right way to call this, is this a chat? Some sort of --

MR. BHATIA: It looks like a bank record regarding a call to the bank.

THE COURT: A bank record regarding a call to the bank. Is this a record that this witness has ever seen?

MR. BHATIA: No. We don't plan to show it to this witness.

THE COURT: All right. And will you be -- what is the purpose of offering it during the middle of this examination if you are not going to show it to the witness?

MR. BHATIA: We are offering it pursuant to the stipulation -- as part of the JPMorgan records, but we can bring it up later.

THE COURT: Well, the only issue is at the time I receive it, I ought to give a limiting instruction. It's a little bit awkward if it is not being shown to the witness or the jury at this moment.

MR. BHATIA: What I will get into during his testimony is the fact of the call, and so maybe it might be appropriate for us to say -- maybe I can offer it then.

THE COURT: I think it depends. You are not objecting to its receipt; you want to make sure there is a limiting

K1	00	lt	em
----	----	----	----

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

instruction as to its use?

MR. GELFAND: Our initial objection is to its receipt and on multiple layers of hearsay, especially, your Honor, without testimony from someone at JPMorgan about this particular subject matter. It is not -- we accept that it is a business record, we accept that it is from JPMorgan, but I don't think that is the end of the inquiry for this particular kind of exhibit.

THE COURT: Right. What does the stipulation say as it relates to business record?

MR. BHATIA: It says that these are business records, essentially.

MR. GELFAND: And we reserved our objection on the multiple lawyers of hearsay that we previously articulated to the Court.

THE COURT: My inclination would be, unless there is a real reason to receive it now, I take it it doesn't really affect the flow of testimony because you are not going to be putting it in front of the jury.

MR. BHATIA: That is right.

THE COURT: Let's take this up at the next break or at the close of the witness' testimony, and I'll formulate a limiting instruction at the time. I welcome each table to formulate the right response as to how to put it, but it seems to me it would be (a) distracting for me to do it in the middle

	Klodtem
1	of the exam if you are not going to be putting it up on the
2	screen to the witness, and (b) this gives me little more time
3	to reflect on the issue.
4	MR. BHATIA: Understood.
5	MR. GELFAND: Thank you.
6	(Continued on next page)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Klodtem1 Soleimani - direct
1	(In open Court)
2	THE COURT: Mr. Gelfand.
3	MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, as to the other exhibits
4	that the government counsel is offering, we have no objection.
5	THE COURT: All right. I will receive Exhibits 121
6	through 124 and 127, 129, 130 and 131.
7	As to Exhibits 126 and 128, they will be offered later
8	at a time that I have been able to formulate a limiting
9	instruction as to them. So for the time being I won't receive
10	them without prejudice to your right to offer them later.
11	Go ahead.
12	MR. BHATIA: Thank you.
13	(Government's Exhibits 121-124, 127, 129, 130 and 131
14	received in evidence)
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
16	BY MR. BHATIA:
17	Q. Good morning, Mr. Soleimani.
18	As part of your responsibilities at ABJ, did you
19	review activity in the company's bank accounts?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And did that involve oversight of checks that were paid out
22	of the account?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What was your day-to-day responsibilities involving
25	expenses in and out of the account?

Klodtem1	

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

Soleimani - direct

- I was authorized to write the checks, pay any bills, 1 2 receive any payments, and look over general operation.
 - Q. And I'll direct your attention now to April of 2019.

A-698

At that time, what was the state of your relationship with Mr. Teman?

- A. It was not good.
- 7 Q. Can you elaborate?

agencies, etc.

- A. Yes. Liens had been placed on several properties. We were no longer using his systems or his software, and he had threatened a couple of times to sue us, report us to several
- 12 Q. Did there come a time in April 2019 when you reported unauthorized activity in your bank account? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Tell us, when was the first time that you learned of the 16 activity that you later reported as unauthorized?
- 17 A. I actually think it was the first couple of days of May, when we were doing reconciliations for the month of April and 18 19 we noticed that there were a bunch of checks that were cashed
- 20 from our accounts.
- Q. I would like to direct your attention to Government Exhibit 21 22 205, in evidence. This exhibit is several pages long so let's 23 look at the first page as an example.
- 24 Do you recognize this image?
- 25 Yes.

Tノ 1	lodt	~ ·~ 1
n	()(11	\leftarrow III I

Soleimani - direct

- Is this the -- is this one of the checks that you saw in 1 2 May of 2019?
 - Yes. Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- What was you're reaction upon seeing this check, or these checks in this exhibit?
- A. My initial reaction was these checks are fraud and I need to report it to the bank.
- Q. Why did you think that these were fraud?

MR. GELFAND: Your Honor, I would object to the witness' characterization of fraud and ask that it be stricken.

THE COURT: Yes. Ladies and gentlemen, I will instruct you that at the end of the case I will be giving you instructions as to the elements of the offenses here, which are, as alleged here, bank fraud and wire fraud. The witness' label that he put on it is just the witness' label that he put on it. That should not of course be any substitute for my instructions as to what these types of fraud offenses consist of or your independent determination as to whether there was or wasn't those types of fraud here.

Go ahead.

BY MR. BHATIA:

- Q. Why did you think that these were not authorized?
- A. Because they don't look like our checks. I certainly didn't sign them, and I would not have paid out these sums.
- 24
- 25 Was there anything about the value of these checks that

		0.0
K1odtem1	Soleimani - direct	

- stood out to you? 1
 - A. Just they don't look like our checks. They certainly were
- 3 not authorized.
- 4 Q. Are they typical of the checks authorized out of your
- 5 account?

- A. No. 6
- 7 Q. In the bottom left corner of, or bottom left part of this
- 8 check, there is a memo line that says "Device Removal Fee."
- 9 Prior to seeing this check, were you familiar with a device
- removal fee? 10
- 11 A. No, I was not.
- 12 Q. And had you agreed to pay a device removal fee?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. At the time you purchased the intercoms that you testified
- 15 about yesterday, what conversations with Mr. Teman had you had
- 16 about a device removal fee?
- 17 A. I did not have any conversations about it.
- Q. During your conversations with him, did he ever tell you 18
- 19 that you could be subject to a device removal fee?
- A. At a later point in time, after the systems were off or 20
- close to then. 21
- 22 Q. But not -- what about at the time you purchased the
- 23 devices?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 In the bottom right corner of this check, it says:

			56
	K10	dtem1 Soleimani - direct	
1	"Co	ntact us at 212-203-3714 with questions."	
2		Is that your phone number?	
3	Α.	No, it is not.	
4	Q.	If a bank had contacted you with questions about this	
5	che	ck, what would you have told the bank?	
6	Α.	I would have told them it is not authorized.	
7	Q.	In the top left corner of this check, it says, "ABJ Lenno	X
8	LLC	." That's "Lennox" with two Ns. Is there something about	-
9	tha	t label that stands out to you?	
10	Α.	Yes.	
11	Q.	What about it?	
12	Α.	It's spelled incorrectly.	
13	Q.	What is the proper spelling?	
14	Α.	It's L-e-n-o-x.	
15	Q.	With one N for Lenox?	
16	Α.	With one N, correct.	
17	Q.	What is the date of the check date listed here in the	
18	top	right corner of these checks?	
19	Α.	April 19, 2019.	
20	Q.	Do you observe a holiday beginning on that date?	
21	Α.	Yes.	
22	Q.	What is it?	
23	Α.	It was Passover.	
24	Q.	And when you observe Passover, what is your practice with	1

regards to electronic communications?

T 2 1	odt.		1
KI	$\Delta \Delta T$	$_{\rm cm}$	- 1

Soleimani - direct

- I do not have any electronic communication for the first 1 2 two days and the last two days.
 - Q. And do other people in your office observe that holiday?
 - Α. Yes.

3

4

5

- I'll direct your attention to page 7 of this document.
- Is this another one of the checks that you saw in May 6 7 of 2019?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And in the bottom left portion of this check, there is a memo line that says "Attorney Use Fee." 10
- Had you agreed to a \$5,000 attorney use fee? 11
- 12 A. No.

13

- Q. And during your conversations with Mr. Teman, had he ever alerted you to a \$5,000 attorney use fee?
- A. No. 15
- 16 I'll direct your attention now to page 13 of this document.
- 17 In the bottom left corner of this check, there is a -- the memo line says "Collections Fee." 18
- 19 At the time you purchased your intercoms, had you 20 agreed to a \$10,000 collections fee?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 During your conversations with Mr. Teman, had he ever
- 23 alerted you to a \$10,000 attorney use fee -- excuse me, had he
- ever alerted you to a \$10,000 collections fee that you could be 24
- 25 subject to?

K1odtem1 Soleimani - direct

1 Α. No.

- Q. When you paid Mr. Teman for those intercoms, did you
- 3 believe that you were purchasing the devices or something else?
- 4 I believed I was purchasing the devices.
- 5 Q. Did there come a time when you told Mr. Teman that he could
- 6 deposit any of these checks in this government exhibit that's
- 7 Government Exhibit 205?
- 8 A. No, I did not.
- 9 Q. And I would now like to direct your attention to Government
- 10 Exhibit 204. There are also several checks here, but let's
- 11 look at the first one for example.
- 12 What do you see in the top left corner? It says, "ABJ
- Milano LLC." Is that an entity associated with ABJ Properties? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And in the bottom left corner there is a collections fee.
- 16 Have you heard of that fee before?
- 17 A. No, I have not.
- Q. And these are checks to ABJ Milano. If the bank had 18
- 19 contacted you instead of this phone number on this check, what
- 20 would you have told the bank about these checks?
- A. I would have told them not to cash them, they're not 21
- authorized. 22
- 23 Q. After you saw the checks identified in Government Exhibit
- 24 204 and 205, the ones you just talked about, what happened
- 25 next?

	_		
エン 1	odt.	~ m	1
n_{\perp}	OUI.	em	_

Soleimani - direct

- I called my bank right away. I told them that there were a 1 2 bunch of checks cashed out of my account that were not
 - authorized. They told me to come into the branch and go to the local precinct to report it.
 - Q. When you went to the branch, what happened?
- They filled out some paperwork. They froze the accounts 6 7 from any further checks being cashed. I was told to give them
- 8 a list of any outstanding checks to make sure those clear, and
- 9 then I opened new accounts.
- Did you in fact go to a precinct after talking with the 10
- bank? 11

3

4

- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What did you tell them?
- 14 I printed out all the checks -- the check images.
- 15 them someone cashed checks from my accounts, they were not
- 16 authorized. They don't look like my checks. I didn't
- 17 authorize them, and my bank told me to come in and file a
- 18 report.
- 19 Q. Had you authorized any of the checks that you found in --
- 20 in Government Exhibit 204 and 205?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. While you were a GateGuard customer, did you speak with
- 23 Mr. Teman on the phone?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Approximately how often?

Klodtem1	Soleimani	_	direct

- I would say every couple of days. 1
 - And when you were a GateGuard customer, how often -- did
- 3 you meet with him in person?
 - A. Maybe two or three times early on.
- 5 Did you communicate via text message or something similar
- to that? 6

2

- 7 A. Yes.
- What platform did you use? 8 Q.
- 9 WhatsApp. Α.
- During those calls, during those communications, did you 10
- 11 ever tell Mr. Teman that he was authorized to write checks on
- 12 behalf of ABJ Milano or ABJ Lenox?
- A. No, I did not. 13
- 14 And what did he ever tell you during those conversations
- 15 about his authority to draw checks on behalf of those entities?
- 16 He never mentioned anything.
- 17 If he had mentioned them, would that have stuck out to you? Q.
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 And what would your reaction have been if you had heard
- 20 about them?
- I would have denied it. 21 Α.
- 22 Q. What do you mean, denied it?
- 23 Denied his authority to draw any checks out of my account.
- At ABJ Properties, are you authorized to enter into 24
- 25 contracts with vendors?

Klodtem1	Soleimani -	direct

- 1 Α. Yes.
 - Q. And have you done that?
- 3 Yes. Α.

- 4 How often do you do that as part of your work?
- 5 I'd say every couple of months, possibly.
- 6 During the course of your work at ABJ Properties, have you
- 7 ever agreed to a contract that allowed someone doing work for
- 8 ABJ Properties to write checks from the company's accounts?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 0. Why not?
- Because I'd like to have approval for each transaction. 11
- 12 like to make sure the amounts are correct, and it is not our
- 13 business practice to do so.
- Q. Mr. Soleimani, where is your office located? 14
- A. 1652 Park Avenue. 15
- 16 And how long have you been in that location?
- 17 A. About two years.
- 18 O. Is that in Manhattan?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 And while you were in your office, did you have
- 21 communications with Mr. Teman?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Did you have -- were they phone conversations or text
- 24 message conversations?
- 25 I had both.

K1odtem1	Soleimani -	direct

- Q. OK. And where did you understand Mr. Teman was during those conversations?
 - A. Sometimes in New York, sometimes in Florida.
 - Q. And were those concerning ABJ Properties and ABJ Milano?
- 5 | A. Yes.

3

4

8

9

10

- 6 MR. BHATIA: One moment, your Honor.
- 7 (Pause)
 - Q. When you were in your office in Manhattan, did your communications with Mr. Teman on the phone and text messages involve GateGuard devices?
- 11 | A. Yes.
 - Q. It did involve your purchase of GateGuard and Sublet Spy?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Did you also have communications with him via email?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. What were the nature of those communications?
- 17 A. Often, it was some complaints that we received from tenants
- 18 | or from ourselves trying the system where it wouldn't work.
- 19 | That was the majority of the conversations.
- 20 \parallel Q. During the conversations with Mr. Teman via phone or email
- 21 or text message, did he ever reference that he was in Florida?
- 22 | A. Sorry. What?
- 23 | Q. Did he ever tell you that he was in Florida?
- 24 | A. Possibly several -- a couple of times maybe.
- 25 MR. BHATIA: Your Honor, no further questions.

	Klodtem1 Soleimani - direct
1	THE COURT: All right. Just one moment.
2	Can I see counsel at the sidebar? I will ask the
3	witness to step down.
4	(Continued on next page)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
ı	

K1	.OV	ΤF	M1	
$T \subset T$	\circ	\perp	ттт	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At sidebar)

THE COURT: I'm just returning to Exhibits 126 and 128. If there's no chance that you will be examining the witness about those, I will defer the offer of those till after the conclusion of the examination. If, however, there's any possibility that you'll be going there with respect to the witness, so on cross-examination, I think it appropriate for the government to be able to offer them and my to receive them subject to the limiting instruction now.

MR. GELFAND: I guess what I would say -- and I think I know where the Court is going to go with this -- is that I don't want to -- it depends on what the witness says. And I don't want to represent to the Court that I'm not going to get into it.

THE COURT: Okay. You don't have the present intention, but you might go there.

MR. GELFAND: Depending on his answers, correct.

THE COURT: Give me one moment.

Let me draft a proposed limiting instruction, because I think it's more fair for the government to be able to own the exhibit by offering it rather than your offering it on cross.

Give me one moment.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Who are the speakers for ABJ in Exhibit 126 and 128? One is Benjamin.

K1	\bigcap	V	т	F.	М	1

25

	K1OVTEM1
1	MR. BHATIA: Mr. Soleimani.
2	THE COURT: This Mr. Soleimani?
3	MR. BHATIA: Joseph Soleimani.
4	THE COURT: I thought there was a Benjamin.
5	MR. BHATIA: I think it refers to Benjamin, but
6	Mr. Soleimani we understand that only Mr. Soleimani went to
7	the bank. It was a call from the bank.
8	THE COURT: The bank is Bank of America?
9	MR. BHATIA: JPMorgan Chase.
10	(Pause)
11	THE COURT: Let me just read this to you.
12	I've received into evidence Government Exhibits 126
13	and 128 pursuant to a stipulation between the parties. As the
14	stipulation reflects, these are business records of the bank
15	that reflect communications between Mr. Soleimani and JPMorgan
16	Chase in or around May 2019.
17	I have a limiting instruction as to these
18	communications. You may consider them as evidence of what
19	Mr. Soleimani said to the bank and what the bank said to him at
20	the time of these communications. You may not, however,
21	consider the statements made the statements reflected in
22	Government Exhibits 126 and 128 for the truth of what was said
23	either by Mr. Soleimani to the bank or the bank to
24	Mr. Soleimani.

MR. BHATIA: So this hasn't happened yet, the cross

K10VTEM1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hasn't happened, but it could be also prior consistent statement to rehabilitate the witness's credibility under the second prong of that rule. So depending on what happens on cross, it might come in.

MR. IMPERATORE: What I propose, your Honor, is assuming the defense opens the door in the cross, the government is going to offer this at a later time. And I think, assuming the elements are met of the prior consistent statement to rebut attacks on the witness's credibility, they can be offered for their truth, but let's see what happens.

THE COURT: Let me try it this way: Is what you're saying then, Mr. Imperatore, rather than it being offered now at all, simply everyone hold fire, and you'll take the risk that defense counsel, Mr. Gelfand, will be the first one to offer this for any purpose. And I'll give an instruction later, depending on whether or not there's a prior inconsistent statement that allows broader use of the document.

In other words, you don't want to offer it now. I'm offering you the chance to do so subject to this limiting instruction.

MR. IMPERATORE: Right.

I think the issue from the government's perspective is we expect that there may be attacks on the witness's credibility that will trigger the application of the prior consistent statement hearsay exception. So we're going to hold

```
K10VTEM1
      fire now.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: You're not going to offer them now.
 3
               MR. IMPERATORE: Correct.
               THE COURT: Very good.
 4
 5
                (Continued on next page)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

A-713

25

576 K10VTEM1 Soleimani - cross (In open court) 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Soleimani, I'll remind you 3 that you're still under oath. 4 And Mr. Gelfand, you may inquire. 5 MR. GELFAND: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. GELFAND: Q. Good morning, Mr. Soleimani. 8 9 A. Good morning. Mr. Soleimani, you and I have never met; correct? 10 11 A. Correct. Q. You and I have never spoken; correct? 12 13 A. Correct. 14 Now, you testified that your company owns and manages 15 apartment buildings, if I understood it correctly, in New York 16 City; is that correct? 17 A. Which company? Q. You tell me. 18 A. ABJ Lenox and ABJ Milano owns properties in New York City. 19 20 Okay. And ABJ Properties, if I'm tracking you, basically 21 is kind of the management entity? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Are these all essentially owned by the same people? 24 Α. No.

Okay. The properties during the time frame that we're

K1OVTEM1	Soleimani -	cross

- talking about, when you were interacting with GateGuard and 1
- 2 Mr. Teman, were apartment buildings in New York City; correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 In other words, residential buildings, many of which had
- 5 multiple entrances and exits; correct?
- A. Correct. 6
 - Can I ask you to just speak up a little bit?
- 8 Sure. Α.

- 9 Q. Now, in your capacity as a principal at ABJ, you regularly
- enter into business relationships with vendors; correct? 10
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And you regularly enter into contracts and other agreements
- with those vendors; correct? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 As the prosecutor asked you, you're personally authorized
- 16 to approve and negotiate contracts; correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. But you're not the only one at your company that has that 18
- 19 authority; correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Others in your company have the authority to enter into 21
- 22 contracts; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 And others in your company have the authorization to
- 25 negotiate contracts; correct?

K1OVTEM1	Soleimani -	cross

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Q. And that includes your -- I believe it's your brother,
- 3 Benjamin Soleimani; correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, Benjamin Soleimani is also a principal at ABJ;
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you and Benjamin essentially are 8
- 9 partners and running the entity?
- A. Yes. 10
- 11 Q. Now, when ABJ enters into contracts, these contracts
- 12 sometime include the ability of vendors to create checks for --
- 13 on your account; correct?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 So your testimony under oath is that ABJ has never
- 16 authorized a vendor to draft RCCs or create checks on your
- 17 account?
- A. No. 18
- 19 Q. No, meaning that is your testimony?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. What is Advantage Wholesale Supply? 21
- 22 A. It's a supply house.
- 23 Is it your testimony that you have never given Advantage
- Wholesale Supply the ability to draw RCCs on your account? 24
- 25 Not to my recollection.

T 2 1	OT TE	ורדח	1

Soleimani - cross

- Now, you testified that you previously knew Mr. Teman 1
- 2 through another start-up company of his; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - That company was called Sublet Spy; correct?
- 5 Yes. Α.

3

4

- 6 And as I understood your testimony, you met Mr. Teman in
- 7 approximately 2016; correct?
 - Yes. Α.
- 9 Q. And you subscribed to Sublet Spy soon thereafter; correct?
- Correct. 10 Α.
- 11 Q. And Sublet Spy -- let me rephrase that.
- 12 You used information from Sublet Spy to evict tenants,
- to basically operate your business activities in the building; 13
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Now, after you had already had a business relationship with
- 17 Sublet Spy, you asked Mr. Teman to demo the GateGuard device;
- correct? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. And so to be clear, obviously at that time you were
- 21 very interested in the GateGuard device; correct?
- A. Yes. 22
- 23 Q. And the reason you were very interested is because at the
- time it was fairly cutting-edge; correct? 24
- 25 Α. Yes.

K1OVTEM1	Soleimani -	cross

- And when we say "cutting-edge," based on -- were you 1
- 2 familiar with similar intercom systems and other tech devices
- 3 on the market?
- 4 A. Yes, I was.
- 5 And the GateGuard device was different in many ways;
- correct? 6
- 7 A. Not necessarily.
- Q. But you said it was cutting-edge. It was advanced; 8
- 9 correct?
- A. Yes. 10
- Q. Okay. And would you agree with me that you became familiar 11
- with the intricacies of the GateGuard system? 12
- A. Yes. 13
- 14 Q. So let's start simple for a second. The system includes
- 15 physical devices, physical panels or tablets, if you will;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. In other words, just in lay speak, the physical things that 18
- 19 a tenant or perhaps you, yourself, would, you know, either look
- 20 into the camera or touch buttons; correct?
- A. Correct. 21
- 22 Q. And each entrance or exit to every building where you have
- 23 the GateGuard device that people are coming into and out of
- needs some physical equipment of GateGuard; correct? 24
- 25 Yes.

Soleimani - cross

- In other words, the system makes no sense if it doesn't 1 2 apply to all entrances and exits; correct?
 - A. Correct.

- 4 Q. And GateGuard provided all of those physical devices for
- 5 all of the entrances and exits to the seven buildings that you
- testified you subscribe to; correct? 6
- A. Correct. 7
- Q. Okay. And just approximately -- take perhaps the largest 8
- 9 of those buildings, whichever one that is, approximately how
- 10 many entrances and exits are we talking about?
- 11 A. One.
- Q. Which of the seven buildings has the most entrances and 12
- 13 exits?
- 14 They all have one entrance.
- 15 And they also have other ways to enter the building;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. If you consider a fire escape an entrance, then, yes.
- Q. And GateGuard provided the physical device infrastructure 18
- 19 that you needed; correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And to do so, GateGuard worked with you to figure out where 21
- the devices need to be placed and things like that; correct? 22
- 23 A. Correct.
- Okay. In other words, this doesn't just get, like, shipped 24
- 25 in a box or something; correct?

T7.	1 0 7	700	_ 7	v/i 1
ĸ	1 () /	/	н: п	VI I

Soleimani - cross

- THE COURT: Sorry, I didn't hear what you said. 1
 - Q. In other words, this doesn't just get, like, shipped in a
- 3 box for you to install; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 5 Okay. GateGuard physically sent a crew to install each of
- these devices on the entrances and exits; correct? 6
- 7 A. Yes.

2

- 8 Q. And in addition to the physical devices, GateGuard provided
- 9 your company with an online web-based interface; correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And to be clear, you could login using a password and user
- 12 name, such as security credentials, to access the information
- that GateGuard held on its servers about your building; 13
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And that included logs that you personally accessed over
- 17 various times; correct?
- A. Correct. 18
- 19 Q. And to be clear, the information that GateGuard held, this
- 20 was data that GateGuard stored; correct?
- A. Yes. 21
- 22 In other words, it wasn't stored on your server, it was
- 23 stored on GateGuard's; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 And it was stored indefinitely; correct? In other words,

TZ	1	OT	7	111	N /	7

Soleimani - cross

- it didn't disappear after seven days or something like that? 1
- 2 A. Not as far as I know.
 - Q. Well, as far as what you actually accessed it over the
- 4 period that you had and used your GateGuard devices, the info
- 5 was always available; correct?
- A. Correct. 6

- 7 Q. From beginning to the end, meaning the date that you were
- 8 accessing it; correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And that information included not only time-stamped 10
- 11 data points, in other words, dates and times when, essentially,
- 12 doors were opened; correct?
- A. Correct. 13
- 14 It actually included photographs of the individuals who
- 15 were entering at those particular times; correct?
- 16 It was supposed to.
- 17 Q. And it did; correct?
- A. Not all the time. 18
- 19 Well, did much of the time; correct?
- 20 A. No.
- Your testimony under oath is that the logs that you 21
- identified did not include photographs most of the time? 22
- A. Sometimes it did not. 23
- 24 So you just said -- I want to understand your testimony for
- 25 a sec. You said not most of the time, now you just said